

Homogeneous Einstein manifolds

a Lie group
(Myers-Steenrod '39)

Recall: (M^n, g) Riem. mfd is homogeneous if $\text{Isom}(M^n, g)$ acts transitively. Choosing $G \leq \text{Isom}(M^n, g)$ closed & transitive yields a presentation $(M^n, g) = (G/H, g)$.

compact \rightarrow G -invariant metric.

Due to symmetry, $\text{Ric}(g) = \lambda \cdot g$ (a nonlinear elliptic PDE) becomes a system of non-linear algebraic equations:

$M = G/H$, g left-invariant, $\{E_i\}$ g -o.n.b. for g ,

$[E_i, E_j] = c_{ij}^k E_k$ structure coefficients.

$$(E) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{k, \ell} -\frac{1}{2} c_{ik}^\ell c_{jk}^\ell + \frac{1}{4} c_{k\ell}^i c_{k\ell}^j - \frac{1}{2} c_{jk}^\ell c_{i\ell}^k + \frac{1}{2} c_{k\ell}^i (c_{ki}^j + c_{kj}^i) = \lambda \cdot \delta_{ij}$$

$\lambda = 0$: (Alexandrovskii-Kimelfeld '76) A homogeneous manifold with $\text{Ric}_g = 0$ is flat, (thus a quotient of Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, g_{\text{Euc}})$).

Exercise: Prove this using the Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem.

Even without symmetry assumptions!

$\lambda > 0$: M is compact, and $\pi_1 M$ is finite (Bonnet-Myers)

Up to $\dim M \leq 11$, any compact homogeneous space G/H admits a G -invariant Einstein metric. (Böhmer-Kerr '06)

The space $M^{12} = \text{SU}(4)/\text{SU}(2)_{10}$ admits no invariant Einstein metric (Wang-Ziller '86).

Much progress has been made by exploiting a **variational characterisation**: on a compact M , Einstein metrics are precisely the critical points of the Einstein-Hilbert functional $g \mapsto \int_M R(g) d\mu_g$ *scalar curvature* $R(g) = g^{ij} Ric_{ij}$, restricted to the space of unit-volume metrics.

However, the following fundamental problem remains open:

Finiteness Conjecture (Böhm, Wang, Ziller '04): Up to isometry and scaling, each compact homogeneous space G/H admits only finitely many G -invariant Einstein metrics.

Euler characteristic
 Rmk: BWZ only conjectured it for homog. spaces with $\chi(G/H) > 0$.

See: two great surveys by M. Wang:

- "Einstein metrics from symmetry & bundle constructions", 1999.
- " " " " " : sequel", 2012.

From now on, and for the rest of these talks, we focus on $\lambda < 0$:

Fact: M must be non-compact.

Indeed, (Bochner '49) M cpt + $Ric(g) < 0 \Rightarrow \dim \text{Isom}(M) = 0$.

$\rho / \text{Lie}(\text{Isom}(M)) = \{ \text{Killing fields} \}$

If X Killing, $f := \frac{1}{2} |X|^2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$X : \mathcal{L}_X g = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow \nabla X$ skew-symmetric

$\Delta f = |\nabla X|^2 - Ric(X, X) > 0 \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ (maximum principle) \square
 $Ric < 0 + X \neq 0$

Examples include non-compact symmetric spaces. More generally,

Einstein solvmanifolds:

Left-invariant Einstein metrics on (certain) simply-connected solvable Lie groups.

(Alekscevsckii '75) First non-symmetric examples (quaternionic Kähler).

Lots of known examples (compare w/ $\lambda > 0$ case):

Ex (Heber, '98): \exists 46-dim family of pairwise non-isometric Einstein deformations of $\mathbb{R}^{16} = \mathbb{H}\mathbb{H}^4$.

Excellent survey: Lauret, "Einstein solvmanifolds & nilsolitons", 2009.

Obs: These are not only non-compact, but also diffeo. to \mathbb{R}^n .

Conjecture (Alekscevsckii '75). Any connected homogeneous Einstein manifold with $\lambda < 0$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

Our main result is:

Thm (Böhm, L. '21): Conjecture holds. Moreover, any such space is isometric to an Einstein solvmanifold.

"Non-compact Einstein manifolds with symmetry" JAMS 2023.

Ricci curvature of left-invariant metrics.

(G, g) Lie group w/ left-invariant metric.

$g \rightsquigarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ inner product on $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$.

Since $\text{Ric}(g)$ is G -invariant, we view it as a symmetric bilinear form $\text{Ric}(g) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}(g)(X, X) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [X, E_i], E_j \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle X, [E_i, E_j] \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2} B_g(X, X) - \langle [H, X], X \rangle \\ &=: M(X, X) - \frac{1}{2} B(X, X) - \langle [H, X], X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ -orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{g} ,

$B_g(X, X) = \text{tr}(\text{ad} X)(\text{ad} X)$ is the Killing form of \mathfrak{g}
 ($\text{ad} X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, Y \mapsto [X, Y]$),

H is the so-called "mean curvature vector" of (G, g) , implicitly defined by

$$\langle H, X \rangle = \text{tr} \text{ad} X, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In particular, it depends on g , but $H=0$ is independent of g .
 When $H=0$ we say \mathfrak{g} is unimodular.

Question: what's the meaning of M ?

Answer: it's a moment map (in the sense of real Geometric Invariant Theory) for the natural action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ on $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$.

Fix $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} . Formula for $Rc(\mathfrak{g})$ only depends on \mathfrak{g} via $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^m$, \mathfrak{g} -o.n.b. for \mathfrak{g} .

Changing metric \iff changing o.n.b. to $\{h^{-1}E_j\}_{j=1}^m$, $h \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h = \langle h \cdot, h \cdot \rangle_1$ $\mu(X, X)$

$Rc(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)(X, X) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [X, E_i], E_j \rangle_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle X, [E_i, E_j] \rangle_1^2 - \dots$ no

$Rc(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h)(h^{-1}X, h^{-1}X) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle [h^{-1}X, h^{-1}E_i], h^{-1}E_j \rangle_h^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle h^{-1}X, [h^{-1}E_i, h^{-1}E_j] \rangle_h^2 - \dots$
 $= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle h[h^{-1}X, h^{-1}E_i], E_j \rangle_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle X, h[h^{-1}E_i, h^{-1}E_j] \rangle_1^2 - \dots$
 $= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \langle \mu(X, E_i), E_j \rangle_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} \langle X, \mu(E_i, E_j) \rangle_1^2 - \dots$

$\mu(\cdot, \cdot) = h[h^{-1}\cdot, h^{-1}\cdot] =: h \cdot [\cdot, \cdot]$ $\mu(X, X)$

no $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^n =: V_n$

V_n has a natural inner product, compatible with the action:

$GL_n(\mathbb{R}) = O(n) \cdot \exp(P)$ no $O(n)$ acts "orthogonally" on V_n
Cartan decomp. \downarrow positive definite $\exp(P)$ acts "self-adjointly" on V_n

induced representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ in $V_n \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow$

$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow P(V_n \otimes \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^N$

compatibility $\implies U(n) \leq GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow P(V_n \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is Hamiltonian
 $\implies \exists$ moment map $m: P(V_n \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)^* \simeq \mathfrak{u}(n)$

and $m: V_n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ is the "R-moment map". symmetric matrices.

Fact: $\mu(X, X) = \frac{\|m\|^2}{4} \langle m(\mu) \cdot X, X \rangle$

Thus,

$$R_{rc} = \pi_{\mu} - \frac{1}{2} B_g - \langle [H, \cdot], \cdot \rangle$$

this term is easy to deal with

To exploit this connection, B_g should be as little as possible:

\mathfrak{g} semisimple $\Rightarrow B_g$ non-degenerate $\Rightarrow R_{rc} \neq \pi_{\mu}$ X

\mathfrak{g} nilpotent $\Rightarrow B_g = 0$ ($+H=0$) $\Rightarrow R_{rc} = \pi_{\mu}$. ✓

\mathfrak{g} solvable $\Rightarrow B_g$ has a big kernel $\Rightarrow R_{rc} \sim \pi_{\mu}$. ✓
