Recursive formulas for L_{∞} homotopy transfer to non-minimal models (work in progress)

Igor Khavkine

Institute of Mathematics Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague

21 Jan 2021 42nd Winter School on Geometry and Physics 15–22 Jan 2021, Srní, Czech Republic

L_{∞} algebras are a "homotopical" generalization of Lie algebras.

- Many different incarnations: multibrackets, free dg-coalgebra, free dg-algebra, derived brackets, ...
- Free dg-coalgebra: simple axioms, straightforward generalization of Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra, "large" underlying vector space.
- Multibrackets: direct generalization of (super-)Lie algebras, more complicated higher Jacobi identities, "small" underlying vector space.
- **Goal:** simplify some formulas from L_{∞} theory on the side of multibrackets

- \blacktriangleright L_{∞} algebras are a "homotopical" generalization of Lie algebras.
- Many different incarnations: multibrackets, free dg-coalgebra, free dg-algebra, derived brackets, ...
- Free dg-coalgebra: simple axioms, straightforward generalization of Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra, "large" underlying vector space.
- Multibrackets: direct generalization of (super-)Lie algebras, more complicated higher Jacobi identities, "small" underlying vector space.
- **Goal:** simplify some formulas from L_{∞} theory on the side of multibrackets

 \blacktriangleright L_{∞} algebras are a "homotopical" generalization of Lie algebras.

- Many different incarnations: multibrackets, free dg-coalgebra, free dg-algebra, derived brackets, ...
- Free dg-coalgebra: simple axioms, straightforward generalization of Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra, "large" underlying vector space.
- Multibrackets: direct generalization of (super-)Lie algebras, more complicated higher Jacobi identities, "small" underlying vector space.
- **Goal:** simplify some formulas from L_{∞} theory on the side of multibrackets

- \blacktriangleright L_{∞} algebras are a "homotopical" generalization of Lie algebras.
- Many different incarnations: multibrackets, free dg-coalgebra, free dg-algebra, derived brackets, ...
- Free dg-coalgebra: simple axioms, straightforward generalization of Chevalley-Eilenberg algebra, "large" underlying vector space.
- Multibrackets: direct generalization of (super-)Lie algebras, more complicated higher Jacobi identities, "small" underlying vector space.
- Goal: simplify some formulas from L_{∞} theory on the side of multibrackets

- Start with (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂,...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [−,...,−]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.

•
$$S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle.$$

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. **Ex.:** $\epsilon [B], -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B], -]$.

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]: S(V) \to V, \quad [-] = []_0 \oplus [-]_1 \oplus [-, -]_2 \oplus [-, -, -]_3 \oplus \cdots$

$$e^{A} = \bigoplus_{n} \frac{1}{n!} A^{n}.$$

- Start with (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂,...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [−,...,−]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.
- $\blacktriangleright S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle.$

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. **Ex.:** $\epsilon [B], -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B], -]$.

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]: S(V) \to V, \quad [-] = []_0 \oplus [-]_1 \oplus [-, -]_2 \oplus [-, -, -]_3 \oplus \cdots$

$$e^{A} = \bigoplus_{n} \frac{1}{n!} A^{n}.$$

- Start with (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂, ...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [-,...,-]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.
- $S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle$.

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. **Ex.:** $\epsilon [B_{,}, -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B_{,}, -]$.

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]: S(V) \to V, \quad [-] = []_0 \oplus [-]_1 \oplus [-, -]_2 \oplus [-, -, -]_3 \oplus \cdots$

$$e^{A} = \bigoplus_{n} \frac{1}{n!} A^{n}.$$

- Start with (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂, ...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [-,...,-]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.

$$\blacktriangleright S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle.$$

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. **Ex.:** $\epsilon [B], -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B], -]$.

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]: S(V) \to V, \quad [-] = []_0 \oplus [-]_1 \oplus [-, -]_2 \oplus [-, -, -]_3 \oplus \cdots$

$$e^{A} = \bigoplus_{n} \frac{1}{n!} A^{n}.$$

- Start with (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂, ...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [-,...,-]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.

$$\blacktriangleright S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle.$$

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. Ex.: $\epsilon [B, -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B, -]$.

hho hho

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]: S(V) \to V, \quad [-] = []_0 \oplus [-]_1 \oplus [-, -]_2 \oplus [-, -, -]_3 \oplus \cdots$

$$e^A = \bigoplus_n \frac{1}{n!} A^n.$$

- Start with $(\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂,...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [-,...,-]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.

$$\blacktriangleright S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle.$$

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. Ex.: $\epsilon [B]_{odd}, -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B]_{even}, -]$.

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]\colon \boldsymbol{S}(V)\to V, \quad [-]=[]_0\oplus [-]_1\oplus [-,-]_2\oplus [-,-,-]_3\oplus\cdots$

$$e^{A} = \bigoplus_{n} \frac{1}{n!} A^{n}$$

- Start with $(\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} -) vector space *V* graded by (parity \mathbb{Z}_2 , (ghost)# \mathbb{Z}).
 - Formally, we allow ourselves to extend the scalars of V to polynomials (ℝ or ℂ)[ε₁, ε₂,...] in odd variables ε₁, ε₂, Multiplication by an ε swaps V_{odd} and V_{even}.

Poloarization:

- Adopt sign conventions for graded multilinear maps [−, · · · , −]: SⁿV → V that are compatible with extension of scalars.
- Obs. Any graded multilinear [-,...,-]: SⁿV → V is determined by its values [A,..., A], for even A.

•
$$S(V)_{even} = \operatorname{span}\langle A^n \mid A \in V_{even} \rangle.$$

For odd *B* use $A = \epsilon B$, where $|\epsilon| = |B|$. Ex.: $\epsilon [B]_{odd}, -] := (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\epsilon B]_{even}, -]$.

A sequence of *n*-ary brackets, []₀ = 0, [A]₁ = sA, [A, B]₂, ..., can be collected as the graded components of the functional

 $[-]\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{V})\to\mathcal{V}, \quad [-]=[]_0\oplus [-]_1\oplus [-,-]_2\oplus [-,-,-]_3\oplus\cdots$

$$e^{A}=\bigoplus_{n}rac{1}{n!}A^{n}.$$

- ► L_{∞} algebra on V, (V, [-],"higher Jacobi"). \iff Free unital, differential, graded commutative algebra on V^* $(S(V^*), D, D^2 = 0)$, with $[-] = (D|_{V^*})^*$.
- Normalize the pairing between S(V) and S(V*) so that ⟨e^z, e^A⟩ = e^{⟨z,A⟩}, or ⟨zⁿ, Aⁿ⟩ = n!⟨z, A⟩ⁿ, where z ∈ V* and A ∈ V are naturally paired.
- Lem. The higher Jacobi identities are equivalently expressed as

$$D^2 = 0 \iff [e^A[e^A]] = 0.$$

In terms of *n*-ary brackets

 $\mathbf{s}^{2}A = 0, \quad 2[A\mathbf{s}A] + \mathbf{s}[A^{2}] = 0, \quad 3[A^{2}\mathbf{s}A] + 3[A[A^{2}]] + \mathbf{s}[A^{3}] = 0,$ $\dots, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} [A^{n-k}[A^{k}]] = 0.$

- L_∞ algebra on V, (V, [-], "higher Jacobi").
 ⇒ Free unital, differential, graded commutative algebra on V* (S(V*), D, D² = 0), with [-] = (D|_{V*})*.
- Normalize the pairing between S(V) and S(V*) so that ⟨e^z, e^A⟩ = e^{⟨z,A⟩}, or ⟨zⁿ, Aⁿ⟩ = n!⟨z, A⟩ⁿ, where z ∈ V* and A ∈ V are naturally paired.

Lem. The higher Jacobi identities are equivalently expressed as

$$D^2 = 0 \iff [e^A[e^A]] = 0.$$

In terms of *n*-ary brackets

 $\mathbf{s}^2 A = 0, \quad 2[A\mathbf{s}A] + \mathbf{s}[A^2] = 0, \quad 3[A^2\mathbf{s}A] + 3[A[A^2]] + \mathbf{s}[A^3] = 0,$..., $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} [A^{n-k}[A^k]] = 0.$

- ► L_{∞} algebra on V, (V, [-],"higher Jacobi"). \iff Free unital, differential, graded commutative algebra on V^* $(S(V^*), D, D^2 = 0)$, with $[-] = (D|_{V^*})^*$.
- Normalize the pairing between S(V) and S(V*) so that ⟨e^z, e^A⟩ = e^{⟨z,A⟩}, or ⟨zⁿ, Aⁿ⟩ = n!⟨z, A⟩ⁿ, where z ∈ V* and A ∈ V are naturally paired.
- ▶ Lem. The higher Jacobi identities are equivalently expressed as

$$\mathrm{D}^2 = 0 \iff [e^{\mathcal{A}}[e^{\mathcal{A}}]] = 0.$$

In terms of *n*-ary brackets

$$\mathbf{s}^2 A = 0, \quad 2[A\mathbf{s}A] + \mathbf{s}[A^2] = 0, \quad 3[A^2\mathbf{s}A] + 3[A[A^2]] + \mathbf{s}[A^3] = 0,$$

 $\dots, \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} [A^{n-k}[A^k]] = 0.$

- ► L_{∞} algebra on V, (V, [-],"higher Jacobi"). \iff Free unital, differential, graded commutative algebra on V^* $(S(V^*), D, D^2 = 0)$, with $[-] = (D|_{V^*})^*$.
- Normalize the pairing between S(V) and S(V*) so that ⟨e^z, e^A⟩ = e^{⟨z,A⟩}, or ⟨zⁿ, Aⁿ⟩ = n!⟨z, A⟩ⁿ, where z ∈ V* and A ∈ V are naturally paired.
- ▶ Lem. The higher Jacobi identities are equivalently expressed as

$$\mathrm{D}^2 = 0 \iff [e^{\mathcal{A}}[e^{\mathcal{A}}]] = 0.$$

In terms of *n*-ary brackets

$$\mathbf{s}^{2}A = 0, \quad 2[A\mathbf{s}A] + \mathbf{s}[A^{2}] = 0, \quad 3[A^{2}\mathbf{s}A] + 3[A[A^{2}]] + \mathbf{s}[A^{3}] = 0,$$
$$\dots, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} [A^{n-k}[A^{k}]] = 0.$$

Grading conventions, Example

Convention:

- ▶ $|[-]_n| = |[-]| = (parity odd, ghost # 1), à la BV-BRST.$
- Front bracket is odd:

 $[\epsilon\cdots] = (-)^{|\epsilon|}[\cdots], \quad [\cdots\epsilon,\cdots] = [\cdots,\epsilon\cdots], \quad [\cdots\epsilon] = [\cdots]\epsilon.$

▶ Maurer-Cartan elements $A \in V$ ($[e^A] = 0$) live in (ghost) degree 0.

Other conventions correspond to parity or degree shifts.

Canonical example: (Super-)dg-Lie algebra

 $(\mathfrak{g}, \boldsymbol{s}, [-, -]) \rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}[odd, -1], [-] = \boldsymbol{s} + [-, -])$

canonically gives rise to an L_{∞} algebra.

Grading conventions, Example

Convention:

- ▶ $|[-]_n| = |[-]| = (parity odd, ghost # 1), à la BV-BRST.$
- Front bracket is odd:

 $[\epsilon \cdots] = (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\cdots], \quad [\cdots \epsilon, \cdots] = [\cdots, \epsilon \cdots], \quad [\cdots \epsilon] = [\cdots] \epsilon.$

- ▶ Maurer-Cartan elements $A \in V$ ($[e^A] = 0$) live in (ghost) degree 0.
- Other conventions correspond to parity or degree shifts.

Canonical example: (Super-)dg-Lie algebra

 $(\mathfrak{g}, \boldsymbol{s}, [-, -]) \rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}[odd, -1], [-] = \boldsymbol{s} + [-, -])$

canonically gives rise to an L_{∞} algebra.

Grading conventions, Example

Convention:

- ▶ |[-]_n| = |[-]| = (parity odd, ghost # 1), à la BV-BRST.
- Front bracket is odd:

 $[\epsilon \cdots] = (-)^{|\epsilon|} [\cdots], \quad [\cdots \epsilon, \cdots] = [\cdots, \epsilon \cdots], \quad [\cdots \epsilon] = [\cdots] \epsilon.$

- ▶ Maurer-Cartan elements $A \in V$ ($[e^A] = 0$) live in (ghost) degree 0.
- Other conventions correspond to parity or degree shifts.
- Canonical example: (Super-)dg-Lie algebra

 $(\mathfrak{g}, \boldsymbol{s}, [-, -]) \rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{g}[\text{odd}, -1], [-] = \boldsymbol{s} + [-, -])$

canonically gives rise to an L_{∞} algebra.

L_{∞} morphisms

Lem. A dg-algebra homomorphism Λ: S(W*) → S(V*), translates to an L_∞ algebra homomorphism λ = (Λ|_{V*})*: S(V) → W satisfying

$$\Lambda \mathbf{D}_{W} = \mathbf{D}_{V}\Lambda \iff [\boldsymbol{e}^{\lambda(\boldsymbol{e}^{A})}]_{W} = \lambda(\boldsymbol{e}^{A}[\boldsymbol{e}^{A}]_{V}).$$

In terms of n-ary brackets and functionals

$$\mathbf{s}_{W}\lambda(A) = \lambda(\mathbf{s}_{V}A),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{s}_{W}\lambda(A^{2}) + [\lambda(A)^{2}]_{W}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(2A\mathbf{s}_{V}A + [A^{2}]_{V}),$$

$$\frac{1}{3!}(\mathbf{s}_{W}\lambda(A^{3}) + [3\lambda(A^{2})\lambda(A)]_{W} + [\lambda(A)^{3}]_{W}) = \frac{1}{3!}\lambda(3A^{2}\mathbf{s}_{V}A + 3A[A^{2}]_{V} + [A^{3}]_{V}), \quad \cdots$$

The general formula is

$$\frac{1}{n!}[B_n(\lambda(A),\ldots,\lambda(A^n))]_W = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}\lambda(A^{n-k}[A^k]_V),$$

where $B_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = x_n + \cdots + x_1^n$ are the complete (exponential) Bell polynomials, defined by the generating function

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \frac{t^k}{k!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

L_{∞} morphisms

Lem. A dg-algebra homomorphism Λ: S(W*) → S(V*), translates to an L_∞ algebra homomorphism λ = (Λ|_{V*})*: S(V) → W satisfying

$$\Lambda \mathbf{D}_{W} = \mathbf{D}_{V}\Lambda \iff [\boldsymbol{e}^{\lambda(\boldsymbol{e}^{A})}]_{W} = \lambda(\boldsymbol{e}^{A}[\boldsymbol{e}^{A}]_{V}).$$

In terms of n-ary brackets and functionals

$$\mathbf{s}_{W}\lambda(A) = \lambda(\mathbf{s}_{V}A),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{s}_{W}\lambda(A^{2}) + [\lambda(A)^{2}]_{W}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(2A\mathbf{s}_{V}A + [A^{2}]_{V}),$$

$$\frac{1}{3!}(\mathbf{s}_{W}\lambda(A^{3}) + [3\lambda(A^{2})\lambda(A)]_{W} + [\lambda(A)^{3}]_{W}) = \frac{1}{3!}\lambda(3A^{2}\mathbf{s}_{V}A + 3A[A^{2}]_{V} + [A^{3}]_{V}), \quad \cdots$$

The general formula is

$$\frac{1}{n!}[B_n(\lambda(A),\ldots,\lambda(A^n))]_W=\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}\lambda(A^{n-k}[A^k]_V),$$

where $B_1(x_1, ..., x_n) = x_n + \cdots + x_1^n$ are the complete (exponential) Bell polynomials, defined by the generating function

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \frac{t^k}{k!}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

L_{∞} homotopy transfer

Folklore: Consider homotopy equivalent dg-vector spaces λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s') and an L_∞ algebra structure (V', [-]' = s' + · · ·). Then there exists unique up to homotopy L_∞ algebra structure on V and and isomorphism λ = λ₁ + · · · : (V, [-] = s + · · ·) → (V', [-]').

Closest precise statement known to me: Thm 10.3.{1,5}, Loday-Vallette ('12). Uses highly abstract language of operads, ∞-operads, (co-)bar constructions, etc.

Q: In what sense the general homotopy transfer theorem provides explicit formulas?

L_{∞} homotopy transfer

Folklore: Consider homotopy equivalent dg-vector spaces λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s') and an L_∞ algebra structure (V', [-]' = s' + · · ·). Then there exists unique up to homotopy L_∞ algebra structure on V and and isomorphism λ = λ₁ + · · · : (V, [-] = s + · · ·) → (V', [-]').

- Closest precise statement known to me: Thm 10.3.{1,5}, Loday-Vallette ('12). Uses highly abstract language of operads, ∞-operads, (co-)bar constructions, etc.
- Q: In what sense the general homotopy transfer theorem provides explicit formulas?

L_{∞} homotopy transfer

- Folklore: Consider homotopy equivalent dg-vector spaces λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s') and an L_∞ algebra structure (V', [-]' = s' + · · ·). Then there exists unique up to homotopy L_∞ algebra structure on V and and isomorphism λ = λ₁ + · · · : (V, [-] = s + · · ·) → (V', [-]').
- Closest precise statement known to me: Thm 10.3.{1,5}, Loday-Vallette ('12). Uses highly abstract language of operads, ∞-operads, (co-)bar constructions, etc.
- Q: In what sense the general homotopy transfer theorem provides explicit formulas?

Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s = 0) → (V', s') of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V, [-]) → (V', [-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Proceed by induction on arity. Induction hypothesis implies

 $\lambda(e^{A}[e^{A}]) - [e^{\lambda(e^{A})}]' = \lambda_{1}([A^{n}]) - \mathbf{s}'\lambda_{n}(A^{n}) + \operatorname{err}(\operatorname{I.o.t}) = \operatorname{err}(\operatorname{I.o.t})$

The cohomology class of (err) fixes $[-]_n$, then we solve for λ_n . Consistency of (err) terms and inductive check of higher Jacobi are guaranteed by generating function identities.

The output is similar to the minimal model brackets in the papers of Jurčo et al (e.g., review '20) and other references.

Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s = 0) → (V', s') of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V, [-]) → (V', [-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Proceed by induction on arity. Induction hypothesis implies

$$\lambda(e^{A}[e^{A}]) - [e^{\lambda(e^{A})}]' = \lambda_{1}([A^{n}]) - \mathbf{s}'\lambda_{n}(A^{n}) + \operatorname{err}(\operatorname{I.o.t}) = \operatorname{err}(\operatorname{I.o.t})$$

The cohomology class of (err) fixes $[-]_n$, then we solve for λ_n . Consistency of (err) terms and inductive check of higher Jacobi are guaranteed by generating function identities.

The output is similar to the minimal model brackets in the papers of Jurčo et al (e.g., review '20) and other references.

Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s = 0) → (V', s') of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V, [-]) → (V', [-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Proceed by induction on arity. Induction hypothesis implies

$$\lambda(e^{A}[e^{A}]) - [e^{\lambda(e^{A})}]' = \lambda_{1}([A^{n}]) - \mathbf{s}'\lambda_{n}(A^{n}) + \operatorname{err}(\operatorname{I.o.t}) = \operatorname{err}(\operatorname{I.o.t})$$

The cohomology class of (err) fixes $[-]_n$, then we solve for λ_n . Consistency of (err) terms and inductive check of higher Jacobi are guaranteed by generating function identities.

The output is similar to the minimal model brackets in the papers of Jurčo et al (e.g., review '20) and other references.

- ► Hyp. There exists an integer q such that V|_{#>q} = 0, the graded vector space V is trivial for degrees > q.
- Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s' = 0) of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V,[-]) → (V',[-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Select $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and any extension [-] of **s**, so that $[-]_n|_{\#>nq} = 0$ and systematically correct it in a double induction on degree and arity

Solve $\mathbf{s}[A^n] = -[(e^A - 1)[e^A]] + \operatorname{err}(I.o.t)$, to correct higher Jacobi, and use under-determinacy to keep $\lambda_1([A^n]) = [\lambda_1(A)^n]'$, to enforce morphism.

- ► Hyp. There exists an integer q such that V|_{#>q} = 0, the graded vector space V is trivial for degrees > q.
- Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s' = 0) of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V, [-]) → (V', [-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Select $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and any extension [-] of **s**, so that $[-]_n|_{\#>nq} = 0$ and systematically correct it in a double induction on degree and arity

total#:			q - 2	q - 1	q
[-]2	*	*	*	*	*
[—]3	*	*	*	*	*
$[-]_4$	\leftarrow		*	*	*
-			\downarrow		

Solve $\mathbf{s}[A^n] = -[(e^A - 1)[e^A]] + \operatorname{err}(I.o.t)$, to correct higher Jacobi, and use under-determinacy to keep $\lambda_1([A^n]) = [\lambda_1(A)^n]'$, to enforce morphism.

- ► Hyp. There exists an integer q such that V|_{#>q} = 0, the graded vector space V is trivial for degrees > q.
- Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s' = 0) of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V, [-]) → (V', [-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Select $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and any extension [-] of **s**, so that $[-]_n|_{\#>nq} = 0$ and systematically correct it in a double induction on degree and arity

<i>total</i> #∶			• • •	q – 2	q – 1	q
[-]2		*	*	*	*	*
[—] ₃		*	*	*	*	*
$[-]_4$		\leftarrow	*	*	*	*
-				Ţ		
	1			Ŧ		

Solve $\mathbf{s}[A^n] = -[(e^A - 1)[e^A]] + \operatorname{err}(I.o.t)$, to correct higher Jacobi, and use under-determinacy to keep $\lambda_1([A^n]) = [\lambda_1(A)^n]'$, to enforce morphism.

- ► Hyp. There exists an integer q such that V|_{#>q} = 0, the graded vector space V is trivial for degrees > q.
- Lem. Given a homotopy equivalence λ₁: (V, s) → (V', s' = 0) of dg-vector spaces, it extends to an L_∞ algebra morphism λ: (V, [-]) → (V', [-]'), with λ|_V = λ₁, [-]₁ = s, [-]'₁ = s'.

Proof: Select $\lambda = \lambda_1$ and any extension [-] of **s**, so that $[-]_n|_{\#>nq} = 0$ and systematically correct it in a double induction on degree and arity

<i>total</i> #∶			•••	q – 2	q – 1	q
[-]2		*	*	*	*	*
[—] ₃		*	*	*	*	*
$[-]_4$		\leftarrow	*	*	*	*
:				1		
•				\mathbf{v}		

Solve $\mathbf{s}[A^n] = -[(e^A - 1)[e^A]] + \operatorname{err}(I.o.t)$, to correct higher Jacobi, and use under-determinacy to keep $\lambda_1([A^n]) = [\lambda_1(A)^n]'$, to enforce morphism.

 Explicit, compact recursive formulas for L_∞ homotopy transfer to and from minimal models.

- Q: Are formulas at the same level of explicit already in the literature?
- **TODO:** Understand L_{∞} homotopies in this notation.
- **TODO:** Generalize to (non-minimal \leftrightarrow non-minimal) case.

- Explicit, compact recursive formulas for L_∞ homotopy transfer to and from minimal models.
- Q: Are formulas at the same level of explicit already in the literature?
- **TODO:** Understand L_{∞} homotopies in this notation.
- **TODO:** Generalize to (non-minimal \leftrightarrow non-minimal) case.

- Explicit, compact recursive formulas for L_∞ homotopy transfer to and from minimal models.
- Q: Are formulas at the same level of explicit already in the literature?
- **TODO:** Understand L_{∞} homotopies in this notation.
- **TODO:** Generalize to (non-minimal \leftrightarrow non-minimal) case.

- Explicit, compact recursive formulas for L_∞ homotopy transfer to and from minimal models.
- Q: Are formulas at the same level of explicit already in the literature?
- **TODO:** Understand L_{∞} homotopies in this notation.
- **TODO:** Generalize to (non-minimal \leftrightarrow non-minimal) case.

Thank you for your attention!

References

- G. Barnich, R. Fulp, T. Lada, and J. Stasheff, "The sh Lie structure of Poisson brackets in field theory," *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **191** (1998) **585–601**, arXiv:hep-th/9702176.
- J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette, Algebraic Operads, vol. 346 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, 2012.

C. Saemann, B. Jurčo, H. Kim, T. Macrelli, and M. Wolf, "Perturbative quantum field theory and homotopy algebras," in *Proceedings of Corfu Summer Institute 2019 "School and Workshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity" — PoS(CORFU2019)*.
 2020.

arXiv:2002.11168.