

The 30th Winter School GOMETRY AND PHYSICS  
Czech Republic, Srni, January 16 – 23, 2010

## Geometry and Physics of Dirac Operators

J. Tolksdorf

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences, Leipzig/Germany  
University of Regensburg, Regensburg/Germany

– **Second lecture** –

### **Content**

- 1) Connections induced by Dirac (type) operators
- 2) The universal Dirac-Lagrangian and the Einstein-Hilbert Action

# 1 Connections induced by Dirac (type) operators

Let

$$(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow (M, g_M) \quad (1)$$

be a bundle of (complex) Clifford modules.

Every (even) connection on  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$  yields a Dirac operator:

$$\nabla^{\mathcal{E}} : \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\nabla^{\mathcal{E}}} \mathfrak{Sec}(M, T^*M \otimes_M \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E}). \quad (2)$$

**Definition 1.1** *A connection on a Clifford module bundle is called a “Clifford connection”, provided it fulfils:*

$$[\nabla_X^{\mathcal{E}}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{a})] = \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(\nabla_X^{\text{Cl}}(\mathbf{a})), \quad (3)$$

for all  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, Cl_M)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, TM)$ .

The set of all Clifford connections on a Clifford module bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{E})$ . It is an affine sub-space of the affine space  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E})$  of all (linear) connections on  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ .

Note that the underlying vector space of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{E})$  is given by  $\Omega^1(M, \text{End}_{\gamma}^+(\mathcal{E}))$ .

**Definition 1.2** *The Dirac operator of a Clifford connection is called “a Dirac operator of Clifford type”.*

## Notation:

We denote a Clifford connection by  $\partial_A \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{E})$ , for it locally reads:

$$\partial_A \stackrel{\text{loc.}}{=} d + \omega + A. \quad (4)$$

Let  $U \subset M$  be a local subset and  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathfrak{Sec}(U, TM)$  be a locally defined (orthonormal) frame. Also, let  $e^1, \dots, e^n \in \mathfrak{Sec}(U, T^*M)$  be the corresponding dual frame. The locally defined one-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(U, \text{End}^+(\mathcal{E}))$  is the “spin-connection form”:

$$\omega \equiv -\frac{\epsilon}{8} g_M(\nabla_k e_a, e_b) e^k \otimes [\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(e^a), \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(e^b)] \quad (5)$$

and  $A \in \Omega^1(U, \text{End}_{\gamma}^+(\mathcal{E}))$  is a local “gauge potential”.

If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S} \otimes_M E \rightarrow M$  is a twisted spinor bundle, than a Clifford connection is but a *twisted spin connection*:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_A &= \nabla^{\mathcal{S} \otimes E} \\ &= \nabla^{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \text{id}_E + \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \nabla^E. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Whence,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{E}) \simeq \mathcal{A}(E). \quad (7)$$

In the case of twisted Grassmann bundles:  $\mathcal{E} = \Lambda_M \otimes_M E \rightarrow M$ , the Clifford connections are locally parameterized by local gauge potentials:

$$A \in \Omega^1\left(U, (Cl_M^{\text{op}})^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_M \text{End}(E)\right)^+. \quad (8)$$

**Definition 1.3** Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$  be a Clifford module bundle. The one-form  $\Theta \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}^-(\mathcal{E}))$ , which is defined by

$$\Theta(v) := \frac{\epsilon}{n} \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(v^{\flat}) \quad (9)$$

for all  $v \in TM$ , is called the “canonical one-form” on the Clifford module.

Let  $U \subset M$  be an open subset and  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathfrak{Sec}(U, TM)$  be a locally defined (orthonormal) frame with the dual frame  $e^1, \dots, e^n \in \mathfrak{Sec}(U, T^*M)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &\stackrel{\text{loc.}}{=} \frac{\epsilon}{n} g_M(e_a, e_b) e^a \otimes \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(e^b) \\ &\equiv \frac{\epsilon}{n} e^a \otimes \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}(e_a^{\flat}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

**Lemma 1.1** A connection on a Clifford module bundle is a Clifford connection if and only if the induced connection on  $T^*M \otimes_M \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M$  fulfils:

$$\nabla^{T^*M \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})} \Theta \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

**Proof:** Nice exercise!

**Definition 1.4** Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$  be a Clifford bi-module bundle. A connection is called “S-reducible”, provided its induced connection on  $T^*M \otimes_M \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M$  fulfils:

$$\nabla^{T^*M \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})} \Theta^{\text{op}} \equiv 0. \quad (12)$$

Here,  $\Theta^{\text{op}}(v) := \frac{\epsilon}{n} \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{op}}(v^{\flat})$ , for all  $v \in TM$  and  $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{op}} : Cl_M^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$  is the representation of the algebra bundle of opposite Clifford algebras.

A connection on a twisted Grassmann bundle is S-reducible if and only if it is locally parameterized by a gauge potential

$$A \in \Omega^1(U, \text{End}(E)). \quad (13)$$

Furthermore, a connection on the Grassmann bundle over a spin-manifold is S-reducible if and only if it coincides with the spin-connection.

**Definition 1.5** *On a Clifford module bundle the (linear extension of the) map:*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\gamma : \Omega^*(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) &\longrightarrow \Omega^0(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \\ \omega = \alpha \otimes B &\mapsto \psi \equiv \gamma_\varepsilon(\sigma_{\text{ch}}^{-1}(\omega)) \circ B \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

is called the “quantization map”.

The restriction of the quantization map to  $\Omega^1(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}))$  has a canonical right-inverse that is given by the odd map:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ext}_\Theta : \Omega^0(M, \text{End}^\pm(\mathcal{E})) &\longrightarrow \Omega^1(M, \text{End}^\mp(\mathcal{E})) \\ \Phi &\mapsto \Theta \wedge \Phi \equiv \Theta\Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with  $(\Theta \wedge \Phi)(v) := \Theta(v) \circ \Phi$ , for all  $v \in TM$ .

Whence,

$$\wp := \text{ext}_\Theta \circ \delta_\gamma : \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \quad (16)$$

is an idempotent. Its complement  $\wp' := \text{id}_{\Omega^1} - \wp$  sends  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E})$  into the set of “twistor operators” on the underlying Clifford module bundle:

$$\nabla^\varepsilon \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\nabla^\varepsilon) := \nabla^\varepsilon - \Theta \circ \nabla^\varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

**Definition 1.6** *Two connections on a Clifford module bundle are said to be equivalent if they yield the same Dirac operator:*

$$\nabla^\varepsilon \sim \nabla'^\varepsilon \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla^\varepsilon = \nabla'^\varepsilon. \quad (18)$$

Clearly,

$$\nabla^\varepsilon \sim \nabla'^\varepsilon \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla'^\varepsilon - \nabla^\varepsilon \in \text{Ker}(\wp). \quad (19)$$

**Proposition 1.1** *Let  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  be a Dirac operator on  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$ . The equivalence class of connections on  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$  that is defined by  $\mathcal{D}$  has a natural representative.*

**Proof:** Every Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  on a Clifford module bundle yields a unique connection, called the “Bochner connection” of  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$2 \operatorname{ev}_g(df, \partial_B \psi) := \epsilon \left( [\mathcal{D}^2, f] - \delta_g df \right) \psi, \quad (20)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$  and  $\psi \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E})$ .

This yields the **first order decomposition** of  $\mathcal{D}$ :

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_B + \Phi_D, \quad (21)$$

with  $\Phi_D \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \operatorname{End}^-(\mathcal{E}))$  being uniquely defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The connection that corresponds to

$$\partial_D := \partial_B + \operatorname{ext}_\Theta \wedge \Phi_D \quad (22)$$

is thus uniquely defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{D}_D = \mathcal{D}. \quad (23)$$

□

**Definition 1.7** *For given  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ , the even one-form:*

$$\omega_D := \operatorname{ext}_\Theta \wedge \Phi_D, \quad (24)$$

*is called the “Dirac form” of  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*The tangent vector field on  $M$ :*

$$\xi_D := \operatorname{tr}_\varepsilon(\omega_D^\sharp), \quad (25)$$

*is called the “Dirac field” of  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*The connection on the underlying Clifford module bundle that corresponds to  $\partial_D$  is called the “Dirac connection” of  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ . Its curvature*

$$\operatorname{curv}(\mathcal{D}) := \partial_D \wedge \partial_D \in \Omega^2(M, \operatorname{End}^+(\mathcal{E})) \quad (26)$$

*is called the “Dirac curvature” of  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*Finally,*

$$F_D := \operatorname{curv}(\mathcal{D}) - \mathcal{Riem}(g_M) \in \Omega^2(M, \operatorname{End}^+(\mathcal{E})) \quad (27)$$

*is called the “relative curvature” of  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$ .*

**Lemma 1.2** *Let  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  be a Dirac operator. Its induced equivalence class of connections on the underlying Clifford module bundle contains at most one Clifford connection. This is the case if and only if*

$$\partial_{\mathcal{D}}^{T^*M \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})} \Theta \equiv 0. \quad (28)$$

**Proof:** First, let the Dirac connection of  $\mathcal{D}$  be a Clifford connection. Any other connection  $\nabla^\varepsilon$  whose quantization equals  $\mathcal{D}$  thus reads:

$$\nabla^\varepsilon = \partial_{\mathcal{D}} + \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \text{Ker}(\wp). \quad (29)$$

In particular, if  $\nabla^\varepsilon = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$  is also a Clifford connection, then  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}_\gamma^+(\mathcal{E}))$ . The map  $\text{ext}_\Theta$  is injective. Hence,  $\text{Ker}(\wp) = \text{Ker}(\delta_\gamma|_{\Omega^1})$ . However,  $\alpha \notin \text{Ker}(\delta_\gamma|_{\Omega^1})$  since the restriction of the quantization map to  $\Omega^*(M, \text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E}))$  is an isomorphism.

Now, let  $\partial_{\mathcal{A}} \sim \partial_{\mathcal{D}}$ . Since  $\wp_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{D}$ , it follows that the Bochner connection of  $\mathcal{D}$  equals the Clifford connection:  $\partial_{\mathcal{B}} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Therefore,  $\partial_{\mathcal{D}} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Whence, if the connection class of  $\mathcal{D}$  contains a Clifford connection, it must be unique and equal to the Dirac connection of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Only in this case, one gets:

$$\partial_{\mathcal{D}} = \partial_{\mathcal{B}} = \partial_{\mathcal{A}}. \quad (30)$$

□

**Remark:**

If a Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is of Clifford type:  $\mathcal{D} = \wp_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then its curvature reads:

$$\text{curv}(\wp_{\mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{Riem}(g_M) + F_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (31)$$

whereby the relative curvature  $F_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\wp_{\mathcal{A}}$  fulfils:

$$F_{\mathcal{A}} \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})). \quad (32)$$

In the case of a twisted spinor bundle  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S} \otimes_M E \rightarrow M$ , the relative curvature of a Clifford type Dirac operator is given by

$$F_{\mathcal{A}} = \nabla^E \wedge \nabla^E \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}^+(E)). \quad (33)$$

In terms of *Yang-Mills gauge theories*, the relative curvature of a Clifford type Dirac operator thus plays the role of the *Yang-Mills curvature*.

**Definition 1.8** *A Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  on a Clifford bi-module bundle is called “S-reducible”, if its Dirac connection is S-reducible.*

On a twisted Grassmann bundle over a spin manifold, a Dirac operator is S-reducible if and only if it coincides with a twisted spin-Dirac operator.

**Proposition 1.2** *Two Dirac operators  $\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  on a given Clifford module bundle yield the same Bochner connection if and only if*

$$\{(\mathcal{D}' - \mathcal{D}), \gamma_\varepsilon(\alpha)\} \equiv 0, \quad (34)$$

for all  $\alpha \in T^*M$ .

**Proof:** Making use of the definition of the Bochner connection of a Dirac operator, the proof follows from showing that

$$\partial'_B = \partial_B + \alpha_B, \quad (35)$$

with the one-form  $\alpha_B \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}^+(\mathcal{E}))$  being defined by

$$\alpha_B(v) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \{(\mathcal{D}' - \mathcal{D}), \gamma_\varepsilon(v^\flat)\}, \quad (36)$$

for all  $v \in TM$ . □

**Definition 1.9** *A Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is called of “simple type” if its Bochner connection equals a Clifford connection.*

**Proposition 1.3** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$  be a Clifford module bundle. A Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is of simple type if and only if  $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_B$  anti-commutes with the Clifford action  $\gamma_\varepsilon$ .*

**Proof:** First, let the Bochner connection of  $\mathcal{D}$  be a Clifford connection:  $\partial_B = \partial_A$ . Since the Bochner connection of Clifford type Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_A$  equals  $\partial_A$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_B$  yield the same Bochner connection (namely  $\partial_B$ ). Whence, according to the foregoing Proposition it follows that  $\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_B$  anti-commute with the Clifford action.

Next, assume that the zero-order operator  $\Phi_D = \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_B$  anti-commute with the Clifford action. Hence, there is a unique zero-order operator  $\phi_D \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}_\gamma^-(\mathcal{E}))$ , such that

$$\Phi_D = \tau_\varepsilon \circ \phi_D. \quad (37)$$

Furthermore,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_B$  have the same Bochner connection due to the foregoing Proposition. Hence, the Bochner connection of  $\mathcal{D}_B$  coincides with  $\partial_B$ , which holds true if and only if  $\partial_B$  is a Clifford connection. □

**Corollary 1.1** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$  be a Clifford module bundle. A Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  is of simple type if and only if there is Clifford connection and a  $\phi \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}_\gamma^-(\mathcal{E}))$ , such that*

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_A + \tau_\varepsilon \circ \phi. \quad (38)$$

□

The set of simple type Dirac operators is the largest class of Dirac operators whose Bochner connections are Clifford connections. Simple type Dirac operators thus build a natural generalization of Clifford type Dirac operators.

**Definition 1.10** *A Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  on a Clifford module bundle is called of “Yang-Mills-Higgs type”, if there is a Clifford connection such that*

$$\mathcal{D} - \mathcal{D}_A \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}_\gamma^-(\mathcal{E})). \quad (39)$$

Since the Clifford connection is unique, there is a unique

$$\Phi_H \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}_\gamma^-(\mathcal{E})), \quad (40)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_A + \Phi_H. \quad (41)$$

It follows that the Dirac connection of a Yang-Mills-Higgs type Dirac operator reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_D &\equiv \partial_{\text{YMH}} \\ &= \partial_A + H, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

with

$$H := \Phi_H \Theta \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}^+(\mathcal{E})) \quad (43)$$

being the “Higgs gauge potential”.

The relative curvature of a Yang-Mills-Higgs type Dirac operator simply reads:

$$\begin{aligned} F_D &= F_A + d_A H + H \wedge H \\ &= F_A + (d_A \Phi_H + \Phi_H \wedge \Theta) \wedge \Theta. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

**Remark:**

Every Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  may be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_A + \Phi. \quad (45)$$

However, this decomposition is not unique, in general, for  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}^-(\mathcal{E}))$  also depends on the choice of  $\partial_A$ .

Simple type Dirac operators generalize Dirac operators of Clifford type in the sense that

- $\partial_B = \partial_A$ ;
- $\Phi$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

In contrast, Yang-Mills-Higgs type Dirac operators  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{YMH}}$  generalize Dirac operators of Clifford type in the sense that the decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{YMH}} = \mathcal{D}_A + \Phi \tag{46}$$

is unique, though  $\partial_B \neq \partial_A$ .

## 2 The universal Dirac-Lagrangian and the Einstein-Hilbert Action

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  be an arbitrary Dirac operator on a Clifford module bundle  $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma_\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (M, g_M)$ . The associated second order differential operator:

$$\Delta_B := \epsilon \text{ev}_g(\partial_B^{T^*M \otimes \mathcal{E}} \circ \partial_B), \quad (47)$$

is called the “Bochner (or connection/trace) Laplacian”.

**Proposition 2.1** Every Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{D}_\gamma(\mathcal{E})$  has a unique second order decomposition:

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \Delta_B + V_D, \quad (48)$$

with  $V_D \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}^+(\mathcal{E}))$  being uniquely defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Furthermore, the “Dirac potential” explicitly reads:

$$V_D = \delta_\gamma(\text{curv}(\mathcal{D})) + \epsilon \text{ev}_g(\partial_D \omega_D - \omega_D^2). \quad (49)$$

Basically, the proof follows from the very definition of the Bochner connection of a Dirac operator.

In the case where  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_A$  is of Clifford type, it follows that

$$V_D = \frac{\epsilon}{4} \text{scal}(g_M) \text{id}_\mathcal{E} + \delta_\gamma(F_A) \quad (50)$$

coincides with the well-known Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula of the zero-order operator of the square of a twisted spin-Dirac operator  $\nabla^{S \otimes E}$ .

Note that the zero-order operator

$$\delta_\gamma(F_A) \in \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \text{End}^+(\mathcal{E})) \quad (51)$$

is always *trace-free*. This is because  $F_A \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}_\gamma^+(\mathcal{E}))$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^+ \oplus \mathcal{E}^- \rightarrow M$  be a Hermitian vector bundle with the Hermitian product being denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\mathcal{E}$ .

The map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_D : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) &\longrightarrow \Omega^n(M, \mathbb{C}) \\ \mathcal{D} &\longmapsto * \text{tr}_\mathcal{E} V_D, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

is called the “universal Dirac-Lagrangian”.

Likewise, the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D},\text{tot}} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathfrak{Sec}(M, \mathcal{E}) &\longrightarrow \Omega^n(M, \mathbb{C}) \\ (\mathcal{D}, \psi) &\longmapsto *(\langle \psi, \mathcal{D}\psi \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} + \text{tr}_{\mathcal{E}} V_{\mathcal{D}}), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

is called the “total Dirac-Lagrangian”.

**Proposition 2.2** *The universal Dirac-Lagrangian is equivariant with respect to the action of the “affine gauge group”:*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D},\text{tot}} \ltimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad (54)$$

where, respectively,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D},\text{tot}} := \text{Diff}(M) \ltimes \text{Aut}(\mathcal{E}), \quad (55)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}} := \Omega^1(M, \text{End}_{\gamma}^+(\mathcal{E})) \quad (56)$$

is the gauge group of the total Dirac-Lagrangian and the “translation group”.

The proof needs some (home)work! Indeed, it can be shown that the universal Dirac-Lagrangian is actually invariant with respect to the (linear extension of the) map:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \\ (\mathcal{D}, df) &\longmapsto \mathcal{D} + [\mathcal{D}, f]. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

$$(58)$$

Note that the gauge group of the total Dirac-Lagrangian is only a (proper) subgroup of the gauge group of the universal Dirac-Lagrangian.

Up to the boundary term  $*\text{div}\xi_{\mathcal{D}} \in \Omega^n(M, \mathbb{C})$ , the universal Dirac-Lagrangian explicitly reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{D}) = *\text{tr}_{\gamma}(\text{curv}(\mathcal{D}) - \epsilon v_g(\omega_{\mathcal{D}}^2)), \quad (59)$$

with

$$\text{tr}_{\gamma} \equiv \text{tr}_{\mathcal{E}} \circ \delta_{\gamma} : \Omega^*(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{C}). \quad (60)$$

being the “quantized trace”.

It follows that when restricted to the subset of Clifford type Dirac operators, the universal Dirac-Lagrangian coincides with the *Lagrangian density of General Relativity*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(\not{D}_A) &= *\text{tr}_{\gamma}(\text{curv}(\not{D}_A)) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon \text{rank}(\mathcal{E})}{4} * \text{scal}(g_M). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$