

WEAK ACTION REPRESENTABILITY AND CATEGORIES OF ALGEBRAS

Manuel Mancini

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università degli Studi di Palermo, Italy

Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique
Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium

Supported by **Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique–F.N.R.S.**

INTERNATIONAL CATEGORY THEORY CONFERENCE CT2025
Brno, 14/07/2025

Joint work with Xabier García Martínez and Federica Piazza

Definition (F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, 2005)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Act}(-, X)$ is representable.

Definition (F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, 2005)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Act}(-, X)$ is representable. This means that there exists an object $[X]$ of \mathcal{C} , called the *actor* of X , and a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, [X]).$$

Definition (F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, 2005)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Act}(-, X)$ is representable. This means that there exists an object $[X]$ of \mathcal{C} , called the *actor* of X , and a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, [X]).$$

- Prototype examples: **Grp**, **Lie** and any abelian category.

Definition (F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, 2005)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Act}(-, X)$ is representable. This means that there exists an object $[X]$ of \mathcal{C} , called the *actor* of X , and a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, [X]).$$

- Prototype examples: **Grp**, **Lie** and any abelian category.
- In **Grp**, the actor of X is $\text{Aut}(X)$.

Definition (F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, 2005)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Act}(-, X)$ is representable. This means that there exists an object $[X]$ of \mathcal{C} , called the *actor* of X , and a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, [X]).$$

- Prototype examples: **Grp**, **Lie** and any abelian category.
- In **Grp**, the actor of X is $\text{Aut}(X)$.
- In **Lie**, the actor of X is $\text{Der}(X)$.

Definition (F. Borceux, G. Janelidze and G. M. Kelly, 2005)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Act}(-, X)$ is representable. This means that there exists an object $[X]$ of \mathcal{C} , called the *actor* of X , and a natural isomorphism

$$\text{SplExt}(-, X) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, [X]).$$

- Prototype examples: **Grp**, **Lie** and any abelian category.
- In **Grp**, the actor of X is $\text{Aut}(X)$.
- In **Lie**, the actor of X is $\text{Der}(X)$.
- In any abelian category, the actor of X is 0 .

Weakly action representable categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2022)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *weakly action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ admits a weak representation.

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2022)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *weakly action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ admits a weak representation. This means there exist an object T of \mathcal{C} and a monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \rightarrowtail \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, T).$$

Weakly action representable categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2022)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *weakly action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ admits a weak representation. This means there exist an object T of \mathcal{C} and a monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \rightarrowtail \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, T).$$

An object T as above is called *weak representing object* of X , the pair (T, τ) is called *weak representation* of $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ and $(\varphi: B \rightarrow T) \in \text{Im}(\tau_B)$ is called *acting morphism*.

Weakly action representable categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2022)

A semi-abelian category \mathcal{C} is *weakly action representable* if for every object X in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ admits a weak representation. This means there exist an object T of \mathcal{C} and a monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \rightarrowtail \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, T).$$

An object T as above is called *weak representing object* of X , the pair (T, τ) is called *weak representation* of $\text{SplExt}(-, X)$ and $(\varphi: B \rightarrow T) \in \text{Im}(\tau_B)$ is called *acting morphism*.

- Examples: **Assoc**, **Leib** and any action representable category.

Theorem (J. Brox, X. García Martínez, M. M., T. Van der Linden, C. Vienne, 2025)

Let \mathcal{V} be an action accessible variety of non-associative algebras, let \mathbf{PAlg} be the category of partial algebras and let $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{PAlg}$ be the forgetful functor.

Theorem (J. Brox, X. García Martínez, M. M., T. Van der Linden, C. Vienne, 2025)

Let \mathcal{V} be an action accessible variety of non-associative algebras, let \mathbf{PAlg} be the category of partial algebras and let $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{PAlg}$ be the forgetful functor.

- Let X be an object of \mathcal{V} . There exists a monomorphism of functors*

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PAlg}}(U(-), \mathcal{E}(X)).$$

Theorem (J. Brox, X. García Martínez, M. M., T. Van der Linden, C. Vienne, 2025)

Let \mathcal{V} be an action accessible variety of non-associative algebras, let \mathbf{PAlg} be the category of partial algebras and let $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{PAlg}$ be the forgetful functor.

- Let X be an object of \mathcal{V} . There exists a monomorphism of functors*

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PAlg}}(U(-), \mathcal{E}(X)).$$

- If $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is an object of \mathcal{V} , then it is a weak representing object of X .*

Theorem (J. Brox, X. García Martínez, M. M., T. Van der Linden, C. Vienne, 2025)

Let \mathcal{V} be an action accessible variety of non-associative algebras, let \mathbf{PAlg} be the category of partial algebras and let $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{PAlg}$ be the forgetful functor.

- Let X be an object of \mathcal{V} . There exists a monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PAlg}}(U(-), \mathcal{E}(X)).$$

- If $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is an object of \mathcal{V} , then it is a weak representing object of X .

Definition

The partial algebra $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is called *external weak actor* of X .

Theorem (J. Brox, X. García Martínez, M. M., T. Van der Linden, C. Vienne, 2025)

Let \mathcal{V} be an action accessible variety of non-associative algebras, let \mathbf{PAlg} be the category of partial algebras and let $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{PAlg}$ be the forgetful functor.

- Let X be an object of \mathcal{V} . There exists a monomorphism of functors

$$\tau: \text{SplExt}(-, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PAlg}}(U(-), \mathcal{E}(X)).$$

- If $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is an object of \mathcal{V} , then it is a weak representing object of X .

Definition

The partial algebra $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is called *external weak actor* of X . When τ is a natural isomorphism, we say that $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is an *external actor* of X .

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow *action accessible category*

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Proposition (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

Let \mathcal{C} be an action representable category and let \mathcal{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathcal{C} .

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Proposition (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

Let \mathcal{C} be an action representable category and let \mathcal{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Suppose there exist two monomorphisms $m: S \rightarrowtail B$, $m': S \rightarrowtail B'$ in \mathcal{X} , two monomorphisms $u: B \rightarrowtail D$, $u': B' \rightarrowtail D$ in \mathcal{C} , an object X of \mathcal{X} and a monomorphism $v: D \rightarrowtail [X]$ such that:

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Proposition (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

Let \mathcal{C} be an action representable category and let \mathcal{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Suppose there exist two monomorphisms $m: S \rightarrowtail B$, $m': S \rightarrowtail B'$ in \mathcal{X} , two monomorphisms $u: B \rightarrowtail D$, $u': B' \rightarrowtail D$ in \mathcal{C} , an object X of \mathcal{X} and a monomorphism $v: D \rightarrowtail [X]$ such that:

- *m and m' cannot be amalgamated in \mathcal{X} .*

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Proposition (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

Let \mathcal{C} be an action representable category and let \mathcal{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Suppose there exist two monomorphisms $m: S \rightarrowtail B$, $m': S \rightarrowtail B'$ in \mathcal{X} , two monomorphisms $u: B \rightarrowtail D$, $u': B' \rightarrowtail D$ in \mathcal{C} , an object X of \mathcal{X} and a monomorphism $v: D \rightarrowtail [X]$ such that:

- m and m' cannot be amalgamated in \mathcal{X} .*
- The pair (u, u') is an amalgam of (m, m') , i.e., $um = u'm'$.*

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Proposition (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

Let \mathcal{C} be an action representable category and let \mathcal{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Suppose there exist two monomorphisms $m: S \rightarrowtail B$, $m': S \rightarrowtail B'$ in \mathcal{X} , two monomorphisms $u: B \rightarrowtail D$, $u': B' \rightarrowtail D$ in \mathcal{C} , an object X of \mathcal{X} and a monomorphism $v: D \rightarrowtail [X]$ such that:

- *m and m' cannot be amalgamated in \mathcal{X} .*
- *The pair (u, u') is an amalgam of (m, m') , i.e., $um = u'm'$.*
- *The split extensions with kernel X corresponding to vu and vu' are in \mathcal{X} .*

Question: does the converse of the implication

weakly action representable category \Rightarrow action accessible category

hold in the context of varieties of algebras over a field?

Proposition (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

Let \mathcal{C} be an action representable category and let \mathcal{X} be a Birkhoff subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Suppose there exist two monomorphisms $m: S \rightarrowtail B$, $m': S \rightarrowtail B'$ in \mathcal{X} , two monomorphisms $u: B \rightarrowtail D$, $u': B' \rightarrowtail D$ in \mathcal{C} , an object X of \mathcal{X} and a monomorphism $v: D \rightarrowtail [X]$ such that:

- m and m' cannot be amalgamated in \mathcal{X} .*
- The pair (u, u') is an amalgam of (m, m') , i.e., $um = u'm'$.*
- The split extensions with kernel X corresponding to vu and vu' are in \mathcal{X} .*

Then, the category \mathcal{X} is not weakly action representable.

Theorem (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_t(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($t \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_t(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($t \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (X. García Martínez, M. M., 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_2(\mathbf{Grp})$ and $\mathbf{Nil}_k(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($k \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_t(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($t \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (X. García Martínez, M. M., 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_2(\mathbf{Grp})$ and $\mathbf{Nil}_k(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($k \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (X. García Martínez, M. M., 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_t(\mathbf{Lie})$ ($t \geq 2$) and $\mathbf{Nil}_k(\mathbf{Lie})$ ($k \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (J. R. A. Gray, 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_t(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($t \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (X. García Martínez, M. M., 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_2(\mathbf{Grp})$ and $\mathbf{Nil}_k(\mathbf{Grp})$ ($k \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

Theorem (X. García Martínez, M. M., 2025)

The varieties $\mathbf{Sol}_t(\mathbf{Lie})$ ($t \geq 2$) and $\mathbf{Nil}_k(\mathbf{Lie})$ ($k \geq 3$) are not weakly action representable.

- **Open question:** is the variety $\mathbf{Nil}_2(\mathbf{Grp})$ weakly action representable?

Unitary algebras



Definition

A variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} is *unit-closed* if for any algebra X of \mathcal{V} , the algebra \tilde{X} obtained by adjoining to X the external unit 1 , together with the identities $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$, is still an object of \mathcal{V} .

Definition

A variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} is *unit-closed* if for any algebra X of \mathcal{V} , the algebra \tilde{X} obtained by adjoining to X the external unit 1, together with the identities $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$, is still an object of \mathcal{V} .

Examples

- **Assoc**, **CAssoc**, **Alt** are unit-closed.

Unitary algebras

Definition

A variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} is *unit-closed* if for any algebra X of \mathcal{V} , the algebra \tilde{X} obtained by adjoining to X the external unit 1, together with the identities $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$, is still an object of \mathcal{V} .

Examples

- **Assoc**, **CAssoc**, **Alt** are unit-closed.
- **Lie**, **Leib**, **JJord** are not unit-closed.

Unitary algebras

Definition

A variety of non-associative algebras \mathcal{V} is *unit-closed* if for any algebra X of \mathcal{V} , the algebra \tilde{X} obtained by adjoining to X the external unit 1, together with the identities $x \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot x = x$, is still an object of \mathcal{V} .

Examples

- **Assoc**, **CAssoc**, **Alt** are unit-closed.
- **Lie**, **Leib**, **JJord** are not unit-closed.

Remark

The subcategory \mathcal{V}_1 of unitary algebras of \mathcal{V} is an *ideally exact category*.

Ideally exact categories



Ideally exact categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2024)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with pullbacks, with initial object 0 and terminal object 1 . \mathcal{C} is *ideally exact* if it is Barr exact, protomodular, has finite coproducts and the unique morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ is a regular epimorphism.

Ideally exact categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2024)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with pullbacks, with initial object 0 and terminal object 1 . \mathcal{C} is *ideally exact* if it is Barr exact, protomodular, has finite coproducts and the unique morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ is a regular epimorphism.

- **Examples:** **Ring**, **CRing**, **MVAlg**, **PrAlg**, **GAlg**, any cotopos...

Ideally exact categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2024)

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with pullbacks, with initial object 0 and terminal object 1 . \mathcal{C} is *ideally exact* if it is Barr exact, protomodular, has finite coproducts and the unique morphism $0 \rightarrow 1$ is a regular epimorphism.

- **Examples:** **Ring**, **CRing**, **MVAlg**, **PrAlg**, **GAlg**, any cotopos...

Remark (G. Janelidze, 2024)

There is a semi-abelian category \mathcal{V} and a monadic adjunction with cartesian unit

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ \mathcal{C} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{V} \\ & U & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \perp \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Examples

- One may take $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{C} \downarrow 0)$ with U and F defined in the obvious way.

Examples

- One may take $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{C} \downarrow 0)$ with U and F defined in the obvious way.
- If \mathcal{V} is a unit-closed variety of non-associative algebras, then the monadic adjunction with cartesian unit is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{F} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{U} \end{array} \mathcal{V},$$

where U forgets the unit and $F(X) = \mathbb{F} \ltimes X$, for any X in \mathcal{V} .

Action representability of ideally exact categories



Action representability of ideally exact categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2024)

An ideally exact category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if the functor

$$\mathrm{SplExt}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, U(X)): \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable for any object X of \mathcal{C} .

Action representability of ideally exact categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2024)

An ideally exact category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if the functor

$$\mathrm{SplExt}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, U(X)): \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable for any object X of \mathcal{C} .

Theorem (G. Janelidze, 2024)

The ideally exact categories **Ring** and **CRing** are action representable.

Action representability of ideally exact categories

Definition (G. Janelidze, 2024)

An ideally exact category \mathcal{C} is *action representable* if the functor

$$\mathrm{SplExt}_{\mathcal{V}}(-, U(X)): \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

is representable for any object X of \mathcal{C} .

Theorem (G. Janelidze, 2024)

The ideally exact categories **Ring** and **CRing** are action representable.

Theorem (M. M., F. Piazza, 2025)

The ideally exact categories **Assoc**₁, **CAssoc**₁, **Alt**₁, **Pois**₁, **CPois**₁ are action representable.

Thank you!



J. Brox, X. García-Martínez, M. Mancini, T. Van der Linden and C. Vienne, *Weak representability of actions of non-associative algebras*, Journal of Algebra **669** (2025), no. 18, 401–444.



A. S. Cigoli, M. Mancini and G. Metere, *On the representability of actions of Leibniz algebras and Poisson algebras*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society **66** (2023), no. 4, 998–1021.



X. García-Martínez and M. Mancini, *Action accessible and weakly action representable varieties of algebras*, Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana (2025), accepted for publication, preprint arXiv:2503.17326, 2025.



M. Mancini and F. Piazza, *Action representability in categories of unitary algebras* (2025), submitted, preprint arXiv:2503.04488.