

The spinor bundle on loop space

Hannes Berkenhagen

19.01.2025

19.01.2025 Hannes Berkenhagen (Universität Greifswald) : The spinor bundle on loop space



- 1. Tangential structures
- 2. The spinor bundle construction
- 3. ... on loop spaces
- 4. Ongoing work

A tangential structure on a manifold M is an alteration of the structure group of GL(M) by a homomorphism

 $\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(d).$

A tangential structure on a manifold M is an alteration of the structure group of GL(M) by a homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(d).$$

In terms of principal fiber bundles, this is a *G*-bundle *P* together with a *G*-equivariant map $P \to GL(M)$ which commutes with the projection to *M*.

A tangential structure on a manifold M is an alteration of the structure group of GL(M) by a homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}(d).$$

In terms of principal fiber bundles, this is a *G*-bundle *P* together with a *G*-equivariant map $P \to GL(M)$ which commutes with the projection to *M*.

In terms of classifying spaces, this is a lift



Important examples arise from the Whitehead-tower

 $\dots \longrightarrow \operatorname{String}(d) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(d) \longrightarrow \operatorname{SO}(d) \longrightarrow \operatorname{O}(d).$

 $M \longrightarrow BO(d).$







Let M be an orientable Riemannian manifold with a spin structure.

Let M be an orientable Riemannian manifold with a spin structure. As M is orientable, the structure group of the frame bundle can be reduced to SO(d).



Let *M* be an orientable Riemannian manifold with a spin structure. As *M* is orientable, the structure group of the frame bundle can be reduced to SO(d). As we also have a spin structure we can further pass to a Spin(d)-bundle.



The group Spin(d) has an interesting unitary representation Δ called the spinor representation.

The group Spin(d) has an interesting unitary representation Δ called the spinor representation. The spinor bundle on M is defined to be the associated vector bundle

$$\mathcal{S}_M \coloneqq \operatorname{Spin}(M) \times_{\operatorname{Spin}(d)} \Delta.$$

We try to do an analogous construction on

$$LM \coloneqq C^{\infty}(S^1, M).$$

We try to do an analogous construction on

$$LM \coloneqq C^{\infty}(S^1, M).$$

 ${\it LM}$ has a Riemannian metric given by

$$\tilde{g}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int_{S^1} g(\gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta)) d\theta.$$



The bundle TLM has fibers $L\mathbb{R}^n$.

The bundle TLM has fibers $L\mathbb{R}^n$. We now get a LSO(d)-bundle.



The bundle TLM has fibers $L\mathbb{R}^n$. We now get a LSO(d)-bundle. However, LSO(d) has two connected components and the reduction to its identity component is an LSpin(d)-bundle.



The bundle TLM has fibers $L\mathbb{R}^n$. We now get a LSO(d)-bundle. However, LSO(d) has two connected components and the reduction to its identity component is an LSpin(d)-bundle.



We interpret this as the oriented frame bundle of LM.



LSpin(d) has no appropriate unitary representations.

 $\operatorname{LSpin}(d)$ has no appropriate unitary representations. However, the so called basic extension

$$U(1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{LSpin}(d)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{LSpin}(d)$$

has one.

 $\operatorname{LSpin}(d)$ has no appropriate unitary representations. However, the so called basic extension

$$U(1) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{LSpin}(d)} \longrightarrow \mathrm{LSpin}(d)$$

has one. Thus we need a loop-spin structure, i.e. a lift to a $\widetilde{\mathrm{LSpin}(d)}$ -bundle



$$\tau_M(\frac{1}{2}p_1(M)) \in H^3(LM,\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\tau_M(\frac{1}{2}p_1(M)) \in H^3(LM,\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular string manifolds are loop-spin.

$$\tau_M(\frac{1}{2}p_1(M)) \in H^3(LM,\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular string manifolds are loop-spin. $\widetilde{\mathrm{LSpin}(d)}$ has a unitary representation Δ .

$$\tau_M(\frac{1}{2}p_1(M)) \in H^3(LM,\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular string manifolds are loop-spin.

LSpin(d) has a unitary representation Δ . The spinor bundle on the loop space is the associated vector bundle

$$\mathcal{S}_{LM} = \widetilde{\mathrm{LSpin}(M)} \times_{\widetilde{\mathrm{LSpin}(d)}} \Delta.$$



 $D: \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M) \to \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M).$

```
D: \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M) \to \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M).
```

There is an S^1 -equivariant index theorem for this operator and *formally* applying it to LM yields a power series in K(M)[[q]].

$$D: \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M) \to \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M).$$

There is an S^1 -equivariant index theorem for this operator and *formally* applying it to LM yields a power series in K(M)[[q]]. $\widetilde{\text{LSpin}(d)}$ carries a S^1 -action which decomposes $\Delta = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} E_n$.

$$D: \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M) \to \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M).$$

There is an S^1 -equivariant index theorem for this operator and formally applying it to LM yields a power series in K(M)[[q]]. $\widetilde{\text{LSpin}(d)}$ carries a S^1 -action which decomposes $\Delta = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} E_n$. We can associate vector bundles V_n to these E_n and obtain another power series

$$\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} [V_n] q^n \in K(M)[[q]].$$

$$D: \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M) \to \Gamma(M, \mathcal{S}_M).$$

There is an S^1 -equivariant index theorem for this operator and formally applying it to LM yields a power series in K(M)[[q]]. $\widetilde{\text{LSpin}(d)}$ carries a S^1 -action which decomposes $\Delta = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} E_n$. We can associate vector bundles V_n to these E_n and obtain another power series

$$\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} [V_n] q^n \in K(M)[[q]].$$

We want to compare these two.



 $\operatorname{LSpin}(d)$ also has a natural $\operatorname{Diff}^+(S^1)$ -action and thus $\operatorname{LSpin}(d)$ comes with an action of an extension $\operatorname{Diff}^+(S^1)$.

LSpin(d) also has a natural $Diff^+(S^1)$ -action and thus LSpin(d)comes with an action of an extension $Diff^+(S^1)$. The extension is by S^1 and the action by this central S^1 again decomposes Δ and thus yields a power series in K(M)[[q]]. LSpin(d) also has a natural $Diff^+(S^1)$ -action and thus LSpin(d)comes with an action of an extension $Diff^+(S^1)$. The extension is by S^1 and the action by this central S^1 again decomposes Δ and thus yields a power series in K(M)[[q]]. We want to look at how this relates to the construction from the previous slide.

References

- Waldorf, Konrad. "String structures and loop spaces." arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.12998 (2023)
- Kristel, Peter, and Konrad Waldorf. "Smooth Fock bundles, and spinor bundles on loop space." arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00333 (2020)
- Kristel, Peter, and Konrad Waldorf. "Fusion of implementers for spinors on the circle." Advances in Mathematics 402 (2022): 108325.
- Jean-Luc Brylinski, "Representations of loop groups, dirac operators on loop space, and modular forms". Topology, 29(4):461–480, 1990
- Edward Witten, "The index of the Dirac operator on loop space". In Elliptic curves and modular forms in algebraic topology, number 1326 in Lecture Notes in Math., pages 161–181. Springer, 1986.