Generalised ultracategories and conceptual completeness of geometric logic

Ali Hamad

CT2025, July 15

Ultracategories

- Ultracategories (introduced by Makkai and then by Lurie) are an axiomatisation of the idea of a category with ultraproducts.
- They were used by Makkai and later by Lurie to show conceptual completeness theorems.
- These are reconstruction theorems, allowing to recover a coherent theory via some syntactic object from its category of models (semantics).

Ultracategories

- Ultracategories (introduced by Makkai and then by Lurie) are an axiomatisation of the idea of a category with ultraproducts.
- They were used by Makkai and later by Lurie to show conceptual completeness theorems.
- These are reconstruction theorems, allowing to recover a coherent theory via some syntactic object from its category of models (semantics).
- Among several notion of functors between ultracategories we distinguish between ultrafunctors (ultraproduct preserving functors), and left ultrafunctors (functors with comparison maps $\sigma_{\mu}: F(\int_{I} M_{i} d\mu) \rightarrow \int_{I} F(M_{i}) d\mu$

Theorem (Makkai's conceptual completeness)

Let a P be a pretopos, then P is equivalent to $Ult(Points_P, Set)$.

Theorem (Makkai's conceptual completeness)

Let a P be a pretopos, then P is equivalent to $Ult(Points_P, Set)$.

Theorem (Lurie's Conceptual completeness)

Let E be a coherent topos, then E is equivalent to $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points_E},\operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}).$

Theorem (Makkai's conceptual completeness)

Let a P be a pretopos, then P is equivalent to $Ult(Points_P, Set)$.

Theorem (Lurie's Conceptual completeness)

Let E be a coherent topos, then E is equivalent to $Lult(Points_E, Set)$.

In Lurie's case, the proof "relies" on the fact that Compact
Hausdorff spaces are dense inside the 2-category of ultracategories,
hence the ultrastructure can be recovered by left ultrafunctors from
compact Hausdorff spaces.

Theorem (Makkai's conceptual completeness)

Let a P be a pretopos, then P is equivalent to $Ult(Points_P, Set)$.

Theorem (Lurie's Conceptual completeness)

Let E be a coherent topos, then E is equivalent to $Lult(Points_E, Set)$.

- In Lurie's case, the proof "relies" on the fact that Compact
 Hausdorff spaces are dense inside the 2-category of ultracategories,
 hence the ultrastructure can be recovered by left ultrafunctors from
 compact Hausdorff spaces.
- We wish to extend Lurie's result to any topos with enough points, but this requires a new language.

Generalised ultracategories

 Let us think of how a multicategory generalises a category: A multimorphism in a multicategory looks like this:

$$(M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n) \xrightarrow{f} \Lambda$$

Generalised ultracategories

 Let us think of how a multicategory generalises a category: A multimorphism in a multicategory looks like this:

$$(M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n) \xrightarrow{f} N$$

• We use the same idea in a generalised ultracategory, but we replace the collection of objects $(M_i)_{i=1}^n$ by a formal ultraproduct of a collection of objects $(M_i)_{i\in I}^n$, with respect to an ultrafilter μ :

$$N \longrightarrow \int_{I} M_{i} d\mu$$

Generalised ultracategories

 Let us think of how a multicategory generalises a category: A multimorphism in a multicategory looks like this:

$$(M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_n) \xrightarrow{f} N$$

• We use the same idea in a generalised ultracategory, but we replace the collection of objects $(M_i)_{i=1}^n$ by a formal ultraproduct of a collection of objects $(M_i)_{i\in I}^n$, with respect to an ultrafilter μ :

$$N \longrightarrow \int_{I} M_{i} d\mu$$

 Similar to ultracategories, we may define left ultrafunctors between generalised ultracategories, and their natural transformations, giving rise to a 2-category of generalised ultracategories.

 The first example of generalised ultracategories is the points of a topological space, where the generalised ultrastructure encodes ultrafilter convergence.

- The first example of generalised ultracategories is the points of a topological space, where the generalised ultrastructure encodes ultrafilter convergence.
- The most important example of generalised ultracategories is that of point of a topos (geometric morphisms from Set to the topos).
- These are the models of the geometric theory classified by the topos.

- The first example of generalised ultracategories is the points of a topological space, where the generalised ultrastructure encodes ultrafilter convergence.
- The most important example of generalised ultracategories is that of point of a topos (geometric morphisms from Set to the topos).
- These are the models of the geometric theory classified by the topos.
- Given a site of definition (C, \mathcal{J}) of a topos E, the points of this topos are equivalent to \mathcal{J} -continuous flat functors from (C, \mathcal{J}) to Set, where (C, \mathcal{J}) is a site of definition of this topos.

- The first example of generalised ultracategories is the points of a topological space, where the generalised ultrastructure encodes ultrafilter convergence.
- The most important example of generalised ultracategories is that of point of a topos (geometric morphisms from Set to the topos).
- These are the models of the geometric theory classified by the topos.
- Given a site of definition (C, \mathcal{J}) of a topos E, the points of this topos are equivalent to \mathcal{J} -continuous flat functors from (C, \mathcal{J}) to Set, where (C, \mathcal{J}) is a site of definition of this topos.
- The ultraproduct of points is not necessarily a point, but still makes sense inside Fun(C, Set).

- The first example of generalised ultracategories is the points of a topological space, where the generalised ultrastructure encodes ultrafilter convergence.
- The most important example of generalised ultracategories is that of point of a topos (geometric morphisms from Set to the topos).
- These are the models of the geometric theory classified by the topos.
- Given a site of definition (C, \mathcal{J}) of a topos E, the points of this topos are equivalent to \mathcal{J} -continuous flat functors from (C, \mathcal{J}) to Set, where (C, \mathcal{J}) is a site of definition of this topos.
- The ultraproduct of points is not necessarily a point, but still makes sense inside $\operatorname{Fun}(C,\operatorname{Set})$.
- So we may define the generalised ultrastructure by $\operatorname{Hom}(N, \int_I M_i d\mu)$ inside $\operatorname{Fun}(C, \operatorname{Set})$.

Theorem

Let E and E' be two toposes with enough points, then there is an equivalence of categories between $\operatorname{Geom}(E,E')$ and $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points}_E,\operatorname{Points}_{E'})$

Theorem

Let E and E' be two toposes with enough points, then there is an equivalence of categories between $\operatorname{Geom}(E,E')$ and $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points}_E,\operatorname{Points}_{E'})$

Theorem

In particular, for any topos with enough points E we have an equivalence between E and $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points}_E,\operatorname{Set})$

Theorem

Let E and E' be two toposes with enough points, then there is an equivalence of categories between $\operatorname{Geom}(E,E')$ and $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points}_E,\operatorname{Points}_{E'})$

Theorem

In particular, for any topos with enough points E we have an equivalence between E and $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points}_E,\operatorname{\mathsf{Set}})$

The main theorem is equivalent to saying that the full subcategory
of the category of generalised ultracategories whose objects are
points of toposes, is equivalent to the 2-Category of Toposes (with
enough points).

Theorem

Let E and E' be two toposes with enough points, then there is an equivalence of categories between $\operatorname{Geom}(E,E')$ and $\operatorname{Lult}(\operatorname{Points}_E,\operatorname{Points}_{E'})$

Theorem

In particular, for any topos with enough points E we have an equivalence between E and $Lult(Points_E, Set)$

- The main theorem is equivalent to saying that the full subcategory of the category of generalised ultracategories whose objects are points of toposes, is equivalent to the 2-Category of Toposes (with enough points).
- We can deduce Lurie's conceptual completeness from the last theorem, by restricting our attention to the case where E is a coherent topos.

• We start by doing the proof in the case E is a topological space and E' is $S[\mathcal{O}]$ the classifying topos of theory of objects, in other words we show that for any space X, we have $\operatorname{Lult}(X, \operatorname{Set}) \simeq \operatorname{Sh}(X)$.

- We start by doing the proof in the case E is a topological space and E' is $S[\mathcal{O}]$ the classifying topos of theory of objects, in other words we show that for any space X, we have $\operatorname{Lult}(X, \operatorname{Set}) \simeq \operatorname{Sh}(X)$.
- The next thing we do is to show the theorem in the case where E is a topological space and E' is an arbitrary topos.

- We start by doing the proof in the case E is a topological space and E' is $S[\mathcal{O}]$ the classifying topos of theory of objects, in other words we show that for any space X, we have $\operatorname{Lult}(X, \operatorname{Set}) \simeq \operatorname{Sh}(X)$.
- The next thing we do is to show the theorem in the case where E is a topological space and $E^{'}$ is an arbitrary topos.
- Finally we can show the theorem in its full generality, by paralleling two facts:
- Topological spaces are dense inside generalised ultracategories, i.e. the generalised ultrastructure is fully determined by left ultrafunctors from topological spaces.

- We start by doing the proof in the case E is a topological space and E' is $S[\mathcal{O}]$ the classifying topos of theory of objects, in other words we show that for any space X, we have $\operatorname{Lult}(X, \operatorname{Set}) \simeq \operatorname{Sh}(X)$.
- The next thing we do is to show the theorem in the case where E is a topological space and E' is an arbitrary topos.
- Finally we can show the theorem in its full generality, by paralleling two facts:
- Topological spaces are dense inside generalised ultracategories, i.e. the generalised ultrastructure is fully determined by left ultrafunctors from topological spaces.
- The next ingredient we need is a theorem by Moerdijk and Butz, stating that any topos with enough points is a colimit of a topological groupoid.