

The 30th Winter School GOMETRY AND PHYSICS
Czech Republic, Srni, January 16 – 23, 2010

Geometry and Physics of Dirac Operators

J. Tolksdorf

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig/Germany
University of Regensburg, Regensburg/Germany

– **First lecture** –

Content of the 1st lecture

- 1) A brief overview and reminder
- 2) General Clifford (bi-) modules and Dirac operators

Content of the 2nd lecture

- 1) Connections induced by Dirac (type) operators
- 2) The universal Dirac-Lagrangian and the Einstein-Hilbert Action

Content of the 3rd lecture

- 1) Dirac operators and spontaneous symmetry breaking
- 2) Real Dirac operators, the Standard Model and the mass of the Higgs
- 3) A bit more geometry concerning Dirac operators

1 A brief reminder

P. A. M. Dirac:

$$(i\partial_A - m)\psi = 0 \quad (m \geq 0). \quad (1)$$

Geometrical interpretation:

Let $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \equiv (\mathbb{R}^4, \eta)$ be the Minkowski space, where

$$\eta(\mathbf{e}^\mu, \mathbf{e}^\nu) := \begin{cases} +1, & \text{for all } \mu = \nu = 0, \\ -1, & \text{for all } 1 \leq \mu = \nu \leq 3, \\ 0, & \text{for all } 0 \leq \mu \neq \nu \leq 3 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with respect to the standard basis $\mathbf{e}^0, \mathbf{e}^1, \dots, \mathbf{e}^3 \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

Also, let $Cl_{1,3}$ be the real, associative algebra with unit that is generated by the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ according to the relations:

$$\mathbf{e}^\mu \mathbf{e}^\nu + \mathbf{e}^\nu \mathbf{e}^\mu = 2\eta(\mathbf{e}^\mu, \mathbf{e}^\nu) \quad (0 \leq \mu, \nu \leq 3). \quad (3)$$

Clearly, $Cl_{1,3} \simeq Cl(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta)$: the *universal Clifford algebra* of the quadratic space (\mathbb{R}^4, η) .

The *spin group*:

$$Spin(1, 3) := \exp_{Cl}(\sigma_{Ch}^{-1} \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{1,3}) \subset Cl_{1,3} \quad (4)$$

is the two-fold covering group of $SO(1, 3)$. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{Ch} : Cl_{1,3} &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \\ \mathbf{e}^{i_1} \mathbf{e}^{i_2} \dots \mathbf{e}^{i_k} &\mapsto \mathbf{e}^{i_1} \wedge \mathbf{e}^{i_2} \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}^{i_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq 3$ and $k = 0, \dots, 3$.

The (*geometrical*) *spinor module*:

$$\mathcal{S} := \Lambda \mathcal{W}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a maximal isotropic subspace with respect to $\eta^{\mathbb{C}}$, such that

$$\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \simeq_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W} \oplus \mathcal{W}^*. \quad (7)$$

It follows that

$$Cl_{1,3}^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq_{\mathbb{C}} \text{End}(\mathcal{S}), \quad (8)$$

according to the Clifford map:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathbf{w}} : \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \otimes \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{S}) \\ \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}^* &\mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{S} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ \mathbf{z} &\mapsto \sqrt{2}(\text{ext}(\mathbf{w})\mathbf{z} + \text{int}(\mathbf{u}^*)\mathbf{z}). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\text{R}} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\text{L}}, \quad (10)$$

according to the *parity operator*:

$$\tau_{\text{M}} := i\gamma_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{e}^0 \cdots \mathbf{e}^3), \quad (11)$$

with $\mathcal{S}_{\text{R/L}}$ being the irreducible *Weyl modules* with respect to $Spin(1,3)$.

The (electromagnetically) charged Dirac spinor fields:

$$\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}, \mathcal{E}), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}. \quad (13)$$

“The” (gauge covariant) Dirac operator:

$$i\partial_{\text{A}} = i\gamma_{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{e}^{\mu}) \circ (\partial_{\mu} + iA_{\mu}), \quad (14)$$

with $A \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^{1,3})$ being the electromagnetic gauge potential.

Two basic features:

1) For $\tau_{\text{M}}\psi_{\text{R/L}} = \pm\psi_{\text{R/L}}$, such that $\psi = \psi_{\text{R}} + \psi_{\text{L}}$:

$$i\partial_{\text{A}}\psi = m\psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_{\text{A}}\psi_{\text{R}} = m\psi_{\text{L}}, \\ i\partial_{\text{A}}\psi_{\text{L}} = m\psi_{\text{R}}. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

2) There exists an intertwiner:

$$\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{S}}, \quad (16)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathcal{C} \circ \tau_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \mathcal{C}^{-1} = -\bar{\tau}_{\mathcal{M}}, \quad (17)$$

The corresponding anti-linear involution:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} : \mathcal{E} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \\ \mathbf{z} &\mapsto \mathbf{z}^{\text{cc}} \equiv \mathcal{C}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

is called *charge conjugation*.

Charge conjugation *anti-commutes* with parity:

$$\mathcal{J} \circ \tau_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \mathcal{J} = -\tau_{\mathcal{M}}. \quad (19)$$

It follows that

$$(i\rlap{-}/\partial - m)\psi = \gamma_{\text{W}}(A)\psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (i\rlap{-}/\partial - m)\psi^{\text{cc}} = -\gamma_{\text{W}}(A)\psi^{\text{cc}}. \quad (20)$$

Physical interpretation: When “quantized” the Dirac spinor

- ψ : state of a (quantum) particle of mass m and charge $+1$;
- ψ^{cc} : state of a (quantum) anti-particle of mass m and charge -1 .

E. Majorana:

$$i\rlap{-}/\partial\psi = m\psi^{\text{cc}}, \quad (21)$$

where ψ carries the trivial representation of $U(1)$.

Basic feature:

$$i\rlap{-}/\partial\psi = m\psi^{\text{cc}} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} i\rlap{-}/\partial\psi_{\text{R}} = m\psi_{\text{R}}^{\text{cc}}, \\ i\rlap{-}/\partial\psi_{\text{L}} = m\psi_{\text{L}}^{\text{cc}}. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Majorana module:

$$\mathcal{M} := \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{E} \mid \mathbf{z}^{\text{cc}} = \mathbf{z}\}, \quad (23)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}. \quad (24)$$

Each *Majorana spinor field*: $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1,3}, \mathcal{M})$, reads:

$$\chi = \psi + \psi^{\text{cc}}, \quad \tau_M \psi = \pm \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{E}). \quad (25)$$

2 General Clifford modules and Dirac operators

Let (M, g_M) be an orientable (semi-)Riemannian manifold of even dimension $n = p + q \geq 2$ and signature $s = p - q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Also, let $Cl_M \rightarrow M$ be the induced *Clifford bundle*:

$$\begin{aligned} Cl_M &:= \mathcal{SO}_M \times_{SO(p,q)} Cl_{p,q} \longrightarrow M \\ a = [(q, \mathbf{a})] &\longmapsto x = \pi_{SO}(q). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{SO}(q) : \mathcal{SO}_M &\xrightarrow{\iota_g} \mathcal{F}_M \rightarrow M \\ q &\longmapsto \pi(\iota_g(q)) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

is the g_M -induced $SO(p, q)$ -reduction of the (*oriented*) *frame bundle* $\pi : \mathcal{F}_M \rightarrow M$ of M .

Proposition 2.1 *The set of all smooth $SO(p, q)$ -reductions $(\mathcal{SO}_M, \iota_g)$ of the (*oriented*) *frame bundle* of M is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all smooth sections of the “Einstein-Hilbert bundle”:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{EH} &:= \mathcal{F}_M \times_{GL(n)} GL(n)/SO(p, q) \rightarrow M \\ &[(p, [h])] \longmapsto \pi(p). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Basically, this results from

$$\mathcal{F}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_M/SO(p, q) \simeq \mathcal{F}_M \times_{GL(n)} GL(n)/SO(p, q) \quad (29)$$

is an $SO(p, q)$ -principal bundle.

Definition 2.1 *A “Clifford module bundle”*

$$(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow (M, g_M) \quad (30)$$

is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded (complex) vector bundle $\pi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}^- \rightarrow M$, together with an odd Clifford map:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\mathcal{E}} : T^*M &\longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{E} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \\ z &\longmapsto \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)z, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

whereby

$$\{\tau_{\mathcal{E}}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)\} \equiv 0, \quad \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(\alpha)^2 = \epsilon g_M(\alpha, \alpha) \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} \quad (\epsilon = \pm 1). \quad (32)$$

The sub-algebra

$$\text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) := \{B \in \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \mid [\gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha), B] \equiv 0\} \quad (33)$$

denotes the “commutant” with respect to the induced “Clifford action”

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\varepsilon : Cl_M &\longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \\ a &\longmapsto \gamma_\varepsilon(a). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$(35)$$

Definition 2.2 A “Clifford bi-module bundle” is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle, which carries a representation of both the algebra bundle of Clifford algebras and of the opposite Clifford algebras.

Proposition 2.2 The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} Cl_M^c \otimes_M \text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) &\longrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \\ a \otimes B &\longmapsto \gamma_\varepsilon(a) \circ B \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

is a (bundle) isomorphism (over the identity on M).

This is a consequence of the Wedderburn Theorems about equivariant (linear) mappings.

Corollary 2.1 It follows that

$$\mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \Omega^*(M, \text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})) \equiv \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_M^k \otimes_M \text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})), \quad (37)$$

This is mainly due to Chevalley’s *linear* isomorphism between the Clifford and the Grassmann bundle:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{Ch}} : Cl_M &\longrightarrow \Lambda_M \\ a &\longmapsto \gamma_{\text{Ch}}(a)1_\Lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\text{Ch}} : T^*M &\longrightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda_M) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \Lambda_M &\longrightarrow \Lambda_M \\ \omega &\longmapsto \text{ext}(\alpha)\omega + \text{int}(\alpha)\omega \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

denotes the canonical Clifford action on the *Grassmann bundle* of M :

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_M &:= \mathcal{SO}_M \times_{SO(p,q)} \Lambda_{p,q} \longrightarrow M \\ \omega = [(q, \mathbf{z})] &\longmapsto \pi(\iota_g(q)). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Definition 2.3 Let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}^- \rightarrow M$ be a (complex) vector bundle. A first order differential operator \mathcal{D} , acting on $\mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E})$, is called of “Dirac type”, provided the principal symbol of \mathcal{D}^2 defines an $SO(p, q)$ -reduction $g_M \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E}_{\text{EH}})$ of the frame bundle of M .

Furthermore, a Dirac type operator \mathcal{D} on $\mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E})$ is called a “Dirac operator”, if it is odd with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$:

$$\{\tau_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}\} \equiv 0. \quad (41)$$

The set of all Dirac type operators on $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}(\mathcal{E})$. The set of all Dirac operators is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$.

Remark:

Every Dirac type operator \mathcal{D} turns the vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ into a Clifford module bundle, for

$$\begin{aligned} T^*M \times_M \mathcal{E} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \\ (df, z) &\longmapsto [\mathcal{D}, f]z \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

yields a Clifford map.

Moreover, the set of all Dirac type operators, which yield the *same* Clifford action on $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, is an affine space with the underlying vector space being given by $\Omega^0(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$. Likewise, the set of all Dirac operators, which give rise to the same Clifford action, is an affine space modeled over $\Omega^0(M, \text{End}^-(\mathcal{E}))$. The affine space of all Dirac type operators with the same Clifford action is denoted by $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$. Accordingly, the affine space of all Dirac operators with the same Clifford action is given by $\mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 2.3 Let (M, g_M) be an orientable, even-dimensional (semi-)Riemannian spin-manifold. Also, let $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow M$ be a spinor bundle associated with a chosen spin structure. The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \otimes_M \text{Hom}_\gamma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \\ z \otimes \phi &\longmapsto \phi(z) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

is a (bundle) isomorphism (over the identity on M).

Consequently, every Clifford module bundle over a spin-manifold is equivalent to a “twisted spinor bundle”:

$$\mathcal{E} \simeq_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{S} \otimes_M \mathcal{W}, \quad (44)$$

where $\mathcal{W} := \text{Hom}_\gamma(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{E})$.

Again, this follows from the Wedderburn Theorems about equivariant (linear) mappings.

Note that in the case of a spin-manifold: $\text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \text{End}(\mathcal{W})$.

Two (basic) Examples:

- *Twisted spinor bundles:* $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{S} \otimes_M E \rightarrow M$, with $E \rightarrow M$ being a (maybe trivially) \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector bundle.

$$\mathrm{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{End}(E). \quad (45)$$

- *Twisted Grassmann bundles:* $\mathcal{E} := \Lambda_M \otimes_M E \rightarrow M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E}) &= \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{S}^*) \otimes_M \mathrm{End}(E) \\ &\simeq_{\mathbb{C}} (Cl_M^{\mathrm{op}})^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_M \mathrm{End}(E). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$