

Symplectic twistor operators form complexes for Weyl-flat connections

Svatopluk Krýsl

Charles University - Prague

Srní, 17th January 2022

Paper Source and Motivation

- “Twistor ops. in symplectic spin geom.” Adv. Appl. Cliff. Analysis, Springer, in print; contains the proof done on the white-board, preprint
<https://www2.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~krysl/Twist.pdf>
- Complexes of Dolbeault operators, or their complex conjugates
- Twistor operators in spin geometry for manifolds with $Spin(p, q)$ -structure. [Penrose] for dimension four.
- Relations between curvature and integrability. Representation theory - because the geometrically defined sequences are associated by submodules to the principal bundles that capture the symmetry.
- Complexes of infinite rank bundles, cohomology questions: image with or without completion $\text{Ker}D^i/\text{Im}D^{i-1}$ or $\text{Ker}D^i/\overline{\text{Im}D^{i-1}}$? (Not touched here.)
- **Our aim:** Symplectic twistor sequences form complexes if their inducing connection is Weyl-flat.

Symplectic Vector Spaces

- (V, ω) real symplectic vector space of dimension $2n$
- $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ symplectic group, $\pi_1(Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})) = \mathbb{Z}$
- There exists a unique connected Lie group that covers $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ twice
- the **metaplectic group**, denoted by \tilde{G}
- Let us choose a complex structure on (V, ω) such that $g(u, v) = \omega(Ju, v)$ is positive definite (adapted cplx str.), and a maximal ω -isotropic subspace $L \subseteq V$

Symplectic Spinors

- There is a distinguished faithful unitary representation of \tilde{G} .
- We call it the **symplectic spinor representation** (oscillator, metaplectic) and denote it by (ρ, E) , $\rho : \tilde{G} \rightarrow U(E)$, where $E = L^2(L)$ is the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on the Euclidean vector space (L, g) , (Berezin, [Shale], I. Segal, [Weil]). U denotes unitary operators. Realizable by minimal left ideals in

$$sCliff = T(V) / \langle v \otimes w - w \otimes v - \omega(v, w)1 \mid v, w \in V \rangle$$

- There is no faithful representation on a finite dimensional vector space.
- $E = E_+ \oplus E_-$, even and odd square integrable functions on the maximal isotropic L modulo ae., it is a decomposition into irreducibles

Symplectic spinor valued exterior forms

Notation:

- The double cover $\lambda : \tilde{G} \rightarrow Sp(2n, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \lambda^* : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^*)$ is a representation
- $\lambda^i : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bigwedge^i V^*)$
- $\rho_{\pm}^i : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\bigwedge^i V^* \otimes E_{\pm})$
- **Theorem** [Krysl, Lie Theory]: For each i , there are irreducible modules E_{\pm}^{ij} , $j = 0, \dots, k_i = n - |n - i|$, such that

$$\bigwedge^i V^* \otimes E_{\pm} = E_{\pm}^i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k_i} E_{\pm}^{ij}.$$

- We set $E^{ij} = E_{+}^{ij} \oplus E_{-}^{ij}$.

Decomposition - Continuation, Diagram

Representations E_{\pm}^{ij} described by highest weight (of the \mathfrak{g} -structure on C^{∞} -vectors) with respect to Cartan subalgebra and positive roots, $2n = 6$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E^0 & E^1 & E^2 & E^3 & E^4 & E^5 & E^6 \\ E^{00} & E^{10} & E^{20} & E^{30} & E^{40} & E^{50} & E^{60} \\ & E^{11} & E^{21} & E^{31} & E^{41} & E^{51} & \\ & & E^{22} & E^{32} & E^{42} & & \\ & & & E^{33} & & & \end{array}$$

Metaplectic structure

- $\mathcal{Q} = \{A : V \rightarrow T_m M \mid \omega(Av, Aw) = \omega_0(v, w), v, w \in V, m \in M\}$ bundle of symplectic frames, $p_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow M$
- (\mathcal{P}, Λ) metaplectic structure if $p_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow M$ is principal \tilde{G} -bundle and
- $\Lambda : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is a morphism of fibre bundles and for each $g \in \tilde{G} : \Lambda(Ag) = \Lambda(A)\lambda(g)$
- Last equation: the diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{P} \times Mp(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \\
 \downarrow \Lambda \times \lambda & & \downarrow \Lambda \\
 \mathcal{Q} \times Sp(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \nearrow \pi_{\mathcal{P}} \\
 \\
 \nwarrow \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \\
 \\
 M
 \end{array}$$

- Existence by [Forger, Hess]

Symplectic Connections

- **Definition:** Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. A covariant derivative on TM is called symplectic if it preserves the symplectic form ($\nabla\omega = 0$). It is called *Fedosov* if it symplectic and torsion free.
- The affine space of Fedosov connections is in an affine bijection with $(\Gamma(S^3M), \text{zero section of } S^3M)$. See [Tondeur].

Symplectic Twistor Operators

- Let us set $E^{ij} = 0$ if $i \notin \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ or $j \notin \{0, \dots, k_{n,i}\}$
- $p^{ij} : E^i \rightarrow E^{ij}$ well defined since E^i are multiplicity-free. The same symbol - when it acts on bundles and bundle sections
- **Definition:** For any (i, j) we set $T_{\pm}^{ij} = p^{i+1, j\pm 1} \circ \nabla^{ij} : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{ij}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i, j\pm 1})$ and call it the (i, j) th **symplectic twistor operator**.
- Parallel to Penrose's twistor operators in four dimensional (Lorentzian) spin geometry. They act one step down or up, and right in the decomposition diagram above, either up $(-)$, or down $(+)$.
- We would like to investigate $T_{\pm}^{i+k+1, j+k\pm 1} \circ T_{\pm}^{i+k, j+k} = p^{i+k+2, j+k\pm 2} \circ \nabla^{i+k+1, j+k\pm 1} \circ p^{i+k+1, j+k\pm 1} \circ \nabla^{i+k, j+k}$

Curvature Tensors

- Classical curvature tensor

$$R^\nabla(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$$

- $\sigma(X, Y) = \text{Tr}(Z \mapsto R^\nabla(Z, X)Y)$, $\sigma_{ij} = R^k_{ijk} = R^k_{ikj}$,
coordinates with respect to a local symplectic frame $(e_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$
- $\hat{\sigma}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2n+2}(\omega_{il}\sigma_{jk} - \omega_{ik}\sigma_{jl} + \omega_{jl}\sigma_{ik} - \omega_{jk}\sigma_{il} + 2\sigma_{ij}\omega_{kl})$
- $W = R^\nabla - \hat{\sigma}$ (See [Vaisman].)
- **Definition:** A Fedosov connection is called *Weyl-flat* (or Ricci-type) if $W = 0$.

Curvature of Symplectic Spinor Derivative - General Principles

- symplectic spinor bundle $\mathcal{E}^i = \mathcal{P} \times_{\rho} E^i$, $\mathcal{E}^{ij} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\rho} E^{ij}$,
 $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^0$ symplectic spinors (sections are symplectic spinor fields, of Kostant)
- ∇ Fedosov connection \longrightarrow associated cov. derivative ∇^0 on \mathcal{E}
- ∇^i exterior cov. derivative on \mathcal{E}^i , and ∇^{ij} on \mathcal{E}^{ij}
- i -th curvature $R^i = \nabla^{i+1}\nabla^i$. Total curvature $R = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-2} R^i$

Curvature for Weyl-Flat Connection

- $(\epsilon^i)_{i=1}^{2n}$ the dual frame to a local symplectic frame $(e_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$, $\epsilon^i \in \Gamma(U, TM)$, $U \subseteq M$ open
- $(e_i \cdot s)(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \iota x^i s(x)$, $e_{i+n} \cdot s = \frac{\partial s}{\partial x^i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. See [Habermann, K., Habermann, L.]. So-called symplectic spinor multiplication.
- $F^+(\alpha \otimes s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon^i \wedge \alpha \otimes e_i \cdot s$, $\alpha \otimes s \in \wedge^i V \otimes E$
- $E^+ = 2\{F^+, F^+\}$, anti-commutator. (See [Krysl, Monats] for a super-algebra setting.)
- $\Sigma^\sigma(\alpha \otimes s) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \sigma^i j \epsilon^j \wedge \alpha \otimes e_i \cdot s$
- $\Theta^\sigma(\alpha \otimes s) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \alpha \otimes \sigma^{ij} e_i \cdot e_j \cdot s$
- **Lemma** (curvature, [SK, Monats]). If ∇ is a symplectic Weyl-flat connection, then $R = \frac{1}{n+2}(E^+ \Theta^\sigma + 2F^+ \Sigma^\sigma)$.

Parts of the Curvature in Diagram Decomposition

- F^+ :

$$\underline{E^{i,j}} \xrightarrow{F^+} E^{i+1,j}$$

- Σ^σ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{i,j-1} & & E^{i+1,j-1} \\ & \nearrow & \\ \underline{E^{i,j}} & \longrightarrow & E^{i+1,j} \\ & \searrow & \\ E^{i,j+1} & & E^{i+1,j+1} \end{array}$$

- E^+ :

$$E^{i,j} \xrightarrow{F^+} E^{i+2,j}$$

- Θ^σ :

$$\begin{array}{c} E^{i,j-1} \\ \uparrow \\ \underline{E^{i,j}} \\ \downarrow \\ E^{i,j+1} \end{array}$$

Curvature and Connection

- Curvature $R = \frac{1}{n+2}(E^+\Theta^\sigma + 2F^+\Sigma^\sigma)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 E^{i,j-1} & & E^{i+1,j-1} & & E^{i+2,j-1} \\
 & \nearrow & & \nearrow & \\
 \underline{E^{i,j}} & \longrightarrow & E^{i+1,j} & \longrightarrow & E^{i+2,j} \\
 & \searrow & & \searrow & \\
 E^{i,j+1} & & E^{i+1,j+1} & & E^{i+2,j+1}
 \end{array}$$







- Cov. derivative ∇^{ij} :







$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i,j-1}) & & \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i+1,j-1}) \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 \underline{\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i,j})} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i+1,j}) \\
 & \searrow & \\
 \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i,j+1}) & & \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i+1,j+1})
 \end{array}$$

Cov. derivative's target are right also if the connection has torsion and is pre-symplectic only.

The Theorem and its Proof

- **Theorem:** Let (M, ω) be symplectic manifold admitting a metaplectic structure and let ∇ a Weyl-flat Fedosov connection on (M, ω) . Then for all pairs of integers (i, j) , the sequences $(\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^{i+k, j \pm k}), T_{\pm}^{i+k, j \pm k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ form complexes.
Proof. White-board or [Krysl, AACCA]. □
- Parallel to: Let (M, J) be a complex manifold. Then for each couple of integers (i, j) , the sequence $(\Gamma(E^{i, j+k}), \bar{\partial}_{i, j+k})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of holomorphic/antiholomorphic differential forms and Dolbeault differentials is a complex. Recall: Complex manifold := almost complex structure J is integrable (is complex), i.e. (Nirenberg–Newlander thm.), Nijenhuis tensor $N(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + [X, JY] - [JX, JY]$ of (M, J) is zero for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$: J complex $\Rightarrow N = 0$ is easy; opposite difficult.

-  Cahen, M., Gutt, S., La Fuente Gravy, L., On Mp^c -structures and symplectic Dirac operators, J. Geom. Phys. 86 (2014), 434–466.
-  Friedrich, T., Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
-  Gelfand, I., Retakh, V., Shubin, M., Fedosov Manifolds, Adv. Math. 136 (1998), no. 1, 104–140.
-  Forger, M., Hess, H., Universal metaplectic structures and geometric quantization, Commun. Math. Phys. 67 (1979), 267–278.
-  Habermann, K., The Dirac operator on symplectic spinors, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 13 (1995), no. 2, 155–168.
-  Habermann, K., Klein, A., Lie derivative of symplectic spinor fields, metaplectic representation, and quantization. Rostock. Math. Kolloq., No. 57 (2003), 71–91.

-  Habermann, K., Habermann, L., Introduction to symplectic Dirac operators, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg, 2006.
-  Knapp, A., Lie groups beyond an introduction. Progress in Mathematics, 140, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
-  Kostant, B., Symplectic Spinors. In Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XIV, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974, 139–152.
-  Krýsl, S., Complex of twistor operators in spin symplectic geometry, Monatshefte für Mathematik, Vol. 161 (2010) 4, 381–398.
-  Krýsl, S., Howe duality for the metaplectic group acting on symplectic spinor valued forms, Journal of Lie theory, Vol. 22 (2012) 4, 1049–1063.
-  Krýsl, S., Twistor operators in symplectic spin geometry, accepted, in print, Adv. Applied Clifford algebras (AACAA),

2022; preprint at

<https://www2.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~krysl/Twist.pdf>



Penrose, R., Twistor Algebra, Journal of Mathematical Physics. 8 (1967) (2), 345–366.



Shale, D., Linear symmetries of free boson fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1962), 149—167.



Sommen, F., An extension of Clifford analysis towards super-symmetry. In Clifford algebras and their applications in mathematical physics 2 (1999), 199—224; Progr. Phys. 19, Birkhäuser, 2000.



Tondeur, P., Affine Zusammenhänge auf Mannigfaltigkeiten mit fast-symplektischer Struktur, Comment. math. Helv. 36 (1962), no. 3, 234–264.



Vaisman I., Symplectic Curvature Tensors, Monatsh. Math. 100 (1985), 299–327.



Weil, A., Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 143–211.