

Categorical-algebraic characterisations of Lie algebras

Xabier García Martínez

Joint work with Vladimir Dotsenko (Université de Strasbourg)

CT 2025
13th–19th of July, 2025

What makes Lie algebras so special?

Theorem (GM-Van der Linden, 2019)

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over an infinite field.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following property:

- *It is LACC*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Theorem (GM-Tsishyn-Vienne-Van der Linden, 2021)

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over an infinite field.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following property:

- *It's actions (or representations) are representable*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Theorem (Deval-GM-Van der Linden, 2024)

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over an infinite field.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following property:

- It admits a universal (split) Kaluzhnin-Krasner embedding theorem*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial *variety of non-associative algebras* over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- It is a 2-variety
- It is Nielsen-Schreier

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a *non-trivial* variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- It is a 2-variety
- It is Nielsen-Schreier

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a *field of characteristic zero*.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- It is a 2-variety
- It is Nielsen-Schreier

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is **Nielsen-Schreier***

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial *variety of non-associative algebras* over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- It is a 2-variety
- It is Nielsen-Schreier

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Varieties of non-associative algebras

Definition

A *variety of non-associative algebras* is the class of all vector spaces equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying a certain set of polynomial identities.

Varieties of non-associative algebras

Definition

A *variety of non-associative algebras* is the class of all vector spaces equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying a certain set of polynomial identities.

Examples

- Lie algebras

$$xy + yx = 0, \quad x(yz) + y(zx) + z(xy) = 0$$

Varieties of non-associative algebras

Definition

A *variety of non-associative algebras* is the class of all vector spaces equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying a certain set of polynomial identities.

Examples

- Lie algebras $xy + yx = 0, \quad x(yz) + y(zx) + z(xy) = 0$
- Associative algebras $x(yz) - (xy)z = 0$

Varieties of non-associative algebras

Definition

A *variety of non-associative algebras* is the class of all vector spaces equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying a certain set of polynomial identities.

Examples

- Lie algebras $xy + yx = 0, \quad x(yz) + y(zx) + z(xy) = 0$
- Associative algebras $x(yz) - (xy)z = 0$
- Commutative associative algebras

Varieties of non-associative algebras

Definition

A *variety of non-associative algebras* is the class of all vector spaces equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying a certain set of polynomial identities.

Examples

- Lie algebras $xy + yx = 0, \quad x(yz) + y(zx) + z(xy) = 0$
- Associative algebras $x(yz) - (xy)z = 0$
- Commutative associative algebras
- Jordan algebras

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a *non-trivial* variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- It is a 2-variety
- It is Nielsen-Schreier

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Varieties of non-associative algebras

Definition

A *variety of non-associative algebras* is the class of all vector spaces equipped with a binary multiplication satisfying a certain set of polynomial identities.

Examples

- Lie algebras $xy + yx = 0, \quad x(yz) + y(zx) + z(xy) = 0$
- Associative algebras $x(yz) - (xy)z = 0$
- Commutative associative algebras
- Jordan algebras
- Trivial algebras $xy = 0$

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a *field of characteristic zero*.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- It is a 2-variety
- It is Nielsen-Schreier

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Characteristic zero

Over characteristic zero, every set of algebraic identities is equivalent to a multilinear one (all the monomials of the relations have exactly one x , one y , etc.)

Characteristic zero

Over characteristic zero, every set of algebraic identities is equivalent to a multilinear one (all the monomials of the relations have exactly one x , one y , etc.)

A variety of non-associative algebras is isomorphic to the class of algebras over a quotient of the free operad generated by a binary operation.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety if for any I ideal of any algebra A , I^2 is also an ideal.

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety if for any I ideal of any algebra A , I^2 is also an ideal.

Theorem (GM-Van der Linden, 2019)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of non-associative algebras. TFAE:

- \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety if for any I ideal of any algebra A , I^2 is also an ideal.

Theorem (GM-Van der Linden, 2019)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of non-associative algebras. TFAE:

- \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety
- \mathcal{V} is algebraically coherent

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety if for any I ideal of any algebra A , I^2 is also an ideal.

Theorem (GM-Van der Linden, 2019)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of non-associative algebras. TFAE:

- \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety
- \mathcal{V} is algebraically coherent
- \mathcal{V} is an Orzech-category of interest

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety if for any I ideal of any algebra A , I^2 is also an ideal.

Theorem (GM-Van der Linden, 2019)

Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of non-associative algebras. TFAE:

- \mathcal{V} is a 2-variety
- \mathcal{V} is algebraically coherent
- \mathcal{V} is an Orzech-category of interest
- there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{16}$ in \mathbb{K} such that

$$\begin{aligned} z(xy) &= \lambda_1 y(zx) + \lambda_2 x(yz) + \lambda_3 y(xz) + \lambda_4 x(zy) \\ &\quad + \lambda_5 (zx)y + \lambda_6 (yz)x + \lambda_7 (xz)y + \lambda_8 (zy)x \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)z &= \lambda_9 y(zx) + \lambda_{10} x(yz) + \lambda_{11} y(xz) + \lambda_{12} x(zy) \\ &\quad + \lambda_{13} (zx)y + \lambda_{14} (yz)x + \lambda_{15} (xz)y + \lambda_{16} (zy)x \end{aligned}$$

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is *Nielsen-Schreier* if all subalgebras of all free algebras are also free.

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is *Nielsen-Schreier* if all subalgebras of all free algebras are also free.

Theorem (Dotsenko-Umirbaev, 2023)

Suppose that the operad \mathcal{O} encoding a variety of algebras \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- for the reverse graded path-lexicographic ordering, each leading term of the reduced Gröbner basis of the corresponding shuffle operad \mathcal{O}^f has the minimal leaf directly connected to the root,*
- there exists an ordering for which each leading term of the reduced Gröbner basis of the corresponding shuffle operad \mathcal{O}^f is a left comb whose second smallest leaf is a sibling of the minimal leaf.*

then, \mathcal{V} is Nielsen-Schreier.

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is *Nielsen-Schreier* if all subalgebras of all free algebras are also free.

Corollary

A Nielsen-Schreier variety of non-associative algebras cannot have an identity where x is the inner bracket in all monomials.

Definition

A variety \mathcal{V} is *Nielsen-Schreier* if all subalgebras of all free algebras are also free.

Corollary

A Nielsen-Schreier variety of non-associative algebras cannot have an identity where x is the inner bracket in all monomials.

Corollary

The variety of associative algebras is not Nielsen-Schreier

$$y(xz) - (yx)z$$

Main Theorem

Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{V} be a non-trivial variety of non-associative algebras over a field of characteristic zero.

If \mathcal{V} satisfies the following two properties:

- *It is a 2-variety*
- *It is Nielsen-Schreier*

then, \mathcal{V} is the variety of Lie algebras.

Proof?

Both properties are kind of a *Sandwich* to each other.

The 2-variety condition *likes* identities, while the Nielsen-Schreier *doesn't like* identities.

Both properties are kind of a *Sandwich* to each other.

The 2-variety condition *likes* identities, while the Nielsen-Schreier *doesn't like* identities.

Both criteria meet in a thin line, and, with the help of some computational algebra, we end up realising that Lie algebras is the only possibility.

The condition (LACC) can be encoded as the preservation of coproducts of the $B\flat$ -functor, i.e. a category is LACC if the canonical morphism

$$B\flat X + B\flat Y \rightarrow B\flat(X + Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

The condition (LACC) can be encoded as the preservation of coproducts of the $B\flat$ -functor, i.e. a category is LACC if the canonical morphism

$$B\flat X + B\flat Y \rightarrow B\flat(X + Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

We know that it is a surjection if and only if it is algebraic coherent. The obvious question is, is the injectivity of this morphism related to Nielsen-Schreier?

The condition (LACC) can be encoded as the preservation of coproducts of the $B\flat$ - functor, i.e. a category is LACC if the canonical morphism

$$B\flat X + B\flat Y \rightarrow B\flat(X + Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

We know that it is a surjection if and only if it is algebraic coherent. The obvious question is, is the injectivity of this morphism related to Nielsen-Schreier?

Both proofs have some similarities but they are not equivalent to each other.

References



Deval, GM, Van der Linden.
A universal Kaluzhnin–Krasner embedding theorem.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 152(12), 5039–5053, (2024).



Dotsenko, GM.
A characterisation of Lie algebras using ideals and subalgebras.
Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 56(7), 2408–2423, (2024).



Dotsenko, Umirbaev.
An effective criterion for Nielsen–Schreier varieties.
Int. Math. Res. Not. 23, 20385–20432, (2023).



GM, Tsishyn, Van der Linden, Vienne.
Algebras with representable representations.
Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 64(3), 555–573, (2021).



GM, Van der Linden.
A characterisation of Lie algebras via algebraic exponentiation.
Adv. Math., 341, 92–117, (2019).