

CT 2025 - Brno

Relational doctrines, quotient completions and projectives

Fabio Pasquali

Università degli Studi di Milano

jww

Francesco Dagnino

Università degli Studi di Genova

Primary doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{P}os \\ \underbrace{\times, 1} & & \underbrace{\wedge, \top} \\ \text{variables} & & \text{formulas} \end{array}$$

Primary doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{P}os \\ \underbrace{\times, 1} & & \underbrace{\wedge, \top} \\ \text{variables} & & \text{formulas} \end{array}$$

$$d \in P(X \times X) \quad \top \vdash d(x, x) \quad d(x, y) \vdash d(y, x) \quad d(x, y) \wedge d(y, z) \vdash d(x, z)$$

Primary doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{P}os \\
 \underbrace{\times, 1} & & \underbrace{\wedge, \top} \\
 \text{variables} & & \text{formulas}
 \end{array}$$

$$d \in P(X \times X) \quad \top \vdash d(x, x) \quad d(x, y) \vdash d(y, x) \quad d(x, y) \wedge d(y, z) \vdash d(x, z)$$

New objects (X, d) where d is an equivalence relation over X

New arrows $f: X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{C} s.t. $d(x, y) \vdash d'(f(x), f(y))$

New formulas $\varphi \in P(X)$ such that $\varphi(x) \wedge d(x, y) \vdash \varphi(y)$

Doctrine $Q_P^{op} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \mathcal{P}os$ d is the equality predicate over (X, d)

Primary doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{P}os \\
 \underbrace{\times, 1}_{\text{variables}} & & \underbrace{\wedge, \top}_{\text{formulas}}
 \end{array}$$

$$d \in P(X \times X) \quad \mathbf{1} \vdash d(x, x) \quad d(x, y) \vdash d(y, x) \quad d(x, y) \otimes d(y, z) \vdash d(x, z)$$

New objects (X, d) where d is a **distance** over X

New arrows $f: X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{C} s.t. $d(x, y) \vdash d(f(x), f(y))$

New formulas $\varphi \in P(X)$ such that $\varphi(x) \otimes d(x, y) \vdash \varphi(y)$

Doctrine $Q_P^{op} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \mathcal{P}os$ d is the equality predicate over (X, d)

Primary linear doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{P}os \\
 \underbrace{\times, 1} & & \underbrace{\otimes, \mathbf{1}} \\
 \text{variables} & & \text{formulas}
 \end{array}$$

$$d \in P(X \times X) \quad \mathbf{1} \vdash d(x, x) \quad d(x, y) \vdash d(y, x) \quad d(x, y) \otimes d(y, z) \vdash d(x, z)$$

New objects (X, d) where d is a **distance** over X

New arrows $f: X \rightarrow X'$ in \mathcal{C} s.t. $d(x, y) \vdash d(f(x), f(y))$

New formulas $\varphi \in P(X)$ such that $\varphi(x) \otimes d(x, y) \vdash \varphi(y)$

$$\text{Doctrine } Q_P^{op} \xrightarrow{\hat{P}} \mathcal{P}os \quad \underline{d \text{ is the equality predicate over } (X, d)}$$

Primary linear doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{op} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{P}os \\ \underbrace{\times, 1} & & \underbrace{\otimes, \mathbf{1}} \\ \text{variables} & & \text{formulas} \end{array}$$

Proposition (Dozen 96)

$\mathbf{1} \vdash d(x, x)$ and $\varphi(x) \otimes d(x, y) \vdash \varphi(y)$ imply $d(x, y) \dashv\vdash d(x, y) \otimes d(x, y)$

Or also: for f an arrow of \mathcal{C} , if $\exists_f \dashv P(f)$, then $\exists_f(\mathbf{1}) = \exists_f(\mathbf{1}) \otimes \exists_f(\mathbf{1})$.

Relational doctrines

Relational doctrines

$$(C \times C)^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{P}os$$

$$(X, Y) \longmapsto \underbrace{R(X, Y)}_{\text{relations from } X \text{ to } Y}$$

Relational doctrines

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} &\xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos} \\
 (X, Y) &\mapsto \underbrace{R(X, Y)}_{\text{relations from } X \text{ to } Y}
 \end{aligned}$$

- with families of monotone functions

$$R(X, Y) \times R(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{-; -} R(X, Z) \quad 1 \xrightarrow{d} R(X, X) \quad R(X, Y) \xrightarrow{(-)^\perp} R(Y, X)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (r; s); t &= r; (s; t) & d_X; r &= r = r; d_Y \\
 (r; s)^\perp &= s^\perp; r^\perp & d_X^\perp &= d_X & r^{\perp\perp} &= r
 \end{aligned}$$

Relational doctrines

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{Pos} \\
 (X, Y) & \mapsto & \underbrace{R(X, Y)}_{\text{relations from } X \text{ to } Y}
 \end{array}$$

- with families of monotone functions

$$R(X, Y) \times R(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{-; -} R(X, Z) \quad 1 \xrightarrow{d} R(X, X) \quad R(X, Y) \xrightarrow{(-)^\perp} R(Y, X)$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (r; s); t = r; (s; t) & d_X; r = r = r; d_Y & \\
 (r; s)^\perp = s^\perp; r^\perp & d_X^\perp = d_X & r^{\perp\perp} = r
 \end{array}$$

- reindexing lax preserves operations

$$R_{f,g}(r); R_{g,h}(s) \leq R_{f,h}(r; s) \quad d_X \leq R_{f,f} d_Y \quad (R_{f,g}(r))^\perp \leq R_{f,g}(r^\perp)$$

Examples

- $[0, \infty]$ is the Lawvere's quantale. $(\mathcal{S}et \times \mathcal{S}et)^{op} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{[0, \infty]}} \mathcal{P}os$

$\mathcal{L}_{[0, \infty]}(X, Y) = [0, \infty]^{X \times Y}$ $\mathcal{L}_{[0, \infty]}(f, g)$ is given by composition

$$d_X(x, x') = \begin{cases} 0 & x = x' \\ \infty & x \neq x' \end{cases} \quad (r; s)(x, z) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} r(x, y) + s(y, z)$$

- Spans over a category with weak pullbacks
- Jointly monic spans over a (locally) regular category
- **Elementary and existential doctrines (eed)**
- **Ordered categories with involution (oci)**
- ...

EEDs and OCIs

- An eed $P: \mathcal{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ models the $(\exists, =, \wedge, \top)$ -fragment of FOL.

$$d(x, x') \text{ is } x = x' \quad (r; s)(x, z) = \exists_y [r(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)] \quad r^\perp(y, x) = r(x, y)$$

EEDs and OCIs

- An eed $P: \mathcal{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}os$ models the $(\exists, =, \wedge, \top)$ -fragment of FOL.

$$d(x, x') \text{ is } x = x' \quad (r; s)(x, z) = \exists_y [r(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)] \quad r^\perp(y, x) = r(x, y)$$

Proposition. A rel. doc. is an eed if and only if it is cartesian and modular

R is **Cartesian**: $1 \xleftarrow{!} R \xrightarrow{\Delta} R \times R$ have a right adjoint in **RD**

R is **Modular**: the (Freyd's) modular laws holds: $\alpha; \beta \wedge \gamma \leq \alpha; (\beta \wedge \alpha^\perp; \gamma)$

EEDs and OCIs

- An eed $P: \mathcal{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ models the $(\exists, =, \wedge, \top)$ -fragment of FOL.

$$d(x, x') \text{ is } x = x' \quad (r; s)(x, z) = \exists y [r(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)] \quad r^\perp(y, x) = r(x, y)$$

Proposition. A rel. doc. is an eed if and only if it is cartesian and modular

R is **Cartesian**: $1 \xleftarrow{!} R \xrightarrow{\Delta} R \times R$ have a right adjoint in **RD**

R is **Modular**: the (Freyd's) modular laws holds: $\alpha; \beta \wedge \gamma \leq \alpha; (\beta \wedge \alpha^\perp; \gamma)$

- An oci is \mathcal{Pos} -enriched category \mathcal{C} with an involution $\bullet: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}: (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$$

EEDs and OCIs

- An eed $P: \mathcal{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ models the $(\exists, =, \wedge, \top)$ -fragment of FOL.

$$d(x, x') \text{ is } x = x' \quad (r; s)(x, z) = \exists y [r(x, y) \wedge s(y, z)] \quad r^\perp(y, x) = r(x, y)$$

Proposition. A rel. doc. is an eed if and only if it is cartesian and modular

R is **Cartesian**: $1 \xleftarrow{!} R \xrightarrow{\Delta} R \times R$ have a right adjoint in **RD**

R is **Modular**: the (Freyd's) modular laws holds: $\alpha; \beta \wedge \gamma \leq \alpha; (\beta \wedge \alpha^\perp; \gamma)$

- An oci is \mathcal{Pos} -enriched category \mathcal{C} with an involution $\bullet: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}: (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$$

Proposition. A rel. doc. is a oci if and only if it satisfies RUC and Ex.

R satisfies **RUC** if the graph functor $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}(X, Y)$ is surjective

R satisfies **Ex** if the graph functor $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}(X, Y)$ is injective

Quotients in relational doctrines

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos}$$

$r \in R(X, X)$ is an R -equivalence relation over X if r is

reflexive: $d_X \leq r$ symmetric: $r^\perp \leq r$ transitive: $r; r \leq r$

Quotients in relational doctrines

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos}$$

$r \in R(X, X)$ is an R -equivalence relation over X if r is

reflexive: $d_X \leq r$ symmetric: $r^\perp \leq r$ transitive: $r; r \leq r$

R has quotients if for every equiv. relation $r \in R(X, X)$ there is

$$X \xrightarrow{q} X/r$$

such that $r = R_{q,q}(d_{X/r})$ and for every $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ with $r \leq R_{f,f}(d_{X/r})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{q} & X/r \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \exists! \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

Relational quotient completion

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \longmapsto \quad (Q_R \times Q_R)^{op} \xrightarrow{R_q} \mathcal{Pos}$$

Relational quotient completion

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \longmapsto \quad (Q_R \times Q_R)^{op} \xrightarrow{R_q} \mathcal{Pos}$$

The category Q_R :

Objects: (X, r) r is an R -equivalence relation on X

Arrows: $(X, r) \xrightarrow{[f]} (Y, s)$ $r \leq R_{f,f}(s)$
 $[f] = [g]$ when $r \leq R_{f,g}(s)$

Relational quotient completion

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \longmapsto \quad (Q_R \times Q_R)^{op} \xrightarrow{R_q} \mathcal{Pos}$$

The category Q_R :

Objects: (X, r) r is an R -equivalence relation on X

Arrows: $(X, r) \xrightarrow{[f]} (Y, s)$ $r \leq R_{f,f}(s)$
 $[f] = [g]$ when $r \leq R_{f,g}(s)$

The functor R^q :

$$R^q((X, r), (Y, s)) = \{\varphi \in R(X, Y) \mid r; \varphi; s \leq \varphi\} \quad R^q_{[f],[g]}(\varphi) = R_{f,g}(\varphi)$$

Relational quotient completion

$$(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \longmapsto \quad (Q_R \times Q_R)^{op} \xrightarrow{R_q} \mathcal{Pos}$$

The category Q_R :

Objects: (X, r) r is an R -equivalence relation on X

Arrows: $(X, r) \xrightarrow{[f]} (Y, s)$ $r \leq R_{f,f}(s)$
 $[f] = [g]$ when $r \leq R_{f,g}(s)$

The functor R^q :

$$R^q((X, r), (Y, s)) = \{\varphi \in R(X, Y) \mid r; \varphi; s \leq \varphi\} \quad R^q_{[f],[g]}(\varphi) = R_{f,g}(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{QRD} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \hookrightarrow \perp \end{array} \mathbf{RD}$$

Examples

Examples

- $(Set \times Set)^{op} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}} Pos$. A $\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}$ -equiv. rel. on X is a distance on X

$$(\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]})_q \text{ is } (Met \times Met)^{op} \xrightarrow{BiMod} Pos$$

Examples

- $(Set \times Set)^{op} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}} Pos$. A $\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}$ -equiv. rel. on X is a distance on X

$$(\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]})_q \text{ is } (Met \times Met)^{op} \xrightarrow{BiMod} Pos$$

- $P: C^{op} \rightarrow Pos$ is a eed. A P -equiv. rel. ρ over X is such that

$$\vdash \rho(x, x) \qquad \rho(x, y) \vdash \rho(y, x) \qquad \rho(x, y) \wedge \rho(y, z) \vdash \rho(x, z)$$

P_q is Maietti-Rosolini elementary quotient completion.

(*Equ*, *Asm*, setoids, pers, ex/wlex completion of cartesian categories)

Examples

- $(Set \times Set)^{op} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}} \mathcal{Pos}$. A $\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}$ -equiv. rel. on X is a distance on X

$$(\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]})_q \text{ is } (Met \times Met)^{op} \xrightarrow{BiMod} \mathcal{Pos}$$

- $P: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ is a eed. A P -equiv. rel. ρ over X is such that

$$\vdash \rho(x, x) \quad \rho(x, y) \vdash \rho(y, x) \quad \rho(x, y) \wedge \rho(y, z) \vdash \rho(x, z)$$

P_q is Maietti-Rosolini elementary quotient completion.

(*Equ*, *Asm*, setoids, pers, ex/wlex completion of cartesian categories)

- \mathcal{C} is weakly lex. $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \xrightarrow{Spn} \mathcal{Pos}$. Spn -equivalence relations are pseudo equivalence relations

$$Spn_q \text{ is } (\mathcal{C}_{ex/wlex} \times \mathcal{C}_{ex/wlex})^{op} \xrightarrow{Spn_{jm}} \mathcal{Pos}$$

A (bit off-topic) remark

OCI is **RD** such that $\text{hom}_C(X, Y) \equiv \text{Map}(X, Y)$. $\text{RD} \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} \text{OCI}$

A (bit off-topic) remark

OCI is **RD** such that $\text{hom}_C(X, Y) \equiv \text{Map}(X, Y)$. $\text{RD} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \end{array} \text{OCI}$

Strong relations over a q-topos \mapsto Relations over the topos of coarse objects.

Bimod. over V -cats \mapsto Bimod. over the Cauchy-complete V -cats

$$(\mathcal{T}op \times \mathcal{T}op)^{op} \xrightarrow{cl_\beta} \mathcal{P}os \quad \mapsto \quad (\mathcal{KH} \times \mathcal{KH})^{op} \xrightarrow{cl} \mathcal{P}os$$

A (bit off-topic) remark

OCI is **RD** such that $\text{hom}_C(X, Y) \equiv \text{Map}(X, Y)$. $\text{RD} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \end{array} \text{OCI}$

Strong relations over a q-topos \mapsto Relations over the topos of coarse objects.

Bimod. over V -cats \mapsto Bimod. over the Cauchy-complete V -cats

$$(\mathcal{Top} \times \mathcal{Top})^{op} \xrightarrow{cl_\beta} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \mapsto \quad (\mathcal{KH} \times \mathcal{KH})^{op} \xrightarrow{cl} \mathcal{Pos}$$

$$\text{RD} \xrightarrow{\text{quot.}} \text{QRD} \subseteq \text{RD} \xrightarrow{\text{ruc.}} \text{OCI}$$

A (bit off-topic) remark

OCI is **RD** such that $\text{hom}_C(X, Y) \equiv \text{Map}(X, Y)$. $\text{RD} \xrightleftharpoons[\leftarrow]{\perp} \text{OCI}$

Strong relations over a q-topos \mapsto Relations over the topos of coarse objects.

Bimod. over V -cats \mapsto Bimod. over the Cauchy-complete V -cats

$$(\mathcal{Top} \times \mathcal{Top})^{op} \xrightarrow{cl_\beta} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \mapsto \quad (\mathcal{KH} \times \mathcal{KH})^{op} \xrightarrow{cl} \mathcal{Pos}$$

$$\text{RD} \xrightarrow{\text{quot.}} \text{QRD} \subseteq \text{RD} \xrightarrow{\text{ruc.}} \text{OCI}$$

$$(C \times C)^{op} \xrightarrow{Spn_{jm}} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \mapsto \quad (C_{\text{ex/reg}} \times C_{\text{ex/reg}})^{op} \xrightarrow{Spn_{jm}} \mathcal{Pos}$$

Maietti, Rosolini. *Unifying exact completions*. 2013

$$(\mathcal{Set} \times \mathcal{Set})^{op} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{[0,\infty]}} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \mapsto \quad (C\mathcal{Met} \times C\mathcal{Met})^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{BiMod}} \mathcal{Pos}$$

$$(\mathcal{Vec} \times \mathcal{Vec})^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{SN}} \mathcal{Pos} \quad \mapsto \quad (\mathcal{Ban} \times \mathcal{Ban})^{op} \xrightarrow{\text{SN}_b} \mathcal{Pos}$$

Projectives

The graph of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\Gamma_f = R_{f, \text{id}_Y}(\text{d}_X)$. We say that f is surjective if

$$\text{d}_Y \leq \Gamma_f^\perp; \Gamma_f$$

Projectives

The graph of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\Gamma_f = R_{f, \text{id}_Y}(\text{d}_Y)$. We say that f is surjective if

$$\text{d}_Y \leq \Gamma_f^\perp; \Gamma_f$$

Let $T: R \rightarrow R$ be a monad in **RD**: the rel. doc. $(\mathcal{C}^T \times \mathcal{C}^T)^{op} \xrightarrow{R^T} \mathcal{Pos}$

$$(A, \alpha), (B, \beta) \mapsto \{r \in R(A, B) \mid \alpha^\perp; T(r); \beta \leq r\}$$

Projectives

The graph of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\Gamma_f = R_{f, \text{id}_Y}(\text{d}_Y)$. We say that f is surjective if

$$\text{d}_Y \leq \Gamma_f^\perp; \Gamma_f$$

Let $T: R \rightarrow R$ be a monad in **RD**: the rel. doc. $(C^T \times C^T)^{op} \xrightarrow{R^T} \mathcal{Pos}$

$$(A, \alpha), (B, \beta) \mapsto \{r \in R(A, B) \mid \alpha^\perp; T(r); \beta \leq r\}$$

Propositions

Suppose $R: (C \times C)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ has quotients and $T: R \rightarrow R$ is a monad:

- R^T has quotients

Projectives

The graph of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\Gamma_f = R_{f, \text{id}_Y}(\text{id}_X)$. We say that f is surjective if

$$\text{id}_Y \leq \Gamma_f^\perp; \Gamma_f$$

Let $T: R \rightarrow R$ be a monad in **RD**: the rel. doc. $(C^T \times C^T)^{op} \xrightarrow{R^T} \mathcal{Pos}$

$$(A, \alpha), (B, \beta) \mapsto \{r \in R(A, B) \mid \alpha^\perp; T(r); \beta \leq r\}$$

Propositions

Suppose $R: (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ has quotients and $T: R \rightarrow R$ is a monad:

- R^T has quotients
- \mathbb{P} is a projective cover of \mathcal{C} iff $R \equiv (R|_{\mathbb{P}})_q$

Projectives

The graph of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\Gamma_f = R_{f, \text{id}_Y}(\text{id}_X)$. We say that f is surjective if

$$\text{id}_Y \leq \Gamma_f^\perp; \Gamma_f$$

Let $T: R \rightarrow R$ be a monad in **RD**: the rel. doc. $(C^T \times C^T)^{op} \xrightarrow{R^T} \mathcal{Pos}$

$$(A, \alpha), (B, \beta) \mapsto \{r \in R(A, B) \mid \alpha^\perp; T(r); \beta \leq r\}$$

Propositions

Suppose $R: (C \times C)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ has quotients and $T: R \rightarrow R$ is a monad:

- R^T has quotients
- \mathbb{P} is a projective cover of C iff $R \equiv (R|_{\mathbb{P}})_q$
- \mathbb{P} is a projective cover of C iff \mathbb{P}_T is a projective cover of C^T

Projectives

The graph of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\Gamma_f = R_{f, \text{id}_Y}(\text{id}_X)$. We say that f is surjective if

$$\text{id}_Y \leq \Gamma_f^\perp; \Gamma_f$$

Let $T: R \rightarrow R$ be a monad in **RD**: the rel. doc. $(C^T \times C^T)^{op} \xrightarrow{R^T} \mathcal{Pos}$

$$(A, \alpha), (B, \beta) \mapsto \{r \in R(A, B) \mid \alpha^\perp; T(r); \beta \leq r\}$$

Propositions

Suppose $R: (C \times C)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{Pos}$ has quotients and $T: R \rightarrow R$ is a monad:

- R^T has quotients
- \mathbb{P} is a projective cover of C iff $R \equiv (R|_{\mathbb{P}})_q$
- \mathbb{P} is a projective cover of C iff \mathbb{P}_T is a projective cover of C^T
- if surjections in C split then C_T is a projective cover

Thank you!