

Initial data for
closed conformal Killing-Yano 2-forms
(cf. [arXiv:1912.04752](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.04752) with A. García-Parrado)

Igor Khavkine

Institute of Mathematics
Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague

17 Jan 2020
40th Winter School on Geometry and Physics
11–18 Jan 2020, Srní, Czechia

Killing-Yano and Conformal Killing-Yano Operators

Operator on **2-forms** $Y_{[ab]} = Y_{ab}$ on a **Lorentzian** manifold (M, g_{ab}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CYK}_{a:[bc]}[Y] = \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y] := & 2\nabla_a Y_{bc} - \nabla_b Y_{ca} + \nabla_c Y_{ba} \\ & + \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ab} \nabla^d Y_{cd} - \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ca} \nabla^d Y_{bd} \end{aligned}$$

Most general **covariant, first order** operators:

$$(\square \nabla_a)(\boxplus Y_{bc}) = \frac{1}{3}(\boxplus \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y]) + \frac{1}{6}(\boxplus dY)_{abc} - \frac{2}{n-1} g_{a[b}(\square \delta Y)_{c]}$$

Tensor fields are **pointwise irreps** of $O(g) \subset GL(n)$:

$\square, \boxplus, \boxminus, \boxplus, \boxplus$ — **traceless, Young symmetrized** tensors

Tensor product of irreps: $\square \otimes \boxplus = \boxplus + \boxminus + \square$

Killing-Yano and Conformal Killing-Yano Operators

Operator on **2-forms** $Y_{[ab]} = Y_{ab}$ on a **Lorentzian** manifold (M, g_{ab}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CYK}_{a:[bc]}[Y] = \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y] := & 2\nabla_a Y_{bc} - \nabla_b Y_{ca} + \nabla_c Y_{ba} \\ & + \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ab} \nabla^d Y_{cd} - \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ca} \nabla^d Y_{bd} \end{aligned}$$

Most general **covariant, first order** operators:

$$(\square \nabla_a)(\boxplus Y_{bc}) = \frac{1}{3}(\boxplus \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y]) + \frac{1}{6}(\boxplus dY)_{abc} - \frac{2}{n-1} g_{a[b}(\square \delta Y)_{c]}$$

Tensor fields are **pointwise irreps** of $O(g) \subset GL(n)$:

$\square, \boxplus, \boxminus, \boxtimes, \boxdot$ — **traceless, Young symmetrized** tensors

Tensor product of irreps: $\square \otimes \boxplus = \boxtimes + \boxminus + \square$

Killing-Yano and Conformal Killing-Yano Operators

Operator on **2-forms** $Y_{[ab]} = Y_{ab}$ on a **Lorentzian** manifold (M, g_{ab}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CYK}_{a:[bc]}[Y] = \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y] := & 2\nabla_a Y_{bc} - \nabla_b Y_{ca} + \nabla_c Y_{ba} \\ & + \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ab} \nabla^d Y_{cd} - \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ca} \nabla^d Y_{bd} \end{aligned}$$

Most general **covariant, first order** operators:

$$(\square \nabla_a)(\boxplus Y_{bc}) = \frac{1}{3}(\boxplus \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y]) + \frac{1}{6}(\boxplus dY)_{abc} - \frac{2}{n-1} g_{a[b}(\square \delta Y)_{c]}$$

Tensor fields are **pointwise irreps** of $O(g) \subset GL(n)$:

$\square, \boxplus, \boxminus, \boxtimes, \boxdot$ — **traceless, Young symmetrized** tensors

Tensor product of irreps: $\square \otimes \boxplus = \boxtimes + \boxminus + \square$

Killing-Yano and Conformal Killing-Yano Operators

Operator on **2-forms** $Y_{[ab]} = Y_{ab}$ on a **Lorentzian** manifold (M, g_{ab}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CYK}_{a:[bc]}[Y] = \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y] := & 2\nabla_a Y_{bc} - \nabla_b Y_{ca} + \nabla_c Y_{ba} \\ & + \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ab} \nabla^d Y_{cd} - \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ca} \nabla^d Y_{bd} \end{aligned}$$

Most general **covariant, first order** operators:

$$(\square \nabla_a)(\boxplus Y_{bc}) = \frac{1}{3}(\boxplus \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y]) + \frac{1}{6}(\boxplus dY)_{abc} - \frac{2}{n-1} g_{a[b}(\square \delta Y)_{c]}$$

Tensor fields are **pointwise irreps** of $O(g) \subset GL(n)$:

$\square, \boxplus, \boxminus, \boxtimes, \boxdot$ — **traceless, Young symmetrized** tensors

Tensor product of irreps: $\square \otimes \boxplus = \boxtimes + \boxminus + \square$

Killing-Yano and Conformal Killing-Yano Operators

Operator on **2-forms** $Y_{[ab]} = Y_{ab}$ on a **Lorentzian** manifold (M, g_{ab}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CYK}_{a:[bc]}[Y] = \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y] := & 2\nabla_a Y_{bc} - \nabla_b Y_{ca} + \nabla_c Y_{ba} \\ & + \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ab} \nabla^d Y_{cd} - \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ca} \nabla^d Y_{bd} \end{aligned}$$

Most general **covariant, first order** operators:

$$(\square \nabla_a)(\boxplus Y_{bc}) = \frac{1}{3}(\boxplus \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y]) + \frac{1}{6}(\boxminus dY)_{abc} - \frac{2}{n-1} g_{a[b}(\square \delta Y)_{c]}$$

Tensor fields are **pointwise irreps** of $O(g) \subset GL(n)$:

$\square, \boxminus, \boxplus, \boxtimes, \boxdot$ — **traceless, Young symmetrized** tensors

Tensor product of irreps: $\square \otimes \boxplus = \boxtimes + \boxdot + \square$

Killing-Yano and Conformal Killing-Yano Operators

Operator on **2-forms** $Y_{[ab]} = Y_{ab}$ on a **Lorentzian** manifold (M, g_{ab}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CYK}_{a:[bc]}[Y] = \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y] := & 2\nabla_a Y_{bc} - \nabla_b Y_{ca} + \nabla_c Y_{ba} \\ & + \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ab} \nabla^d Y_{cd} - \frac{3}{n-1} g_{ca} \nabla^d Y_{bd} \end{aligned}$$

Most general **covariant, first order** operators:

$$(\square \nabla_a)(\boxplus Y_{bc}) = \frac{1}{3}(\boxplus \text{CYK}_{a:bc}[Y]) + \frac{1}{6}(\boxminus dY)_{abc} - \frac{2}{n-1} g_{a[b}(\square \delta Y)_{c]}$$

Tensor fields are **pointwise irreps** of $O(g) \subset GL(n)$:

$\square, \boxminus, \boxplus, \boxtimes, \boxdot$ — **traceless, Young symmetrized** tensors

Tensor product of irreps: $\square \otimes \boxplus = \boxtimes + \boxdot + \square$

Why Closed Conformal Killing-Yano tensors?

- ▶ Killing tensors generalize the Killing vector equation:
 - ▶ Killing-Stäckel (SK): symmetric k -tensors
 - ▶ (Conformal) Killing-Yano (YK, CYK): p -forms
- ▶ Geodesic motion:
 $SK[S] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(S_{a_1 \dots a_k} \dot{x}^{a_1} \dots \dot{x}^{a_k}) = 0$
- ▶ SUSY extension of geodesic motion (Gibbons *et al*, 1993):
 $YK[Y] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(Y_{a_1 \dots a_p} \theta^{a_1} \dots \theta^{a_p}) = 0$ for odd θ^a
- ▶ Hodge duality: Killing-Yano \leftrightarrow closed conformal Killing-Yano

$$YK[Y] = 0 \iff CYK[*Y] = 0, \quad d*Y = 0 \quad (\text{cCYK})$$

- ▶ Higher dimensional, Einstein vacuum, rotating black holes:

$$R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ab}, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{CYK}[Y] \\ dY \end{array} \right] = 0, \quad \exists (Y_{ab})^{-1} \iff \text{locally Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric}$$

Why Closed Conformal Killing-Yano tensors?

- ▶ Killing tensors generalize the Killing vector equation:
 - ▶ Killing-Stäckel (SK): symmetric k -tensors
 - ▶ (Conformal) Killing-Yano (YK, CYK): p -forms
- ▶ Geodesic motion:
 $SK[S] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(S_{a_1 \dots a_k} \dot{x}^{a_1} \dots \dot{x}^{a_k}) = 0$
- ▶ SUSY extension of geodesic motion (Gibbons *et al*, 1993):
 $YK[Y] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(Y_{a_1 \dots a_p} \theta^{a_1} \dots \theta^{a_p}) = 0$ for odd θ^a
- ▶ Hodge duality: Killing-Yano \leftrightarrow closed conformal Killing-Yano

$$YK[Y] = 0 \iff CYK[*Y] = 0, \quad d*Y = 0 \quad (\text{cCYK})$$

- ▶ Higher dimensional, Einstein vacuum, rotating black holes:

$$R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ab}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} CYK[Y] \\ dY \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \exists (Y_{ab})^{-1} \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{locally} \\ \text{Kerr-NUT-(A)dS} \\ \text{metric} \end{array}$$

Why Closed Conformal Killing-Yano tensors?

- ▶ Killing tensors generalize the Killing vector equation:
 - ▶ Killing-Stäckel (SK): symmetric k -tensors
 - ▶ (Conformal) Killing-Yano (YK, CYK): p -forms
- ▶ Geodesic motion:
 $SK[S] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(S_{a_1 \dots a_k} \dot{x}^{a_1} \dots \dot{x}^{a_k}) = 0$
- ▶ SUSY extension of geodesic motion (Gibbons *et al*, 1993):
 $YK[Y] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(Y_{a_1 \dots a_p} \theta^{a_1} \dots \theta^{a_p}) = 0$ for odd θ^a
- ▶ Hodge duality: Killing-Yano \leftrightarrow closed conformal Killing-Yano

$$YK[Y] = 0 \iff CYK[*Y] = 0, \quad d*Y = 0 \quad (\text{cCYK})$$

- ▶ Higher dimensional, Einstein vacuum, rotating black holes:

$$R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ab}, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{CYK}[Y] \\ dY \end{array} \right] = 0, \quad \exists (Y_{ab})^{-1} \iff \text{locally Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric}$$

Why Closed Conformal Killing-Yano tensors?

- ▶ Killing tensors generalize the Killing vector equation:
 - ▶ Killing-Stäckel (SK): symmetric k -tensors
 - ▶ (Conformal) Killing-Yano (YK, CYK): p -forms
- ▶ Geodesic motion:
 $SK[S] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(S_{a_1 \dots a_k} \dot{x}^{a_1} \dots \dot{x}^{a_k}) = 0$
- ▶ SUSY extension of geodesic motion (Gibbons *et al*, 1993):
 $YK[Y] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(Y_{a_1 \dots a_p} \theta^{a_1} \dots \theta^{a_p}) = 0$ for odd θ^a
- ▶ Hodge duality: Killing-Yano \leftrightarrow closed conformal Killing-Yano

$$YK[Y] = 0 \iff CYK[*Y] = 0, \quad d*Y = 0 \quad (\text{cCYK})$$

- ▶ Higher dimensional, Einstein vacuum, rotating black holes:

$$R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ab}, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} CYK[Y] \\ dY \end{array} \right] = 0, \quad \exists (Y_{ab})^{-1} \iff \text{locally Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metric}$$

Why Closed Conformal Killing-Yano tensors?

- ▶ Killing tensors generalize the Killing vector equation:
 - ▶ Killing-Stäckel (SK): symmetric k -tensors
 - ▶ (Conformal) Killing-Yano (YK, CYK): p -forms
- ▶ Geodesic motion:
 $SK[S] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(S_{a_1 \dots a_k} \dot{x}^{a_1} \dots \dot{x}^{a_k}) = 0$
- ▶ SUSY extension of geodesic motion (Gibbons *et al*, 1993):
 $YK[Y] = 0 \implies \frac{d}{dt}(Y_{a_1 \dots a_p} \theta^{a_1} \dots \theta^{a_p}) = 0$ for odd θ^a
- ▶ Hodge duality: Killing-Yano \leftrightarrow closed conformal Killing-Yano

$$YK[Y] = 0 \iff CYK[*Y] = 0, \quad d*Y = 0 \quad (\text{cCYK})$$

- ▶ Higher dimensional, Einstein vacuum, rotating black holes:

$$R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2} g_{ab}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} CYK[Y] \\ dY \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad \exists (Y_{ab})^{-1} \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{locally} \\ \text{Kerr-NUT-(A)dS} \\ \text{metric} \end{array}$$

Initial Data Conditions

- ▶ **Observation** (1970s): given an initial data set $(\Sigma \subset M, g_{AB})$, $\pi_{AB} = \partial_t g_{AB}|_{t=0}$ for the vacuum Einstein equations on (M, g_{ab}) , there exists a **linear PDE on Σ** for v_0, v_A whose solutions are in **bijection** with the restriction $v_a|_{\Sigma}$ of a **Killing vector** on (M, g_{ab}) .
- ▶ This **Killing initial data (KID)** system has found applications to
 - ▶ the **rigidity** of black hole solutions,
 - ▶ the study of singular strata of the **manifold of admissible initial data** for the Einstein equations,
 - ▶ the **characterization of the initial data** for special solutions.
- ▶ What other **geometric PDEs** have analogous **initial data systems**?
- ▶ **Today:** **Killing** vectors \rightsquigarrow **closed conformal Killing-Yano 2-forms**

Initial Data Conditions

- ▶ **Observation** (1970s): given an initial data set $(\Sigma \subset M, g_{AB})$, $\pi_{AB} = \partial_t g_{AB}|_{t=0}$ for the vacuum Einstein equations on (M, g_{ab}) , there exists a **linear PDE on Σ** for v_0, v_A whose solutions are in **bijection** with the restriction $v_a|_{\Sigma}$ of a **Killing vector** on (M, g_{ab}) .
- ▶ This **Killing initial data (KID)** system has found applications to
 - ▶ the **rigidity** of black hole solutions,
 - ▶ the study of singular strata of the **manifold of admissible initial data** for the Einstein equations,
 - ▶ the **characterization of the initial data** for special solutions.
- ▶ What other **geometric PDEs** have analogous **initial data systems**?
- ▶ **Today:** **Killing vectors** \rightsquigarrow **closed conformal Killing-Yano 2-forms**

Initial Data Conditions

- ▶ **Observation** (1970s): given an initial data set $(\Sigma \subset M, g_{AB})$, $\pi_{AB} = \partial_t g_{AB}|_{t=0}$ for the vacuum Einstein equations on (M, g_{ab}) , there exists a **linear PDE on Σ** for v_0, v_A whose solutions are in **bijection** with the restriction $v_a|_{\Sigma}$ of a **Killing vector** on (M, g_{ab}) .
- ▶ This **Killing initial data (KID)** system has found applications to
 - ▶ the **rigidity** of black hole solutions,
 - ▶ the study of singular strata of the **manifold of admissible initial data** for the Einstein equations,
 - ▶ the **characterization of the initial data** for special solutions.
- ▶ What other **geometric PDEs** have analogous **initial data systems**?
- ▶ **Today:** **Killing vectors** \rightsquigarrow **closed conformal Killing-Yano 2-forms**

Initial Data Conditions

- ▶ **Observation** (1970s): given an initial data set $(\Sigma \subset M, g_{AB})$, $\pi_{AB} = \partial_t g_{AB}|_{t=0}$ for the vacuum Einstein equations on (M, g_{ab}) , there exists a **linear PDE on Σ** for v_0, v_A whose solutions are in **bijection** with the restriction $v_a|_{\Sigma}$ of a **Killing vector** on (M, g_{ab}) .
- ▶ This **Killing initial data (KID)** system has found applications to
 - ▶ the **rigidity** of black hole solutions,
 - ▶ the study of singular strata of the **manifold of admissible initial data** for the Einstein equations,
 - ▶ the **characterization of the initial data** for special solutions.
- ▶ What other **geometric PDEs** have analogous **initial data systems**?
- ▶ **Today:** **Killing** vectors \rightsquigarrow **closed conformal Killing-Yano 2-forms**

Propagation Identity

Lemma (Propagation Identity)

Consider an Einstein vacuum spacetime (M, g_{ab}) with Cauchy surface Σ . The existence of identities (modulo $R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}g_{ab}$)

$$P[\psi] = 0, \dots$$

where $P[\psi] = 0$ and \dots have *well-posed initial value problems (IVPs)*, guarantees that

$$\psi = 0 \text{ on } M$$

with vanishing *P-initial data*

$$\psi|_{\Sigma}, \quad \partial_t \psi|_{\Sigma}, \quad \partial_t^2 \psi|_{\Sigma}, \dots$$

The above vanishing conditions can be collected into a PDE system $E^{\Sigma}[\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t \phi|_{\Sigma}, \dots] = 0$ intrinsic to $(\Sigma, g_{AB}, \pi_{AB})$, which we call an *E-initial data system*.

Propagation Identity

Lemma (Propagation Identity)

Consider an Einstein vacuum spacetime (M, g_{ab}) with Cauchy surface Σ . The existence of identities (modulo $R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}g_{ab}$)

$$P[E[\phi]] = 0, \dots$$

where $P[\psi] = 0$ and \dots have *well-posed initial value problems (IVPs)*, guarantees that

$$E[\phi] = 0 \text{ on } M$$

with vanishing P -initial data

$$\psi = E[\phi]|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t \psi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t^2 \psi|_{\Sigma}, \dots$$

The above vanishing conditions can be collected into a PDE system $E^{\Sigma}[\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t \phi|_{\Sigma}, \dots] = 0$ intrinsic to $(\Sigma, g_{AB}, \pi_{AB})$, which we call an *E -initial data system*.

Propagation Identity

Lemma (Propagation Identity)

Consider an Einstein vacuum spacetime (M, g_{ab}) with Cauchy surface Σ . The existence of identities (modulo $R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}g_{ab}$)

$$P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]], \quad \dots$$

where $P[\psi] = 0$ and $Q[\phi] = 0$ have *well-posed initial value problems (IVPs)*, guarantees that $E[\phi] = 0$ on M with Q -initial data $\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t^2\phi|_{\Sigma}, \dots$ with vanishing P -initial data $\psi = E[\phi]|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t\psi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t^2\psi|_{\Sigma}, \dots$

The above vanishing conditions can be collected into a PDE system $E^{\Sigma}[\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t\phi|_{\Sigma}, \dots] = 0$ intrinsic to $(\Sigma, g_{AB}, \pi_{AB})$, which we call an *E -initial data system*.

Propagation Identity

Lemma (Propagation Identity)

Consider an Einstein vacuum spacetime (M, g_{ab}) with Cauchy surface Σ . The existence of identities (modulo $R_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{n-2}g_{ab}$)

$$P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]], \quad Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]],$$

where $P[\psi] = 0$ and $Q[\phi] = 0$ have *well-posed initial value problems (IVPs)*, guarantees that solutions of $E[\phi] = 0$ on M are in *bijection* with Q -initial data $\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t^2\phi|_{\Sigma}, \dots$ with vanishing P -initial data $\psi = E[\phi]|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t\psi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t^2\psi|_{\Sigma}, \dots$.

The above vanishing conditions can be collected into a PDE system $E^{\Sigma}[\phi|_{\Sigma}, \partial_t\phi|_{\Sigma}, \dots] = 0$ intrinsic to $(\Sigma, g_{AB}, \pi_{AB})$, which we call an *E -initial data system*.

Killing Equation

An **infinitesimal isometry** v^a satisfies ($E[\phi] = 0$)

$$K_{ab}[v] := \nabla_a v_b + \nabla_b v_a = 0.$$

Propagation identity ($P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$):

$$\square K_{ab}[v] - 2R^c{}_{ab}{}^d K_{cd}[v] = K_{ab}[\square v],$$

$$\square v_a = \nabla^b K_{ab}[v] - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_a K^b{}_b[v].$$

Both P and Q are **normally hyperbolic** ($\square + \text{l.o.t.}$), hence have **well-posed IVPs**.

Killing Initial Data (KID)

In **Gaussian normal coordinates** $(x^a) = (x^0 = t, x^A)$, we have $\Sigma = \{t = 0\}$, a unit normal $n_a = (dt)_a$, and the splittings

$$v_a \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} v_0 \\ v_A \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_{ab} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_{AB} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let D_A be the **covariant derivative** on (Σ, g_{AB}) , $\pi_{AB} = \partial_t g_{AB}$ (**second fundamental form**) with $\pi = \pi_A^A$, $(\pi \cdot \pi)_{AB} = \pi_A^C \pi_{CB}$, and r_{ABCD} , r_{AB} **spatial Riemann** and **Ricci** tensors.

KID system (modulo $K_{00}[v] = K_{0B}[v] = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} D_A v_B + D_B v_A - 2\pi_{AB} v_0 &= 0, \\ D_A D_B v_0 + (2(\pi \cdot \pi)_{AB} - \pi \pi_{AB} - r_{AB}) v_0 \\ &\quad - 2\pi_{(B}^C D_A) v_C - (D^C \pi_{AB}) v_C = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Old result: due to Berezdivin (1974), Moncrief (1975), Coll (1977).

cCYK Propagation Identity

► Propagation identities: $P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$.

► **Goal:** exhaustive search for P , Q , ρ , σ

► covariant in ∇_a , g_{ab} , R_{abcd} , $\nabla_e R_{abcd}$, ...

► 1st order σ , ρ ; 2nd (total) order P , Q

► hyperbolic P , Q

Total order: $|g_{ab}| = 0$, $|\nabla| = 1$, $|R_{abcd}| = |\Lambda| = 2$, respected by composition.

► Use representation theory of $O(g)$ to parametrize ansatz.

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} P^{1,2,3,4,5,6} & P^{7,8} \\ \hat{P}^{5,6} & \hat{P}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \\ & - \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^1 \\ \hat{\sigma}^1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} T^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8} \\ \hat{T}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array}, \\ & \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \rho^1 & \rho^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

cCYK Propagation Identity

- ▶ Propagation identities: $P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$.
- ▶ **Goal:** exhaustive search for P , Q , ρ , σ
 - ▶ **covariant** in ∇_a , g_{ab} , R_{abcd} , $\nabla_e R_{abcd}$, ...
 - ▶ **1st order** σ , ρ ; **2nd (total) order** P , Q
 - ▶ hyperbolic P , Q

Total order: $|g_{ab}| = 0$, $|\nabla| = 1$, $|R_{abcd}| = |\Lambda| = 2$, respected by composition.

- ▶ Use representation theory of $O(g)$ to parametrize ansatz.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc} P^{1,2,3,4,5,6} & P^{7,8} \\ \hat{p}^{5,6} & \hat{p}^{1,2,3,4} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \\
 & \quad - \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \sigma^1 \\ \hat{\sigma}^1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc} T^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8} & \\ & \hat{T}^{1,2,3,4} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array}, \\
 & \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \rho^1 & \rho^2 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

cCYK Propagation Identity

- ▶ Propagation identities: $P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$.
- ▶ **Goal:** exhaustive search for P , Q , ρ , σ
 - ▶ **covariant** in ∇_a , g_{ab} , R_{abcd} , $\nabla_e R_{abcd}$, ...
 - ▶ **1st order** σ , ρ ; **2nd (total) order** P , Q
 - ▶ hyperbolic P , Q

Total order: $|g_{ab}| = 0$, $|\nabla| = 1$, $|R_{abcd}| = |\Lambda| = 2$, respected by composition.

- ▶ Use **representation theory** of $O(g)$ to **parametrize** ansatz.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc} P^{1,2,3,4,5,6} & P^{7,8} \\ \hat{P}^{5,6} & \hat{P}^{1,2,3,4} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \\
 & \quad - \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \sigma^1 \\ \hat{\sigma}^1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc} T^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8} & \\ & \hat{T}^{1,2,3,4} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array}, \\
 & \quad \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \rho^1 & \rho^2 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array}
 \end{aligned}$$

cCYK Propagation Identity

- ▶ Propagation identities: $P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$.
- ▶ **Goal:** exhaustive search for P , Q , ρ , σ
 - ▶ **covariant** in ∇_a , g_{ab} , R_{abcd} , $\nabla_e R_{abcd}$, ...
 - ▶ **1st order** σ , ρ ; **2nd (total) order** P , Q
 - ▶ hyperbolic P , Q

Total order: $|g_{ab}| = 0$, $|\nabla| = 1$, $|R_{abcd}| = |\Lambda| = 2$, respected by composition.

- ▶ Use **representation theory** of $O(g)$ to **parametrize** ansatz.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} P^{1,2,3,4,5,6} & P^{7,8} \\ \hat{P}^{5,6} & \hat{P}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \\ - \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^1 \\ \hat{\sigma}^1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} T^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8} \\ \hat{T}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array}, \\ \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} Q^{1,2,3,4} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \rho^1 & \rho^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

cCYK Propagation Identity

- ▶ Propagation identities: $P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$.
- ▶ **Goal:** exhaustive search for P , Q , ρ , σ
 - ▶ **covariant** in ∇_a , g_{ab} , R_{abcd} , $\nabla_e R_{abcd}$, ...
 - ▶ **1st order** σ , ρ ; **2nd (total) order** P , Q
 - ▶ hyperbolic P , Q

Total order: $|g_{ab}| = 0$, $|\nabla| = 1$, $|R_{abcd}| = |\Lambda| = 2$, respected by composition.

- ▶ Use **representation theory** of $O(g)$ to **parametrize** ansatz.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} P^{1,2,3,4,5,6} & P^{7,8} \\ \hat{P}^{5,6} & \hat{P}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \boxminus \\
 & \quad - \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^1 \\ \hat{\sigma}^1 \end{bmatrix} \boxminus Q^{1,2,3,4} \boxminus = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} T^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8} \\ \hat{T}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \boxminus, \\
 & \quad \boxminus Q^{1,2,3,4} \boxminus = \boxminus [\rho^1 \quad \rho^2] \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \boxminus
 \end{aligned}$$

Representation Theory

- ▶ Use $O(g)$ **tensor product rules** to build **complete** operator bases.
- ▶ Bianchi identities: $\boxplus W_{ab:cd} = R_{abcd} - \text{traces}$, $\boxplus \nabla_a W_{bc:de}$.
- ▶ $n = 1, 2, 3$: degenerate cases
- ▶ $n > 8$: valid by **Littlewood's rule** (1958)
- ▶ $n = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$: checked by **LiE/SAGE**; $n = 6$: exception! (\boxplus reducible)

tot.ord. = 1, 2:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$\boxplus C_{a:bc}$	$\boxplus \Xi_{abc}$
∇	\square	$\boxplus \sigma^1 + \boxplus \hat{\sigma}^1$	$\boxplus \rho^1$	$\boxplus \rho^2$
\square	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^1$	$\boxplus P^1$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^1$
$\nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^2$	$\boxplus P^{2,3} + \boxplus \hat{P}^5$	$\boxplus P^7 + \boxplus \hat{P}^2$
W	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^3$	$\boxplus P^{4,5} + \boxplus \hat{P}^6$	$\boxplus P^8 + \boxplus \hat{P}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^4$	$\boxplus P^6$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^4$

tot.ord. = 3:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$(\square \boxplus) \nabla_a Y_{bc}$		
			$\square \delta Y$	$\boxplus \text{CYK}[Y]$	$\boxplus dY$
$\square \nabla$	\square	$\boxplus T^1 + \boxplus \hat{T}^1$			
$\nabla \nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^2$			
∇W	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^7$			
W	\boxplus		$\boxplus T^6$	$\boxplus T^{3,4} + \boxplus \hat{T}^2$	$\boxplus T^5 + \boxplus \hat{T}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}			$\boxplus T^8$	$\boxplus \hat{T}^4$

Representation Theory

- ▶ Use $O(g)$ **tensor product rules** to build **complete** operator bases.
- ▶ Bianchi identities: $\boxplus W_{ab:cd} = R_{abcd} - \text{traces}$, $\boxplus \nabla_a W_{bc:de}$.
- ▶ $n = 1, 2, 3$: degenerate cases
- ▶ $n > 8$: valid by **Littlewood's rule** (1958)
- ▶ $n = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$: checked by **LiE/SAGE**; $n = 6$: exception! (\boxplus reducible)

tot.ord. = 1, 2:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$\boxplus C_{a:bc}$	$\boxplus \Xi_{abc}$
∇	\square	$\boxplus \sigma^1 + \boxplus \hat{\sigma}^1$	$\boxplus \rho^1$	$\boxplus \rho^2$
\square	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^1$	$\boxplus P^1$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^1$
$\nabla\nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^2$	$\boxplus P^{2,3} + \boxplus \hat{P}^5$	$\boxplus P^7 + \boxplus \hat{P}^2$
W	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^3$	$\boxplus P^{4,5} + \boxplus \hat{P}^6$	$\boxplus P^8 + \boxplus \hat{P}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^4$	$\boxplus P^6$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^4$

tot.ord. = 3:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$(\square\boxplus)\nabla_a Y_{bc}$		
			$\square\delta Y$	$\boxplus \text{CYK}[Y]$	$\boxplus dY$
$\square\nabla$	\square	$\boxplus T^1 + \boxplus \hat{T}^1$			
$\nabla\nabla\nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^2$			
∇W	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^7$			
W	\boxplus		$\boxplus T^6$	$\boxplus T^{3,4} + \boxplus \hat{T}^2$	$\boxplus T^5 + \boxplus \hat{T}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}			$\boxplus T^8$	$\boxplus \hat{T}^4$

Representation Theory

- ▶ Use $O(g)$ **tensor product rules** to build **complete** operator bases.
- ▶ Bianchi identities: $\boxplus W_{ab:cd} = R_{abcd}$ – traces, $\boxplus \nabla_a W_{bc:de}$.
- ▶ $n = 1, 2, 3$: degenerate cases
- ▶ $n > 8$: valid by **Littlewood's rule** (1958)
- ▶ $n = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$: checked by **LiE/SAGE**; $n = 6$: exception! (\boxplus reducible)

tot.ord. = 1, 2:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$\boxplus C_{a:bc}$	$\boxplus \Xi_{abc}$
∇	\square	$\boxplus \sigma^1 + \boxplus \hat{\sigma}^1$	$\boxplus \rho^1$	$\boxplus \rho^2$
\square	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^1$	$\boxplus P^1$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^1$
$\nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^2$	$\boxplus P^{2,3} + \boxplus \hat{P}^5$	$\boxplus P^7 + \boxplus \hat{P}^2$
W	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^3$	$\boxplus P^{4,5} + \boxplus \hat{P}^6$	$\boxplus P^8 + \boxplus \hat{P}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^4$	$\boxplus P^6$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^4$

tot.ord. = 3:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$(\square \boxplus) \nabla_a Y_{bc}$		
			$\square \delta Y$	$\boxplus \text{CYK}[Y]$	$\boxplus dY$
$\square \nabla$	\square	$\boxplus T^1 + \boxplus \hat{T}^1$			
$\nabla \nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^2$			
∇W	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^7$			
W	\boxplus		$\boxplus T^6$	$\boxplus T^{3,4} + \boxplus \hat{T}^2$	$\boxplus T^5 + \boxplus \hat{T}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}			$\boxplus T^8$	$\boxplus \hat{T}^4$

Representation Theory

- ▶ Use $O(g)$ **tensor product rules** to build **complete** operator bases.
- ▶ Bianchi identities: $\boxplus W_{ab:cd} = R_{abcd} - \text{traces}$, $\boxplus \nabla_a W_{bc:de}$.
- ▶ $n = 1, 2, 3$: degenerate cases
- ▶ $n > 8$: valid by **Littlewood's rule** (1958)
- ▶ $n = \del{4}, \del{5}, \del{6}, \del{7}, 8$: checked by **LiE/SAGE**; $n = 6$: exception! (\boxplus reducible)

tot.ord. = 1, 2:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$\boxplus C_{a:bc}$	$\boxplus \Xi_{abc}$
∇	\square	$\boxplus \sigma^1 + \boxplus \hat{\sigma}^1$	$\boxplus \rho^1$	$\boxplus \rho^2$
\square	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^1$	$\boxplus P^1$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^1$
$\nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^2$	$\boxplus P^{2,3} + \boxplus \hat{P}^5$	$\boxplus P^7 + \boxplus \hat{P}^2$
W	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^3$	$\boxplus P^{4,5} + \boxplus \hat{P}^6$	$\boxplus P^8 + \boxplus \hat{P}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^4$	$\boxplus P^6$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^4$

tot.ord. = 3:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$(\square \boxplus) \nabla_a Y_{bc}$		
			$\square \delta Y$	$\boxplus \text{CYK}[Y]$	$\boxplus dY$
$\square \nabla$	\square	$\boxplus T^1 + \boxplus \hat{T}^1$			
$\nabla \nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^2$			
∇W	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^7$			
W	\boxplus		$\boxplus T^6$	$\boxplus T^{3,4} + \boxplus \hat{T}^2$	$\boxplus T^5 + \boxplus \hat{T}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}			$\boxplus T^8$	$\boxplus \hat{T}^4$

Representation Theory

- ▶ Use $O(g)$ **tensor product rules** to build **complete** operator bases.
- ▶ Bianchi identities: $\boxplus W_{ab:cd} = R_{abcd}$ – traces, $\boxplus \nabla_a W_{bc:de}$.
- ▶ $n = 1, 2, 3$: degenerate cases
- ▶ $n > 8$: valid by **Littlewood's rule** (1958)
- ▶ $n = \del{4}, \del{5}, \del{6}, \del{7}, 8$: checked by **LiE/SAGE**; $n = 6$: exception! (\boxplus reducible)

tot.ord. = 1, 2:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$\boxplus C_{a:bc}$	$\boxplus \Xi_{abc}$
∇	\square	$\boxplus \sigma^1 + \boxplus \hat{\sigma}^1$	$\boxplus \rho^1$	$\boxplus \rho^2$
\square	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^1$	$\boxplus P^1$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^1$
$\nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^2$	$\boxplus P^{2,3} + \boxplus \hat{P}^5$	$\boxplus P^7 + \boxplus \hat{P}^2$
W	\boxplus	$\boxplus Q^3$	$\boxplus P^{4,5} + \boxplus \hat{P}^6$	$\boxplus P^8 + \boxplus \hat{P}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}	$\boxplus Q^4$	$\boxplus P^6$	$\boxplus \hat{P}^4$

tot.ord. = 3:

		$\boxplus Y_{ab}$	$(\square \boxplus) \nabla_a Y_{bc}$		
			$\square \delta Y$	$\boxplus \text{CYK}[Y]$	$\boxplus dY$
$\square \nabla$	\square	$\boxplus T^1 + \boxplus \hat{T}^1$			
$\nabla \nabla \nabla$	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^2$			
∇W	\boxplus	$\boxplus T^7$			
W	\boxplus		$\boxplus T^6$	$\boxplus T^{3,4} + \boxplus \hat{T}^2$	$\boxplus T^5 + \boxplus \hat{T}^3$
\wedge	\mathbb{R}			$\boxplus T^8$	$\boxplus \hat{T}^4$

Generalized Normal Hyperbolicity

- ▶ **Normally hyperbolic operators:** 2nd order $P[\psi] = \square\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
Well studied **IVP** (e.g., Bär-Ginoux-Pfäffle, EMS 2007).
- ▶ Higher order normally hyperbolic: $P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ Generalized normally hyperbolic: $\exists P': P' \circ P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Example:**

$$Q[Y] = s\square Y - (s - t)d\delta Y + \text{l.o.t}, \quad Q'[Y] = s'\square Y - (s' - t')d\delta Y,$$

$$Q' \circ Q[Y] = \square^2 Y + \text{l.o.t} \iff s' = \frac{1}{s}, t' = \frac{1}{t}$$

Q is generalized normally hyperbolic iff $s \neq 0, t \neq 0$.

Generalized Normal Hyperbolicity

- ▶ **Normally hyperbolic operators:** 2nd order $P[\psi] = \square\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
Well studied **IVP** (e.g., Bär-Ginoux-Pfäffle, EMS 2007).
- ▶ **Higher order normally hyperbolic:** $P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Generalized normally hyperbolic:** $\exists P' : P' \circ P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Example:**

$$Q[Y] = s\square Y - (s - t)d\delta Y + \text{l.o.t}, \quad Q'[Y] = s'\square Y - (s' - t')d\delta Y,$$

$$Q' \circ Q[Y] = \square^2 Y + \text{l.o.t} \iff s' = \frac{1}{s}, t' = \frac{1}{t}$$

Q is generalized normally hyperbolic iff $s \neq 0, t \neq 0$.

Generalized Normal Hyperbolicity

- ▶ **Normally hyperbolic operators:** 2nd order $P[\psi] = \square\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
Well studied **IVP** (e.g., Bär-Ginoux-Pfäffle, EMS 2007).
- ▶ **Higher order normally hyperbolic:** $P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Generalized normally hyperbolic:** $\exists P': P' \circ P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Example:**

$$Q[Y] = s\square Y - (s - t)d\delta Y + \text{l.o.t}, \quad Q'[Y] = s'\square Y - (s' - t')d\delta Y,$$

$$Q' \circ Q[Y] = \square^2 Y + \text{l.o.t} \iff s' = \frac{1}{s}, t' = \frac{1}{t}$$

Q is generalized normally hyperbolic iff $s \neq 0, t \neq 0$.

Generalized Normal Hyperbolicity

- ▶ **Normally hyperbolic operators:** 2nd order $P[\psi] = \square\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
Well studied **IVP** (e.g., Bär-Ginoux-Pfäffle, EMS 2007).
- ▶ **Higher order normally hyperbolic:** $P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Generalized normally hyperbolic:** $\exists P': P' \circ P[\psi] = \square^k\psi + \text{l.o.t}$
IVP reduces to normally hyperbolic case.
- ▶ **Example:**

$$Q[Y] = s\square Y - (s - t)d\delta Y + \text{l.o.t}, \quad Q'[Y] = s'\square Y - (s' - t')d\delta Y,$$

$$Q' \circ Q[Y] = \square^2 Y + \text{l.o.t} \iff s' = \frac{1}{s}, t' = \frac{1}{t}$$

Q is **generalized normally hyperbolic** iff $s \neq 0, t \neq 0$.

Main Result

Solutions of $P \circ E - \sigma \circ \rho \circ E = 0 \leftrightarrow$ **left kernel** of this numerical matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \hline p^{1-6} \circ \text{CYK} & \\ \hline p^{7,8} \circ d & \\ \hline \hat{p}^{1-4} \circ d & \\ \hline \hat{p}^{5,6} \circ \text{CYK} & \\ \hline \sigma^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} \\ \sigma^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d \\ \hline \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(n-2) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 2 & 3 & -(n+8) & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & \frac{12}{n-2} & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ \hline 1 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & & \\ \hline 2 & 3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & -2 & -3 & \frac{3}{2}(n+8) & -3 & 0 & \\ 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & & & & & \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \mathcal{T}^{1-8} & \\ \hline \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{1-4} & \\ \hline \end{array} \right]$$

Theorem (IK & A. Garcá Parrado, 2019)

- (a) There exists a **5-parameter cCYK propagation identity** for $n > 3$.
- (b) Generic P, Q are **generalized normally hyperbolic** for $n > 4$.
- (c) It is **exhaustive** for $n > 4$ ($n \neq 6$).

cCYK Propagation Identity

- ▶ Propagation identities: $P[E[\phi]] = \sigma[Q[\phi]]$, $Q[\phi] = \rho[E[\phi]]$.
- ▶ **Goal:** exhaustive search for P , Q , ρ , σ
 - ▶ covariant in ∇_a , g_{ab} , R_{abcd} , $\nabla_e R_{abcd}$, ...
 - ▶ 1st order σ , ρ ; 2nd (total) order P , Q
 - ▶ hyperbolic P , Q

Total order: $|g_{ab}| = 0$, $|\nabla| = 1$, $|R_{abcd}| = |\Lambda| = 2$, respected by composition.

- ▶ Use representation theory of $O(g)$ to parametrize ansatz.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} P^{1,2,3,4,5,6} & P^{7,8} \\ \hat{P}^{5,6} & \hat{P}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \boxplus \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \boxminus \\
 & \quad - \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^1 \\ \hat{\sigma}^1 \end{bmatrix} \boxminus Q^{1,2,3,4} \boxplus = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} T^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8} \\ \hat{T}^{1,2,3,4} \end{bmatrix} \boxminus, \\
 & \quad \boxminus Q^{1,2,3,4} \boxplus = \begin{array}{c} \boxplus \\ \boxminus \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} \rho^1 & \rho^2 \end{bmatrix} \boxplus \begin{bmatrix} \text{CYK} \\ d \end{bmatrix} \boxminus
 \end{aligned}$$

Main Result

Solutions of $P \circ E - \sigma \circ \rho \circ E = 0 \leftrightarrow$ **left kernel** of this numerical matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \hline p^{1-6} \circ \text{CYK} & \\ \hline p^{7,8} \circ d & \\ \hline \hat{p}^{1-4} \circ d & \\ \hline \hat{p}^{5,6} \circ \text{CYK} & \\ \hline \sigma^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} \\ \sigma^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d \\ \hline \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(n-2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 2 & 3 & -(n+8) & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 2 & 3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & -2 & -3 & \frac{3}{2}(n+8) & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \mathcal{T}^{1-8} & \\ \hline \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{1-4} & \\ \hline \end{array} \right]$$

Theorem (IK & A. Garcá Parrado, 2019)

- (a) There exists a **5-parameter cCYK propagation identity** for $n > 3$.
- (b) Generic P, Q are **generalized normally hyperbolic** for $n > 4$.
- (c) It is **exhaustive** for $n > 4$ ($n \neq 6$).

Main Result

Solutions of $P \circ E - \sigma \circ \rho \circ E = 0 \leftrightarrow$ left kernel of this numerical matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} P^{1-6} \circ \text{CYK} & \\ \hline P^{7,8} \circ d & \\ \hline & \hat{P}^{1-4} \circ d \\ \hline & \hat{P}^{5,6} \circ \text{CYK} \\ \hline \sigma^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} \\ \sigma^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{9}{2}(n-2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 2 & 3 & -\frac{3}{2}(n+8) & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 2 & 3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & -2 & -3 & \frac{3}{2}(n+8) & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} T^{1-8} & \\ \hline & \hat{T}^{1-4} \end{array} \right]$$

Theorem (IK & A. Garcá Parrado, 2019)

- (a) There exists a 5-parameter cCYK propagation identity for $n > 3$.
- (b) Generic P, Q are generalized normally hyperbolic for $n > 4$.
- (c) It is exhaustive for $n > 4$ ($n \neq 6$).

Main Result

Solutions of $P \circ E - \sigma \circ \rho \circ E = 0 \leftrightarrow$ **left kernel** of this numerical matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} P^{1-6} \circ \text{CYK} & \\ \hline P^{7,8} \circ d & \\ \hline & \hat{P}^{1-4} \circ d \\ & \hat{P}^{5,6} \circ \text{CYK} \\ \hline \sigma^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^1 \circ \text{CYK} \\ \sigma^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d & \hat{\sigma}^1 \circ \rho^2 \circ d \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{9}{2}(n-2) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & 2 & 3 & -\frac{3}{2}(n+8) & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline 2 & 3\frac{(n-4)}{(n-1)} & 0 & -2 & -3 & \frac{3}{2}(n+8) & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -6 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -3(n-1) & 6 & -\frac{12}{n-2} & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} T^{1-8} & \\ \hline & \hat{T}^{1-4} \end{array} \right]$$

Theorem (IK & A. Garcá Parrado, 2019)

- (a) There exists a **5-parameter cCYK propagation identity** for $n > 3$.
- (b) Generic P, Q are **generalized normally hyperbolic** for $n > 4$.
- (c) It is **exhaustive** for $n > 4$ ($n \neq 6$).

Discussion

- ▶ $O(g)$ representation theory helps with exhaustive search for covariant propagation identities of geometric PDEs.
- ▶ We have succeeded for the cCYK equation in dimension $n > 4$, with a propagation identity of total order 2.
- ▶ In $n = 4$, a special trick produces a 4th order propagation identity for non-closed CYK.
- ▶ Can this trick be systematically extend to $n > 4$?
- ▶ Other interesting geometric PDEs?
- ▶ For which equations $E[\phi] = 0$ does there not exist a (covariant) propagation identity?

Discussion

- ▶ $O(g)$ representation theory helps with exhaustive search for covariant propagation identities of geometric PDEs.
- ▶ We have succeeded for the cCYK equation in dimension $n > 4$, with a propagation identity of total order 2.
- ▶ In $n = 4$, a special trick produces a 4th order propagation identity for non-closed CYK.
- ▶ Can this trick be systematically extend to $n > 4$?
- ▶ Other interesting geometric PDEs?
- ▶ For which equations $E[\phi] = 0$ does there not exist a (covariant) propagation identity?

Discussion

- ▶ $O(g)$ representation theory helps with exhaustive search for covariant propagation identities of geometric PDEs.
- ▶ We have succeeded for the cCYK equation in dimension $n > 4$, with a propagation identity of total order 2.
- ▶ In $n = 4$, a special trick produces a 4th order propagation identity for non-closed CYK.
- ▶ Can this trick be systematically extend to $n > 4$?
- ▶ Other interesting geometric PDEs?
- ▶ For which equations $E[\phi] = 0$ does there not exist a (covariant) propagation identity?

Discussion

- ▶ $O(g)$ representation theory helps with exhaustive search for covariant propagation identities of geometric PDEs.
- ▶ We have succeeded for the cCYK equation in dimension $n > 4$, with a propagation identity of total order 2.
- ▶ In $n = 4$, a special trick produces a 4th order propagation identity for non-closed CYK.
- ▶ Can this trick be systematically extend to $n > 4$?
- ▶ Other interesting geometric PDEs?
- ▶ For which equations $E[\phi] = 0$ does there not exist a (covariant) propagation identity?

Thank you for your attention!