

Compact, Hausdorff and locally compact locales internal in toposes

V. Aravantinos, P. Karazeris & J. Wrigley

CT 2025, Brno, July 2025

Internal frames in presheaves on a small category

- Frames $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ are characterized as presheaves of frames $L: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ such that

Internal frames in presheaves on a small category

- Frames $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ are characterized as presheaves of frames $L: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ such that
- for all $f: b \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a left adjoint $\Sigma_f \dashv Lf: La \rightarrow Lb$ with $\Sigma_f(u \wedge Lfv) = \Sigma_f u \wedge v$ and

Internal frames in presheaves on a small category

- Frames $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ are characterized as presheaves of frames $L: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ such that
- for all $f: b \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a left adjoint $\Sigma_f \dashv Lf: La \rightarrow Lb$ with $\Sigma_f(u \wedge Lfv) = \Sigma_f u \wedge v$ and
- (when \mathcal{C} has finite limits) for every pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d & \xrightarrow{h} & c \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ b & \xrightarrow{g} & a \end{array}$$

Internal frames in presheaves on a small category

- Frames $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ are characterized as presheaves of frames $L: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ such that
- for all $f: b \rightarrow a \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a left adjoint $\Sigma_f \dashv Lf: La \rightarrow Lb$ with $\Sigma_f(u \wedge Lfv) = \Sigma_f u \wedge v$ and
- (when \mathcal{C} has finite limits) for every pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d & \xrightarrow{h} & c \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ b & \xrightarrow{g} & a \end{array}$$

•

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ld & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_h} & Lc \\ Lk \uparrow & & \uparrow Lf \\ Lb & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_g} & La \end{array}$$

commutes.

Internal frames associated with a presheaf of frames.

- The forgetful $U: \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Frm}]$ has a left adjoint $\mathfrak{z} \dashv U$ given on objects by

Internal frames associated with a presheaf of frames.

- The forgetful $U: \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Frm}]$ has a left adjoint $\mathfrak{z} \dashv U$ given on objects by

-

$$\mathfrak{z}L(a) = \{(u_f) \in \prod_{f: b \rightarrow a} L(b) \mid \forall g: c \rightarrow b \ (L(g)(u_f) \leq u_{fg})\}$$

Internal frames associated with a presheaf of frames.

- The forgetful $U: \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Frm}]$ has a left adjoint $\mathfrak{z} \dashv U$ given on objects by

•

$$\mathfrak{z}L(a) = \{(u_f) \in \prod_{f: b \rightarrow a} L(b) \mid \forall g: c \rightarrow b \ (L(g)(u_f) \leq u_{fg})\}$$

- On arrows $r: b \rightarrow a$ in \mathcal{C} , $\mathfrak{z}L(r): \mathfrak{z}L(a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}L(b)$ sends the tuple $(u_f)_{\vartheta_1 f = a}$ to $(v_h)_{\vartheta_1 h = b}$, where $v_h = u_{rh}$.

Internal frames associated with a presheaf of frames.

- The forgetful $U: \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Frm}]$ has a left adjoint $\mathfrak{z} \dashv U$ given on objects by

•

$$\mathfrak{z}L(a) = \{(u_f) \in \prod_{f: b \rightarrow a} L(b) \mid \forall g: c \rightarrow b \ (L(g)(u_f) \leq u_{fg})\}$$

- On arrows $r: b \rightarrow a$ in \mathcal{C} , $\mathfrak{z}L(r): \mathfrak{z}L(a) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}L(b)$ sends the tuple $(u_f)_{\vartheta_1 f = a}$ to $(v_h)_{\vartheta_1 h = b}$, where $v_h = u_{rh}$.
- The left adjoint $\Sigma_r^{\mathfrak{z}L}$ to Lr is given by

$$\Sigma_r^{\mathfrak{z}L}(u_f) = (\bigvee_{rf=g} u_f)_g.$$

- The construction appears in (S. Henry and C. Townsend, *Compact Hausdorff locales in a presheaf topos*) as the way to universally turn a lax transformation into a strict one, for functors with values in Pos-enriched categories.

- The construction appears in (S. Henry and C. Townsend, *Compact Hausdorff locales in a presheaf topos*) as the way to universally turn a lax transformation into a strict one, for functors with values in Pos-enriched categories.
- They show that the construction can be used to characterize the poset of internal ideals on an internal \wedge -semilattice $S: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \wedge\text{-SLat}$ and sub-posets of it determined by geometric conditions.

- The construction appears in (S. Henry and C. Townsend, *Compact Hausdorff locales in a presheaf topos*) as the way to universally turn a lax transformation into a strict one, for functors with values in Pos-enriched categories.
- They show that the construction can be used to characterize the poset of internal ideals on an internal \wedge -semilattice $S: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \wedge\text{-SLat}$ and sub-posets of it determined by geometric conditions.



$$\text{idl}_{\widehat{\mathcal{C}}}(S)(a) = \mathfrak{z}(\text{idl} \circ S)(a)$$

- The construction appears in (S. Henry and C. Townsend, *Compact Hausdorff locales in a presheaf topos*) as the way to universally turn a lax transformation into a strict one, for functors with values in Pos-enriched categories.
- They show that the construction can be used to characterize the poset of internal ideals on an internal \wedge -semilattice $S: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \wedge\text{-SLat}$ and sub-posets of it determined by geometric conditions.

$$\text{idl}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(S)(a) = \mathfrak{J}(\text{idl} \circ S)(a)$$

$$\text{idl}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(S)(a) = \{(I_f) \in \prod_{f: b \rightarrow a} \text{idl}(S(b)) \mid \forall g: c \rightarrow b (L(g)[I_f] \subseteq I_{fg})\}$$

- A frame is locally compact if there exists a string of adjoint maps

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-) \dashv \downarrow(-): L \rightarrow \text{idl}L$$

- A frame is locally compact if there exists a string of adjoint maps

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-) \dashv \downarrow(-): L \rightarrow \text{idl}L$$

- For a locally compact $L \in \text{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set}]$ denote

$$\Lambda \dashv D \dashv \zeta: L \rightarrow \text{idl}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(L)$$

this string of adjoints.

- A frame is locally compact if there exists a string of adjoint maps

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-) \dashv \downarrow(-): L \rightarrow \text{idl}L$$

- For a locally compact $L \in \text{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set}]$ denote

$$\Lambda \dashv D \dashv \zeta: L \rightarrow \text{idl}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(L)$$

this string of adjoints.

- In terms of sections we have $\zeta_a(u) = (\downarrow Lf(u))_f$, from which we get, for $I \in \text{idl}(La)$, $\bigvee I = D_a(LfI)_f$ and hence an extra left adjoint $\lambda_a: La \rightarrow \text{idl}(La)$ can be calculated as

- A frame is locally compact if there exists a string of adjoint maps

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-) \dashv \downarrow(-): L \rightarrow \text{idl}L$$

- For a locally compact $L \in \text{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set}]$ denote

$$\Lambda \dashv D \dashv \zeta: L \rightarrow \text{idl}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(L)$$

this string of adjoints.

- In terms of sections we have $\zeta_a(u) = (\downarrow Lf(u))_f$, from which we get, for $I \in \text{idl}(La)$, $\bigvee I = D_a(LfI)_f$ and hence an extra left adjoint $\lambda_a: La \rightarrow \text{idl}(La)$ can be calculated as

•

$$\lambda_a(u) = \langle \bigcup_f \Sigma_f(\Lambda_a u)_f \rangle.$$

- A frame is locally compact if there exists a string of adjoint maps

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-) \dashv \downarrow(-): L \rightarrow \text{idl}L$$

- For a locally compact $L \in \text{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set}]$ denote

$$\Lambda \dashv D \dashv \zeta: L \rightarrow \text{idl}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(L)$$

this string of adjoints.

- In terms of sections we have $\zeta_a(u) = (\downarrow Lf(u))_f$, from which we get, for $I \in \text{idl}(La)$, $\bigvee I = D_a(LfI)_f$ and hence an extra left adjoint $\lambda_a: La \rightarrow \text{idl}(La)$ can be calculated as

•

$$\lambda_a(u) = \langle \bigcup_f \Sigma_f(\Lambda_a u)_f \rangle.$$

- **Proposition:** The sections of an internally locally compact frame are locally compact.

- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is locally compact, then for all the transitions $Lg: La \rightarrow Lb$, if $w \ll u \in La$, then $Lg(w) \ll Lg(u)$ in Lb .

- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is locally compact, then for all the transitions $Lg: La \rightarrow Lb$, if $w \ll u \in La$, then $Lg(w) \ll Lg(u)$ in Lb .
- **Proof:** Using lax compatibility of the family $(\Lambda_a u)_f$ and the naturality of Λ and the Beck - Chevalley condition for L , for the pullback along any f

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \xrightarrow{h} & b \\ k \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \cdot & \xrightarrow{f} & a, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Lg[\langle \Sigma_f(\Lambda_a u)_f \rangle] &= \Sigma_h Lk[(\Lambda_a u)_f] \\ &\subseteq \Sigma_h [(\Lambda_a u)_{fk}] \\ &= \Sigma_h [(\Lambda_a u)_{gh}] \\ \text{naturality of } \Lambda &= \Sigma_h [(\Lambda_b Lgu)_{gh}] \\ &\subseteq \langle \bigcup_h \Sigma_h [(\Lambda_b Lgu)_{gh}] \rangle \\ &= \lambda_b(Lgu) \end{aligned}$$

- Locally compact frames are isomorphic to their lattices of *rounded* ideals (ideals I such that for all $u \in I$ there exists $w \in I$, $u \ll w$):

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-): \text{Rid}L \cong L.$$

- Locally compact frames are isomorphic to their lattices of *rounded* ideals (ideals I such that for all $u \in I$ there exists $w \in I$, $u \ll w$):

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-): \text{Rid}L \cong L.$$

- The isomorphism

$$\prod_{\vartheta_1 f = a} \text{Rid}L(L(\vartheta_0 f)) \cong \prod_{\vartheta_1 f = a} L(\vartheta_0 f).$$

- Locally compact frames are isomorphic to their lattices of *rounded* ideals (ideals I such that for all $u \in I$ there exists $w \in I$, $u \ll w$):

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-): \text{Ridl}L \cong L.$$

- The isomorphism

$$\prod_{\vartheta_1 f = a} \text{Ridl}(L(\vartheta_0 f)) \cong \prod_{\vartheta_1 f = a} L(\vartheta_0 f).$$

- restricts by the previous Proposition to one

$$\mathfrak{z}L(a) \cong \mathfrak{z}(\text{Ridl} \circ L)(a) \cong \text{Ridl}_{\hat{c}}(L)(a) \cong L(a)$$

- Locally compact frames are isomorphic to their lattices of *rounded* ideals (ideals I such that for all $u \in I$ there exists $w \in I$, $u \ll w$):

$$\downarrow(-) \dashv \bigvee(-): \text{Rid}l L \cong L.$$

- The isomorphism

$$\prod_{\vartheta_1 f = a} \text{Rid}l(L(\vartheta_0 f)) \cong \prod_{\vartheta_1 f = a} L(\vartheta_0 f).$$

- restricts by the previous Proposition to one

$${}_3L(a) \cong {}_3(\text{Rid}l \circ L)(a) \cong \text{Rid}l_{\hat{C}}(L)(a) \cong L(a)$$

- Theorem:** $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is locally compact iff (i) all sections are locally compact, (ii) all transitions $Lg: La \rightarrow Lb$ preserve \ll and (iii) $L \cong {}_3L$. (Using C. Townsend, *Cahiers* LXVI-2, 19–32 (2025).)

- Concerning compactness:

- Concerning compactness:
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact, then all its sections La are compact.

- Concerning compactness:
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact, then all its sections La are compact.
- Concerning the Hausdorff property (closedness of $\nabla: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$), preservation of coproducts by \mathfrak{z} and properties of closed maps give

- Concerning compactness:
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact, then all its sections La are compact.
- Concerning the Hausdorff property (closedness of $\nabla: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$), preservation of coproducts by \mathfrak{z} and properties of closed maps give
- **Proposition:** Let $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ be such that $\mathfrak{z}L$ is Hausdorff. Then, for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$, La is Hausdorff.

- Concerning compactness:
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact, then all its sections La are compact.
- Concerning the Hausdorff property (closedness of $\nabla: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$), preservation of coproducts by \mathfrak{z} and properties of closed maps give
- **Proposition:** Let $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ be such that $\mathfrak{z}L$ is Hausdorff. Then, for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$, La is Hausdorff.
- Since compact Hausdorff locales are locally compact hence isomorphic to $\mathfrak{z}L$

- Concerning compactness:
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact, then all its sections La are compact.
- Concerning the Hausdorff property (closedness of $\nabla: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$), preservation of coproducts by \mathfrak{z} and properties of closed maps give
- **Proposition:** Let $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ be such that $\mathfrak{z}L$ is Hausdorff. Then, for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$, La is Hausdorff.
- Since compact Hausdorff locales are locally compact hence isomorphic to $\mathfrak{z}L$
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact Hausdorff then all its sections La are compact Hausdorff.

- Concerning compactness:
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact, then all its sections La are compact.
- Concerning the Hausdorff property (closedness of $\nabla: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$), preservation of coproducts by \mathfrak{z} and properties of closed maps give
- **Proposition:** Let $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ be such that $\mathfrak{z}L$ is Hausdorff. Then, for all $a \in \mathcal{C}$, La is Hausdorff.
- Since compact Hausdorff locales are locally compact hence isomorphic to $\mathfrak{z}L$
- **Proposition:** If $L \in \mathbf{Frm}[\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Set}]$ is compact Hausdorff then all its sections La are compact Hausdorff.
- THANK YOU!