

# Covariant differential operators as singular intertwining operators

Jean-Louis Clerc  
Professeur émérite, Université de Lorraine

Srni, January 18–25, 2014

# Summary

- ▶ I. Knapp-Stein intertwining operators and covariant differential operators on the sphere

# Summary

- ▶ I. Knapp-Stein intertwining operators and covariant differential operators on the sphere
- ▶ II. Conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere

# Summary

- ▶ I. Knapp-Stein intertwining operators and covariant differential operators on the sphere
- ▶ II. Conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere
- ▶ III. Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi-)differential operators

Based on

- ▶ J-L. Clerc & B. Ørsted, *Conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere*, Ann. Instit. Fourier, **61** (2011), 1807–1838

Based on

- ▶ J-L. Clerc & B. Ørsted, *Conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere*, Ann. Instit. Fourier, **61** (2011), 1807–1838
- ▶ J-L. Clerc, T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted & M. Pevzner, *Generalized Bernstein-Reznikov integrals*, Math. Annalen **349** (2011), 395–431

Based on

- ▶ J-L. Clerc & B. Ørsted, *Conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere*, Ann. Instit. Fourier, **61** (2011), 1807–1838
- ▶ J-L. Clerc, T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted & M. Pevzner, *Generalized Bernstein-Reznikov integrals*, Math. Annalen **349** (2011), 395–431
- ▶ R. Beckmann & J-L. Clerc, *Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi-)differential operators under the conformal group*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4341–4376

Based on

- ▶ J-L. Clerc & B. Ørsted, *Conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere*, Ann. Instit. Fourier, **61** (2011), 1807–1838
- ▶ J-L. Clerc, T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted & M. Pevzner, *Generalized Bernstein-Reznikov integrals*, Math. Annalen **349** (2011), 395–431
- ▶ R. Beckmann & J-L. Clerc, *Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi-)differential operators under the conformal group*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4341–4376
- ▶ J-L. Clerc, *Singular conformally invariant trilinear forms on the sphere*, in preparation



## Some related references

- ▶ J. Bernstein & A. Reznikov, *Estimates of automorphic functions*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), 19–37

## Some related references

- ▶ J. Bernstein & A. Reznikov, *Estimates of automorphic functions*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), 19–37
- ▶ T. Kobayashi and B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups*, posted on arXiv (2013)

## Some related references

- ▶ J. Bernstein & A. Reznikov, *Estimates of automorphic functions*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), 19–37
- ▶ T. Kobayashi and B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups*, posted on arXiv (2013)
- ▶ P. Somberg, *Rankin-Cohen brackets for orthogonal Lie algebras and bilinear conformally invariant differential operators*, posted on arXiv (2013)

# Knapp-Stein intertwining operators and covariant differential operators on the sphere

Jean-Louis Clerc

# The geometric context

- ▶  $S = S^{n-1}$  the unit sphere in  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$   
 $\mathbf{1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$   
 $S \simeq K/M$ , where  $K = SO(n)$ ,  $M \simeq SO(n-1)$

# The geometric context

- ▶  $S = S^{n-1}$  the unit sphere in  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$   
 $\mathbf{1} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$   
 $S \simeq K/M$ , where  $K = SO(n)$ ,  $M \simeq SO(n-1)$
- ▶  $\mathcal{S} =$  set of isotropic lines in the Lorentz space  $E^{1,n}$ .

$$S \ni x \longmapsto \mathbb{R}(1, x) \in \mathcal{S}$$

is a 1-1 correspondence, and so  $G$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- ▶ The Lorentz group  $G = SO_0(1, n)$  acts on  $\mathcal{S}$ , hence on  $S$ .  
 $S \simeq G/P$ , where  $P$  the stabilizer of  $\mathbf{1}$  in  $G$  is a *parabolic subgroup* of  $G$ .

- ▶ The action of  $G$  is *conformal*, i.e. for any tangent vector  $\xi$  to  $S$  at  $x$

$$|Dg(x)\xi| = \kappa(g, x)|\xi| ,$$

where  $\kappa(g, x)$  is the *conformal factor* of  $g$  at  $x$ .

- ▶ The action of  $G$  is *conformal*, i.e. for any tangent vector  $\xi$  to  $S$  at  $x$

$$|Dg(x)\xi| = \kappa(g, x)|\xi| ,$$

where  $\kappa(g, x)$  is the *conformal factor* of  $g$  at  $x$ .

- ▶ Covariance property of the Euclidean distance on  $S$  :

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = \kappa(g, x)^{\frac{1}{2}} |x - y| \kappa(g, y)^{\frac{1}{2}} ,$$

for  $x, y \in S$  and  $g \in G$ .



# The principal series

- ▶ The group  $G$  acts naturally on the spaces of smooth densities on  $S$ , thus defining the (scalar) *principal series* of representations of  $G$ .
- ▶ Let  $dx$  be the Lebesgue measure on the sphere, use it to identify smooth densities with  $C^\infty$  functions. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we obtain the following representation of  $G$  on  $C^\infty(S)$

$$\pi_\lambda(g)f(x) = \kappa(g^{-1}, x)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\lambda} f(g^{-1}(x)) .$$

[The shift by  $\rho = \frac{n-1}{2}$  is to have  $\pi_\lambda$  unitary for pure imaginary  $\lambda$ ]

# The principal series

- ▶ The group  $G$  acts naturally on the spaces of smooth densities on  $S$ , thus defining the (scalar) *principal series* of representations of  $G$ .
- ▶ Let  $dx$  be the Lebesgue measure on the sphere, use it to identify smooth densities with  $C^\infty$  functions. For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we obtain the following representation of  $G$  on  $C^\infty(S)$

$$\pi_\lambda(g)f(x) = \kappa(g^{-1}, x)^{\frac{n-1}{2}+\lambda} f(g^{-1}(x)) .$$

[The shift by  $\rho = \frac{n-1}{2}$  is to have  $\pi_\lambda$  unitary for pure imaginary  $\lambda$ ]

- ▶ The duality relation between  $\pi_\lambda$  and  $\pi_{-\lambda}$

$$\int_S \pi_\lambda(g) \varphi(x) \psi(x) dx = \int_S \varphi(x) \pi_{-\lambda}(g^{-1}) \psi(x) dx .$$

# The Knapp-Stein intertwining operators

- ▶ Knapp-Stein operator (formal)

$$J_{\lambda}f(x) = \int_S |x - y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} f(y) dy$$

- ▶ intertwining relation

$$J_{\lambda} \circ \pi_{\lambda}(g) = \pi_{-\lambda}(g) \circ J_{\lambda}, \quad \text{for any } g \in G .$$

# The Knapp-Stein intertwining operators

- ▶ Knapp-Stein operator (formal)

$$J_\lambda f(x) = \int_S |x - y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} f(y) dy$$

- ▶ intertwining relation

$$J_\lambda \circ \pi_\lambda(g) = \pi_{-\lambda}(g) \circ J_\lambda, \quad \text{for any } g \in G .$$

- ▶ convergent for  $\Re \lambda > 0$
- ▶ look for analytic continuation of  $J_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

# Bernstein-Sato identity

For  $f$  a given real analytic function everywhere  $\geq 0$

$$D(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s) f^{s+1}(x) = b(s) f^s(x) ,$$

valid on  $\{x, f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

- ▶  $D(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s)$  is a differential operator with smooth coefficients, *polynomial* in the parameter  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶  $b(s)$  a *polynomial*

# Bernstein-Sato identity

For  $f$  a given real analytic function everywhere  $\geq 0$

$$D(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s) f^{s+1}(x) = b(s) f^s(x) ,$$

valid on  $\{x, f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

- ▶  $D(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s)$  is a differential operator with smooth coefficients, *polynomial* in the parameter  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶  $b(s)$  a *polynomial*
- ▶ example : BS identity for  $|\mathbf{1} - x|^2$  on  $S$

$$\left( \Delta + \left( \frac{s}{2} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{s}{2} + n - 1 \right) \right) |\mathbf{1} - x|^{s+2} = (s+2)(s+n-1) |\mathbf{1} - x|^s$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $S$ .

# Bernstein-Sato identity

For  $f$  a given real analytic function everywhere  $\geq 0$

$$D(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s) f^{s+1}(x) = b(s) f^s(x) ,$$

valid on  $\{x, f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

- ▶  $D(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s)$  is a differential operator with smooth coefficients, *polynomial* in the parameter  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- ▶  $b(s)$  a *polynomial*
- ▶ example : BS identity for  $|\mathbf{1} - x|^2$  on  $S$

$$\left( \Delta + \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) \left(\frac{s}{2} + n - 1\right) \right) |\mathbf{1} - x|^{s+2} = (s+2)(s+n-1) |\mathbf{1} - x|^s$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $S$ .

Hint :  $|\mathbf{1} - x|^2 = 2(1 - x_1)$  and

$$\Delta \varphi(x_1) = (1 - x_1^2) \varphi''(x_1) - (n-1) \varphi'(x_1) .$$

# Meromorphic continuation of $\int_S |x - y|^s f(y) dy$

- ▶ from the previous Bernstein-Sato identity

$$|x-y|^s = \frac{1}{(s+2)(s+n-1)} \left( \Delta_y + \left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{s}{2}+n-1\right) \right) |x-y|^{s+2}$$



# Meromorphic continuation of $\int_S |x - y|^s f(y) dy$

- ▶ from the previous Bernstein-Sato identity

$$|x-y|^s = \frac{1}{(s+2)(s+n-1)} \left( \Delta_y + \left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{s}{2}+n-1\right) \right) |x-y|^{s+2}$$

- ▶ integrate by parts to get

$$\int_S |x-y|^s f(y) dy = \frac{1}{(s+2)(s+n-1)} \int_S |x-y|^{s+2} \left( \Delta_y + \left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{s}{2}+n-1\right) f \right)(y) dy$$

# Meromorphic continuation of $\int_S |x - y|^s f(y) dy$

- ▶ from the previous Bernstein-Sato identity

$$|x - y|^s = \frac{1}{(s + 2)(s + n - 1)} \left( \Delta_y + \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) \left(\frac{s}{2} + n - 1\right) \right) |x - y|^{s+2}$$

- ▶ integrate by parts to get

$$\int_S |x - y|^s f(y) dy = \frac{1}{(s + 2)(s + n - 1)} \int_S |x - y|^{s+2} \left( \Delta_y + \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) \left(\frac{s}{2} + n - 1\right) f \right)(y) dy$$

- ▶ this allows the meromorphic continuation, poles occurring for  $s = -(n - 1), -(n - 1) - 2, \dots, -(n - 1) - 2k, \dots$

## Meromorphic continuation of $J_\lambda$

- ▶ Letting  $s = -(n - 1) + 2\lambda$ , the operator-valued function  $\lambda \rightarrow J_\lambda$  can be continued meromorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$  with poles at  $-k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Meromorphic continuation of $J_\lambda$

- ▶ Letting  $s = -(n-1) + 2\lambda$ , the operator-valued function  $\lambda \rightarrow J_\lambda$  can be continued meromorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$  with poles at  $-k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ for  $\lambda \sim 0$ , write  $J_\lambda f(x) = \int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} f(y) dy$  as

$$\int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} (f(y) - f(x)) dy + f(x) \int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} dy .$$

## Meromorphic continuation of $J_\lambda$

- ▶ Letting  $s = -(n-1) + 2\lambda$ , the operator-valued function  $\lambda \rightarrow J_\lambda$  can be continued meromorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$  with poles at  $-k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ for  $\lambda \sim 0$ , write  $J_\lambda f(x) = \int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} f(y) dy$  as

$$\int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} (f(y) - f(x)) dy + f(x) \int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} dy .$$

- ▶  $f(x) - f(y) = O(|x-y|)$ , hence no problem with the first integral



$$\int_S |x-y|^s dy = \int_S |\mathbf{1}-y|^s dy = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{n-1} 2^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + \rho)}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 2\rho)} ,$$

## Meromorphic continuation of $J_\lambda$

- ▶ Letting  $s = -(n-1) + 2\lambda$ , the operator-valued function  $\lambda \rightarrow J_\lambda$  can be continued meromorphically to  $\mathbb{C}$  with poles at  $-k, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- ▶ for  $\lambda \sim 0$ , write  $J_\lambda f(x) = \int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} f(y) dy$  as

$$\int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} (f(y) - f(x)) dy + f(x) \int_S |x-y|^{-(n-1)+2\lambda} dy .$$

- ▶  $f(x) - f(y) = O(|x-y|)$ , hence no problem with the first integral

▶

$$\int_S |x-y|^s dy = \int_S |\mathbf{1}-y|^s dy = (2\sqrt{\pi})^{n-1} 2^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + \rho)}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 2\rho)} ,$$

- ▶ hence

$$\text{Res}(J_\lambda f(x), \lambda = 0) = \frac{\pi^\rho}{\Gamma(\rho)} f(x) .$$

- ▶ for  $\lambda \sim -1$ , use BS identity, integrate by parts, take residues at  $-1$  on both sides to get

$$\text{Res}(J_\lambda f(x), \lambda = -1) = \frac{\pi^\rho 4^{-1}}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} \Delta_1 f(x)$$

where  $\Delta_1 = \Delta - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)(n-3)$  is the *conformal Laplacian* (Yamabe operator) on  $S$ .

- ▶ for  $\lambda \sim -1$ , use BS identity, integrate by parts, take residues at  $-1$  on both sides to get

$$\text{Res}(J_\lambda f(x), \lambda = -1) = \frac{\pi^\rho 4^{-1}}{\Gamma(\rho + 1)} \Delta_1 f(x)$$

where  $\Delta_1 = \Delta - \frac{1}{4}(n-1)(n-3)$  is the *conformal Laplacian* (Yamabe operator) on  $S$ .

- ▶ iterating the argument

$$\text{Res}(J_\lambda, \lambda = -k) = \frac{\pi^\rho 4^{-k}}{\Gamma(\rho + k)} \Delta_k ,$$

where

$$\Delta_k = \prod_{j=1}^k (\Delta - (\rho + j - 1)(\rho - j)) .$$



# Covariant differential operators for densities on $S$

The intertwining property satisfied by  $J_\lambda$  between  $\pi_\lambda, \pi_{-\lambda}$  extends to the residues and yields

$$\Delta_k \circ \pi_{-k}(g) = \pi_k(g) \circ \Delta_k ,$$

usually known as the *covariance property* for  $\Delta_k$ .

It can be shown that there are no more covariant differential operators between spaces of densities. The proof uses results on homomorphisms of (generalized) Verma modules.

## Sketch of a down-to-earth proof

- ▶ Use the noncompact picture  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶ Let  $D$  be a differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_\lambda, \pi_\mu)$ .

## Sketch of a down-to-earth proof

- ▶ Use the noncompact picture  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶ Let  $D$  be a differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_\lambda, \pi_\mu)$ .
- ▶ The action of  $\overline{N}$  is by translations, hence  $D$  has to be a constant coefficients differential operator.

## Sketch of a down-to-earth proof

- ▶ Use the noncompact picture  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶ Let  $D$  be a differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_\lambda, \pi_\mu)$ .
- ▶ The action of  $\overline{N}$  is by translations, hence  $D$  has to be a constant coefficients differential operator.
- ▶ The action of  $M \simeq SO(n-1)$  is by rotation, hence  $D$  has to be of the form  $D = \sum a_k \Delta^k$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .

## Sketch of a down-to-earth proof

- ▶ Use the noncompact picture  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶ Let  $D$  be a differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_\lambda, \pi_\mu)$ .
- ▶ The action of  $\overline{N}$  is by translations, hence  $D$  has to be a constant coefficients differential operator.
- ▶ The action of  $M \simeq SO(n-1)$  is by rotation, hence  $D$  has to be of the form  $D = \sum a_k \Delta^k$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶  $A$  acts by dilation on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , hence  $D$  has to be homogeneous. So  $D = a_k \Delta^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mu = \lambda + 2k$ .

## Sketch of a down-to-earth proof

- ▶ Use the noncompact picture  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶ Let  $D$  be a differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  covariant w.r.t.  $(\pi_\lambda, \pi_\mu)$ .
- ▶ The action of  $\bar{N}$  is by translations, hence  $D$  has to be a constant coefficients differential operator.
- ▶ The action of  $M \simeq SO(n-1)$  is by rotation, hence  $D$  has to be of the form  $D = \sum a_k \Delta^k$ , where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ .
- ▶  $A$  acts by dilation on  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , hence  $D$  has to be homogeneous. So  $D = a_k \Delta^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\mu = \lambda + 2k$ .
- ▶ If  $D$  is non trivial, then the Casimir operator acts on both spaces by the same scalar. This forces  $\lambda = -\mu = -k$ .  
Q.E.D.

End of part I  
Thank you for your attention !