

Semilinear elliptic problems involving Leray-Hardy potential and measure data

Huyuan Chen

Jiangxi Normal Univeristy

Workshop: Singular Problems associated to Quasilinear Equations
In celebration of Marie Francoise Bidaut-Véron and
Laurent Véron's 70th birthday

Dear Prof. Bidaut-Véron and Prof. Véron, it is a great pleasure for me to participate in this wonderful meeting to celebrate such an important birthday.



I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to you for your guidance and lots of assistance. I was most fortunate to be your and Prof. Felmer's PhD student.

We will talk about

- elliptic equation with absorption nonlinearity and measure data, and elliptic equations with Hardy operators
- Isolated singular solutions of nonhomogeneous Hardy problem

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u := -\Delta u + \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

- semilinear Hardy equation involving measures

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

- solutions of nonhomogeneous Hardy problem with the origin on the boundary

Outline

- 1 Backgrounds
 - Laplacian operator
 - Hardy operator
- 2 Isolated singular solutions
 - Fundamental solution
 - Nonhomogeneous problem
 - Idea of proofs
- 3 semilinear Hardy problem
 - Main results
 - The ideas of the proofs
- 4 Singular point on the boundary

- Benilan-Brezis-Crandall, Ann Sc Norm Sup Pisa (1975); Brezis, Appl Math Opim (1984)

For $p > 1$, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the problem

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.1)$$

has a unique solution u . Moreover, $u \geq 0$ if $f \geq 0$.

- Lieb-Simon, Adv. Math (1977)

The Thomas-Fermi equation, Thomas-Fermi theory of atoms, molecules

$$-\Delta u + (u - \lambda)_+^{\frac{3}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \delta_{a_i} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$, $m_i > 0$ and δ_{a_i} is the Dirac mass at $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The distributional solution of (1.2) is a classical solution of

$$-\Delta u + (u - \lambda)_+^{\frac{3}{2}} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_l\}. \quad (1.3)$$

A nature question is what difference between Dirac mass source and L^1 source.

- Benilan-Brezis, *J. Evol. Eq. (2004)* (finished 1975) answered this question, when $N \geq 3$, $p \geq \frac{N}{N-2}$, $k > 0$, the problem

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = k\delta_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.4)$$

has no solution.

- Brezis-Véron, *ARMA (1980)*: when $N \geq 3$, $p \geq N/(N-2)$, the basic model

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.5)$$

admits only the zero nonnegative solution.

A nature question is what difference between Dirac mass source and L^1 source.

- Benilan-Brezis, *J. Evol. Eq. (2004)* (finished 1975) answered this question, when $N \geq 3$, $p \geq \frac{N}{N-2}$, $k > 0$, the problem

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = k\delta_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.4)$$

has no solution.

- Brezis-Véron, *ARMA (1980)*: when $N \geq 3$, $p \geq N/(N-2)$, the basic model

$$-\Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.5)$$

admits only the zero nonnegative solution.

- Veron, NA (1981)

For singularities of positive solutions of (1.5) for $1 < p < N/(N - 2)$ ($1 < p < \infty$ if $N = 2$), (when $(N + 1)/(N - 1) \leq p < N/(N - 2)$ the assumption of positivity is unnecessary) and that two types of singular behaviour occur:

- either $u(x) \sim c_N k |x|^{2-N}$ if $N \geq 3$ $u(x) \sim (-c_N k \ln |x|)$ if $N = 2$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ and k can take any positive value; u is said to have a *weak singularity* at 0, and actually $u = u_k$, u_k is a distributional solution of (1.4);
- or $u(x) \sim c_{N,p} |x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$ as $x \rightarrow 0$; u is said to have a *strong singularity* at 0, and $u = u_\infty := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k$.

- Chen-Matano-Veron, *JFA (1989): Anisotropic singularities*

When $1 < p < (N + 1)/(N - 1)$, u is a solution of (1.5), then

- either $r^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(r, \theta) \sim \omega(\theta)$, where ω is a solution of

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \omega + |\omega|^{p-1} \omega = l_p \omega \quad \text{in } \mathbb{S}^{N-1};$$

- or there exists an integer $k < \frac{2}{p-1}$ and $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that $u(r, \theta) \sim c_{N,q} k r^k \sin(k\theta + \theta_0)$ as $r = |x| \rightarrow 0$;
- or $u(x) \sim -c_N k \ln |x|$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$.

- Veron, *Handb. Differ. Eq., North-Holland 2004:*

For $N \geq 3$, the problem

$$-\Delta u + g(u) = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.6)$$

has a unique distributional solution u_ν if ν is a bounded Radon measure, g is nondecreasing locally Lipschitz continuous, $g(0) = 0$ and

$$\int_1^\infty (g(s) - g(-s)) s^{-1 - \frac{N}{N-2}} ds < +\infty.$$

- Vázquez, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh. A* (1983)

When $N = 2$, introduced the exponential orders of growth of g defined by

$$\beta_{\pm}(g) = \pm \inf \left\{ b > 0 : \int_1^{\infty} |g(\pm t)| e^{-bt} dt < \infty \right\} \quad (1.7)$$

if ν is any bounded measure in Ω with Lebesgue decomposition

$$\nu = \nu_r + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_j \delta_{a_j},$$

where ν_r is part of ν with no atom, $a_j \in \Omega$ and $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$\frac{4\pi}{\beta_-(g)} \leq \alpha_j \leq \frac{4\pi}{\beta_+(g)}, \quad (1.8)$$

then

$$-\Delta u + g(u) = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.9)$$

admits a unique weak solution.

- Baras and Pierre, *Ann Inst Fourier Grenoble* (1984)

When $g(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ for $p > 1$ and they discovered that if $p \geq \frac{N}{N-2}$ the problem is well posed if and only if ν is absolutely continuous with respect to the Bessel capacity $c_{2,p'}$ with $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Hardy inequalities

The Hardy inequalities

$$\frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\xi^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx, \quad \forall \xi \in H_0^1(\Omega);$$

Improved Hardy inequality

$$\frac{(N-2)^2}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\xi^2}{|x|^2} dx + c \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \xi|^2 dx, \quad \forall \xi \in H_0^1(\Omega);$$

Denote

$$\mu_0 = -\frac{(N-2)^2}{4}.$$

Note that $\mu_0 < 0$ if $N \geq 3$ and $\mu_0 = 0$ if $N = 2$. Let Hardy operator be defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu} = -\Delta + \frac{\mu}{|x|^2}. \quad (1.10)$$

Singular radial solutions of \mathcal{L}_μ

When $\mu \geq \mu_0$

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \quad (1.11)$$

has two branches of radial solutions with the explicit formulas that

$$\Phi_\mu(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{\tau_-(\mu)} & \text{if } \mu < \mu_0 \\ -|x|^{\tau_-(\mu)} \ln|x| & \text{if } \mu = \mu_0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_\mu(x) = |x|^{\tau_+(\mu)}, \quad (1.12)$$

where

$$\tau_-(\mu) = -\frac{N-2}{2} - \sqrt{\mu - \mu_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_+(\mu) = -\frac{N-2}{2} + \sqrt{\mu - \mu_0}.$$

Here the $\tau_-(\mu)$ and $\tau_+(\mu)$ are the zero points of $\tau(\tau + N - 2) - \mu = 0$. In the following, we use the notations $\tau_- = \tau_-(\mu)$ and $\tau_+ = \tau_+(\mu)$.

semilinear Hardy problem

- Dupaigne, *JAM (2002)*

the strong, H_0^1 and distributional solutions of

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u = u^p + tf, \quad u > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.13)$$

- a classical solution u is a $C^2(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ function verifies the equation pointwise in $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ and $u(x) \leq c\Gamma_\mu$ for some $c > 0$;
- a H^1 solution u is a $H_0^1(\Omega)$ function verifies the identity

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla \xi - \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} u \xi) = \int_{\Omega} (u^p + tf) \xi, \quad \forall \xi \in H_0^1(\Omega);$$

- a distributional solution u , if $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\frac{u}{|x|^2} \in L^1(\Omega, \rho dx)$ and u verifies that

$$\int_{\Omega} u \mathcal{L}_\mu \xi = \int_{\Omega} (u^p + tf) \xi, \quad \forall \xi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C_0(\Omega),$$

where $\rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$.

Dupaigne's main results

Theorem

Assume that $N \geq 3$, $\mu \in [\mu_0, 0)$, f is a smooth, bounded and nonnegative function and

$$q_\mu^* = 1 + \frac{2}{-\tau_+(\mu)}$$

For $1 < p < q_\mu^*$, there exists t_0 such that

- (i) if $0 < t < t_0$, problem (1.13) has a minimal classical solution;
- (ii) if $t = t_0$, problem (1.13) has a minimal distributional solution;
- (iii) if $t > t_0$, problem (1.13) has no distributional solution.

- Brezis-Dupaigne-Tesei *Sel Math* (2005)

When $t = 0$, (1.13) has a nontrivial nonnegative solution of for $p < q_\mu^*$ and does not have nonnegative distributional solutions for $p \geq q_\mu^*$.

- Guerch and Véron, *Rev mat Iberoamericana* 1991

○ $\mu > \mu_0$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(0) \geq 0$

$$\int_1^\infty (g(s) - g(-s))s^{-1 - \frac{\tau_- - 2}{\tau_-}} ds < \infty; \quad (1.14)$$

○ $\mu = \mu_0$, $k > 0$, $N \geq 3$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(0) \geq 0$ and

$$\int_1^\infty g\left(kt^{\frac{N-2}{N+2}} \ln t\right) t^{-2} dt < \infty, \quad (1.15)$$

semilinear Hardy problem

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.16)$$

has a classical solution $u_k \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_k(x)}{\Phi_\mu(x)} = k$.

- Cîrstea, *American mathematical society* 2014

The positive solution of semilinear Hardy equation $\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ has three possible singularities at the origin:

$$\text{either } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\Phi_\mu(x)} = +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\Phi_\mu(x)} \in (0, +\infty), \quad (1.17)$$

$$\text{or} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\Gamma_\mu(x)} \in (0, +\infty). \quad (1.18)$$

Related elliptic problem with boundary Hardy potential:

- Gkikas-Véron, *NA* 2015
- Nguyen, *CVPDE* 2017:
- Marcus-Nguyen, *Math Ann* 2019;
- Bandle-Marcus-Moroz, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 2017

Some questions

- When $\mu = 0$, $\Phi_0(x) = |x|^{2-N}$ if $N \geq 3$ and $\Gamma_\mu = 1$, function Φ_0 verifies the distributional identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_0 \mathcal{L}_0 \xi \, dx = c_0 \xi(0), \quad \forall \xi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

- For $\mu \in [\mu_0, 0)$, there holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu \xi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Gamma_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu \xi \, dx = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (1.19)$$

For $\mu \in [\mu_0, 0)$, the Dirac mass can not be used to express the singularities of the function Φ_μ or Γ_μ in the traditional distributional sense.

- Especially, when $\mu > 0$ large enough, the distributional identity (1.19) for Φ_μ is not well-defined.

Outline

- 1 Backgrounds
 - Laplacian operator
 - Hardy operator
- 2 Isolated singular solutions
 - Fundamental solution
 - Nonhomogeneous problem
 - Idea of proofs
- 3 semilinear Hardy problem
 - Main results
 - The ideas of the proofs
- 4 Singular point on the boundary

New distributional identity

When $\mu \geq \mu_0$, Φ_μ and Γ_μ satisfy $\mathcal{L}_\mu u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem

Let $d\gamma_\mu(x) = \Gamma_\mu(x)dx$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu^* = -\Delta - 2\frac{\tau_+(\mu)}{|x|^2} x \cdot \nabla. \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\xi) d\gamma_\mu = c_\mu \xi(0), \quad \forall \xi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$c_\mu = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{\mu - \mu_0} |\mathbb{S}^{N-1}| & \text{if } \mu > \mu_0, \\ |\mathbb{S}^{N-1}| & \text{if } \mu = \mu_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

- H. Chen, A. Quaas and F. Zhou, On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations with the Hardy-Leray potentials, *Accepted by JAM*, *arXiv:1705.08047*.

- In fact we show that

$$\Gamma_\mu \cdot \mathcal{L}_\mu(\Phi_\mu) = c_\mu \delta_0. \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, for $\mu = 0$, $\Gamma_\mu = 1$, $\mathcal{L}_\mu^* = -\Delta$ and (2.4) reduces to

$$-\Delta \Phi_0 = c_0 \delta_0.$$

- Observation: $\tau_-(\mu) + \tau_+(\mu) = 2 - N$, for $\xi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we use test function $\Gamma_\mu \xi$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_r(0)}} \mathcal{L}_\mu(\Phi_\mu) \Gamma_\mu \xi \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_r(0)}} \Phi_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\xi) \, d\gamma_\mu + \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \left(\nabla \Phi_\mu \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \Gamma_\mu - \nabla \Gamma_\mu \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \Phi_\mu \right) \xi \, d\omega \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \Phi_\mu \Gamma_\mu \left(\nabla \xi \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \, d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

- Here Φ_μ is said to be a fundamental solution of \mathcal{L}_μ . We note that the fundamental solution Φ_μ keeps positive when $\mu < \mu_0$ and changes signs for $\mu = \mu_0$.

Bounded domain

In the bounded C^2 domain Ω containing the origin,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) \Phi_\mu^{-1}(x) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

has a unique solution $\Phi_{\mu, \Omega}$.

Theorem

Let $\Phi_{\mu, \Omega}$ be the solution of (2.5), then

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{\mu, \Omega} \mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\xi) d\gamma_\mu = c_\mu \xi(0), \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^{1,1}(\Omega). \quad (2.6)$$

Approximation of the fundamental solution

Let $\{\delta_n\}_n$ be a sequence of nonnegative L^∞ functions that $\text{supp } \delta_n \subset B_{r_n}(0)$, where $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$\delta_n \rightarrow \delta_0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in the distributional sense.

For any n , the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u = c_\mu \delta_n / \Gamma_\mu & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) \Phi_\mu^{-1}(x) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

has a unique solution w_n .

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} w_n(x) = \Phi_{\mu, \Omega}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}.$$

We consider nonhomogeneous problem

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u = f \text{ in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

Theorem

Let $\mu \geq \mu_0$, f be a function in $C_{loc}^\theta(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

(i) Assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f| d\gamma_\mu < +\infty, \quad (2.9)$$

then problem (2.8), subject to $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) \Phi_\mu^{-1}(x) = k$ with $k \in \mathbb{R}$, has a unique solution u_k , which satisfies the distributional identity

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k \mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\xi) d\gamma_\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \xi d\gamma_\mu + c_\mu k \xi(0), \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^{1,1}(\Omega). \quad (2.10)$$

(ii) Assume that f verifies (2.9) and u is a **nonnegative** solution of (2.8), then u satisfies (2.10) for some $k \geq 0$.

(iii) Assume that $f \geq 0$ and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(0)} f d\gamma_\mu = +\infty, \quad (2.11)$$

then problem (2.8) has **no nonnegative** solutions.

Part 1: existence for $f \in L^1(\Omega, d\gamma_\mu)$

Lemma

Assume that $f \in C^\theta(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u = f & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) \Phi_\mu^{-1}(x) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

has a unique solution u_f satisfying the distributional identity:

$$\int_{\Omega} u_f \mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\xi) d\gamma_\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \xi d\gamma_\mu, \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^{1,1}(\Omega). \quad (2.13)$$

• The case $\mu > \mu_0$. Indeed, for $\mu > \mu_0$, we can choose $\tau_0 \in (\tau_-(\mu), \min\{2, \tau_+(\mu)\})$, and denote

$$V_0(x) = |x|^{\tau_0}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu V_0(x) = c_{\tau_0} |x|^{\tau_0 - 2},$$

where $c_{\tau_0} = \mu - \tau_0(\tau_0 + N - 2) > 0$.

Since f is bounded, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq t_0 c_{\tau_0} |x|^{\tau_0 - 2}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\},$$

then $t_0 V_0$ and $-t_0 V_0$ are supersolution and subsolution of (2.12) respectively.

• *The case $\mu = \mu_0$ and $N \geq 3$.*

- $\mu \mapsto u_\mu$ is decreasing in $[\mu_0, 0)$.
- a uniformly bound for u_μ for $\mu > \mu_0$

$$V(x) = |x|^{\tau + (\mu_0)} - (s_0 |x|)^2, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \{0\},$$

where $s_0 > 0$ and $V > 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$u_\mu \leq t_0 V \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}.$$

For $\xi \in C_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, there exists $c > 0$ independent of μ such that

$$|\mathcal{L}_\mu^*(\xi)| \leq c \|\xi\|_{C_0^{1,1}(\Omega)} + |\mu| \|\xi\|_{C_0^1(\Omega)} |x|^{-1}.$$

○ From the dominated monotonicity convergence theorem, there exists $u_{\mu_0} \leq t_0 V$ such that

$$u_\mu \rightarrow u_{\mu_0} \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \mu_0^+ \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \quad \text{and in } L^1(\Omega, |x|^{-1} d\gamma_\mu)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{\mu_0} \mathcal{L}_{\mu_0}^*(\xi) d\gamma_{\mu_0} = \int_{\Omega} f \xi d\gamma_{\mu_0}$$

Part 2: nonexistence for $f \notin L^1(\Omega, d\gamma_\mu)$

- From (2.11) and the fact $f \in C^\theta(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\})$, for any r_n , we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_{r_n}(0) \setminus B_r(0)} f(x) d\gamma_\mu = +\infty,$$

then there exists $R_n \in (0, r_n)$ such that $\int_{B_{r_n}(0) \setminus B_{R_n}(0)} f d\gamma_\mu = n$.

Let $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n} \Gamma_\mu f \chi_{B_{r_n}(0) \setminus B_{R_n}(0)}$, then the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u \cdot \Gamma_\mu = \delta_n & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) \Phi_\mu^{-1}(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique positive solution w_n satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} w_n \mathcal{L}_\mu(\Gamma_\mu \xi) dx = \int_{\Omega} \delta_n \xi dx, \quad \forall \xi \in C_0^{1,1}(\Omega).$$

- For any $\xi \in C_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} w_n \mathcal{L}_{\mu}^*(\xi) d\gamma_{\mu} = \int_{\Omega} \delta_n \xi dx \rightarrow \xi(0) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore for any compact set $K \subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\|w_n - \Phi_{\mu,\Omega}\|_{C^1(K)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Fix $x_0 \in \Omega \setminus \{0\}$ and $r_0 = \frac{\min\{|x_0|, \rho(x_0)\}}{2}$ and $K = \overline{B_{r_0}(x_0)}$, then there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$w_n \geq \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\mu,\Omega} \quad \text{in } K. \quad (2.14)$$

- Let u_n be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\mu} u \cdot \Gamma_{\mu} = n\delta_n & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x) \Phi_{\mu}^{-1}(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

thus, together with (2.14), we have that

$$u_n \geq n w_n \geq \frac{n}{2} \Phi_{\mu,\Omega} \quad \text{in } K$$

and

$$u_f(x_0) \geq u_n(x_0) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which contradicts that u_f is classical solution of (2.8)

Part 3: nonexistence when $\mu < \mu_0$

Theorem

Assume that $\mu < \mu_0$ and f is a measurable nonnegative function, then problem (2.8) has no nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

Sketch of the proof. Let u_0 be a nontrivial nonnegative solution of (2.8).

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu_0} u_0 = (\mu_0 - \mu) \frac{u_0}{|x|^2} + f \geq (\mu_0 - \mu) \epsilon_0 \frac{\chi_{B_{r_0}}(x_0)}{|x|^2},$$

When $N \geq 3$, for $x \in B_{r_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$u_0(x) \geq (\mu_0 - \mu) \epsilon_0 \mathbb{G}_{\mu_0}[\chi_{B_{r_0}}(x_0)] \geq c_0 |x|^{-\frac{N-2}{2}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(0)} [(\mu_0 - \mu) \frac{u_0}{|x|^2} + f] d\gamma_{\mu_0} &\geq c_0 \int_{B_{r_0}(0) \setminus B_r(0)} |x|^{-N} dx \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu} u = (\mu_0 - \mu) \frac{u_0}{|x|^2} + f \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{0\}, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (2.15)$$

has no nonnegative solution.

Outline

- 1 Backgrounds
 - Laplacian operator
 - Hardy operator
- 2 Isolated singular solutions
 - Fundamental solution
 - Nonhomogeneous problem
 - Idea of proofs
- 3 semilinear Hardy problem
 - Main results
 - The ideas of the proofs
- 4 Singular point on the boundary

The nonlinear Poisson equation

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = \nu \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\mu \geq \mu_0$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(0) \geq 0$ and ν is a Radon measure in Ω .

- we denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega^*; \Gamma_\mu)$, the set of Radon measures ν in Ω^* such that

$$\int_{\Omega^*} \Gamma_\mu d|\nu| := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega^*} \zeta d|\nu| : \zeta \in C_c(\Omega^*), 0 \leq \zeta \leq \Gamma_\mu \right\} < \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\Omega^* = \Omega \setminus \{0\}$.

- we denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ the set of measures ν on Ω which coincide with the above natural extension of $\nu|_{\Omega^*} \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\Omega^*; \Gamma_\mu)$. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ we define the measure $\Gamma_\mu \nu$ in the following way

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta d(\Gamma_\mu \nu) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega^*} \eta \Gamma_\mu d\nu : \eta \in C_c(\Omega^*), 0 \leq \eta \leq \zeta \right\} \text{ for all } \zeta \in C_c(\Omega), \zeta \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

- We denote by $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ the set of measures which can be written under the form

$$\nu = \nu|_{\Omega^*} + k\delta_0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\nu|_{\Omega^*} \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

- We denote $\overline{\Omega}^* := \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}$, $\rho(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and

$$\mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega) = \left\{ \xi \in C_0(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}^*) : |x|\mathcal{L}_\mu^* \xi \in L^\infty(\Omega) \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Clearly, $C_0^{1,1}(\overline{\Omega}) \subset \mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega)$.

Definition

- We say that u is a weak solution of (3.1) with $\nu \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ such that $\nu = \nu|_{\Omega^*} + k\delta_0$ if $u \in L^1(\Omega, |x|^{-1}d\gamma_\mu)$, $g(u) \in L^1(\Omega, \rho d\gamma_\mu)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} [u\mathcal{L}_\mu^* \xi + g(u)\xi] d\gamma_\mu = \int_{\Omega} \xi d(\Gamma_\mu \nu) + c_\mu k \xi(0) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega). \quad (3.6)$$

- the Dirac mass at 0 does not belong to $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ although it is a limit of $\{\nu_n\} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$.

Definition

- A continuous function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $rg(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the weak Δ_2 -condition if there exists a positive nondecreasing function $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto K(t)$ such that

$$|g(s+t)| \leq K(t) (|g(s)| + |g(t)|) \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } st \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

It satisfies the Δ_2 -condition if the above function K is constant.

- Critical exponent

$$p_\mu^* = 1 - \frac{2}{\tau_-}. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that $p_\mu^* < p_0^*$ if $\mu > 0$ and $p_\mu^* > p_0^*$ if $\mu < 0$.

- H. Chen and L. Véron, Weak solutions of semilinear elliptic equations with Leray-Hardy potential and measure data, *Mathematics in Engineering* 1, (2019).

Theorem

Let $\mu > 0$ if $N = 2$, $\mu \geq \mu_0$ if $N \geq 3$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(0) = 0$. Then for any $\nu \in L^1(\Omega, d\gamma_\mu)$, problem (3.1) has a unique weak solution u_ν such that for some $c_1 > 0$,

$$\|u_\nu\|_{L^1(\Omega, |x|^{-1}d\gamma_\mu)} \leq c_1 \|\nu\|_{L^1(\Omega, d\gamma_\mu)}.$$

Furthermore, if $u_{\nu'}$ is the solution of (3.1) with right-hand side $\nu' \in L^1(\Omega, d\gamma_\mu)$, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} [|u_\nu| \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \xi + |g(u_\nu)| \xi] d\gamma_\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} (\nu) \operatorname{sgn}(u_\nu) \xi d\gamma_\mu \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} [(u_\nu)_+ \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \xi + (g(u_\nu))_+ \xi] d\gamma_\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \nu \operatorname{sgn}_+(u_\nu) \xi d\gamma_\mu \quad (3.10)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega)$, $\xi \geq 0$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(t) = 1$ if $t > 0$, $\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(t) = -1$ if $t < 0$.

- Remark: (3.9) and (3.10) are [Kato's type Inequalities](#); these inequalities plays an important role in the derivation of uniqueness.

Now we state the existence of weak solution in the subcritical case with $\mu > \mu_0$.

Theorem

Let $\mu > \mu_0$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nondecreasing continuous function such that $g(r)r \geq 0$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If g satisfies the weak Δ_2 -condition and

$$\int_1^\infty (g(s) - g(-s))s^{-1-\min\{p_\mu^*, p_0^*\}} ds < \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Then for $\nu \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ problem (3.1) admits a unique weak solution u_ν . Furthermore, the mapping: $\nu \mapsto u_\nu$ is increasing.

• For $\nu = \nu|_{\Omega^*} + c_\mu k \delta_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ and $g(t) = |t|^{p-1}t$, problem (3.1) has a unique solution if

- (i) $1 < p < p_\mu^*$ in the case $\nu|_{\Omega^*} = 0$;
- (ii) $1 < p < p_0^*$ in the case $k = 0$;
- (iii) $1 < p < \min\{p_\mu^*, p_0^*\}$ in the case $k \neq 0$ and $\nu|_{\Omega^*} \neq 0$.

• Examples: Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\nu = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \delta_{\frac{e_1}{n}} + k \delta_0$, where $a_n > 0$ is such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^{\tau^+} < +\infty$.

Theorem

Assume that $N \geq 3$, $\mu = \mu_0$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(r)r \geq 0$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the weak Δ_2 -condition and

$$\int_1^{+\infty} (g(s) - g(-s))s^{-1-\frac{N}{N-2}} ds < +\infty. \quad (3.12)$$

Then for any $\nu = \nu|_{\Omega^*} + c_\mu k \delta_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ problem (3.1) admits a unique weak solution u_ν .

Furthermore, if $\nu|_{\Omega^*} = 0$, condition (3.12) can be replaced by the following weaker one

$$\int_1^\infty (g(t) - g(-t)) (\ln t)^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} t^{-1-\frac{N+2}{N-2}} dt < \infty. \quad (3.13)$$

- Examples: $\nu = k\delta_0$ and $g(t) = t^{\frac{N+4}{N-2}} (\ln t)^\tau$ with $\tau > \frac{2N}{N-2}$, (3.1) has an isolated singular solution $u_k > 0$.

In the supercritical case, we set $g_p(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g_p(u) = \nu \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (3.14)$$

Theorem

Assume that $N \geq 3$. Then $\nu = \nu|_{\Omega^*} \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ is g_p -good if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\nu_\epsilon = \nu \chi_{B_\epsilon^c}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the $c_{2,p'}$ -Bessel capacity.

Finally we characterize the compacts removable sets in Ω .

Theorem

Assume that $N \geq 3$, $p > 1$ and K is a compact set of Ω . Then any weak solution of

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g_p(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \setminus K \quad (3.15)$$

can be extended a solution of the same equation in whole Ω if and only if

- (i) $c_{2,p'}(K) = 0$ if $0 \notin K$;
- (ii) $p \geq p_\mu^*$ if $K = \{0\}$;
- (iii) $c_{2,p'}(K) = 0$ if $\mu \geq 0$, $0 \in K$ and $K \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$;
- (iv) $c_{2,p'}(K) = 0$ and $p \geq p_\mu^*$ if $\mu < 0$, $0 \in K$ and $K \setminus \{0\} \neq \emptyset$.

Part 1: linear problem

Lemma

If $\nu \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u = \nu \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.16)$$

admits a unique solution in $L^1(\Omega, |x|^{-1}d\gamma_\mu)$, denoted by $\mathbb{G}_\mu[\nu]$, and this defines the Green operator of \mathcal{L}_μ in Ω with homogeneous Dirichlet conditions.

- Let $\{\nu_n\} \subset L^1(\Omega, \rho d\gamma_\mu)$ be a sequence such that $\nu_n \geq 0$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} \xi \Gamma_\mu \nu_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \xi d(\Gamma_\mu \nu) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega),$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the weak solution of

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u_n = \nu_n \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (3.17)$$

satisfies that for any open sets O verifying $\bar{O} \cap \Omega \setminus B_c(0)$ for some $c > 0$ independent of n but dependent of O' ,

$$\|u_n\|_{W^{1,q}(O)} \leq c \|\nu\|_{\overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\Omega, \Gamma_\mu)}.$$

That is, $\{u_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $W_{loc}^{1,q}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$.

- Let $\omega \subset \Omega$ be a Borel set and the solution ψ_ω of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \psi_\omega = |x|^{-1} \chi_\omega & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi_\omega = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

has the property

$$\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 0} \psi_\omega(x) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } B_1$$

and

$$\int_\omega \frac{u_n}{|x|} d\gamma_\mu(x) = \int_\omega \nu_n \Gamma_\mu \psi_\omega dx \leq \sup_\Omega \psi_\omega \int_\omega \nu_n \Gamma_\mu dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\omega| \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that $\{u_n\}$ is uniformly integrable for the measure $|x|^{-1} d\gamma_\mu$.

Part 2: Isolated singular solutions

Lemma

Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nondecreasing function such that $rg(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. Then problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = k\delta_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

admits a unique solution $u := u_{k\delta_0}$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $N = 2$, $\mu > \mu_0$ and g satisfies

$$\int_1^\infty (g(s) - g(-s)) s^{-1-p_\mu^*} ds < \infty; \quad (3.20)$$

(ii) $N \geq 3$, $\mu = \mu_0$ and g satisfies (3.13).

• For $\mu > \mu_0$ [Guerch-Veron 1991] for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a radial function $v_{k,1}$ (resp. $v_{k,R}$) defined in B_1^* (resp. B_R^*) satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu v + g(v) = 0 \quad \text{in } B_1^* \quad (\text{resp. in } B_R^*), \quad (3.21)$$

vanishing respectively on ∂B_1 and ∂B_R and satisfying

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_{k,1}(x)}{\Phi_\mu(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_{k,R}(x)}{\Phi_\mu(x)} = \frac{k}{c_\mu}. \quad (3.22)$$

- For $\mu = \mu_0$, [Guerch-Veron 1991] shows the existence of isolated singular solution if for some $b > 0$ there holds

$$I := \int_1^\infty g\left(bt^{\frac{N-2}{N+2}} \ln t\right) t^{-2} dt < \infty, \quad (3.23)$$

set $s = t^{\frac{N-2}{N+2}}$ and $\beta = \frac{N+2}{N-2}b$, then

$$I = \frac{N+2}{N-2} \int_1^\infty g(\beta s \ln s) s^{-\frac{2N}{N-2}} ds$$

Set $\tau = \beta s \ln s$, then

$$\ln \tau = \ln s \left(1 + \frac{\ln \ln s}{\ln s} + \frac{\ln \beta}{\ln s}\right) \implies \ln s = \ln \tau (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

We infer that for $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $s_\epsilon > 2$ and $\tau_\epsilon = s_\epsilon \ln s_\epsilon$ such that

$$(1 - \epsilon)\beta^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \leq \frac{\int_{s_\epsilon}^\infty g(\beta s \ln s) s^{-\frac{2N}{N-2}} ds}{\int_{\tau_\epsilon}^\infty g(\tau) (\ln \tau)^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} \tau^{-\frac{2N}{N-2}} d\tau} \leq (1 + \epsilon)\beta^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}. \quad (3.24)$$

Thus, $I < +\infty$ is equivalent to (3.13).

Part 3: Measures in Ω^*

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = \nu \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (3.25)$$

Lemma

(i) Let $N = 2$, $\mu > 0$, $\beta_-(g) < 0 < \beta_+(g)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_+(g) &= \inf \left\{ b > 0 : \int_1^\infty g(t) e^{-bt} dt < \infty \right\}, \\ \beta_-(g) &= \sup \left\{ b < 0 : \int_{-\infty}^{-1} g(t) e^{bt} dt > -\infty \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

then for $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega^*; \Gamma_\mu)$ problem (3.25) admits a unique weak solution.

(ii) Let $N \geq 3$, $\mu \geq \mu_0$ and g satisfy (3.12), then for $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega^*; \Gamma_\mu)$ problem (3.25) admits a unique weak solution.

- Examples: Let $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\nu = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \delta_{\frac{\epsilon_1}{n}}$, where $a_n > 0$ is such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^{\tau_n^+} < +\infty$.
The critical exponent $\frac{N}{N-2}$ is sharp in this case.

- The case that $\nu \geq 0$. For $\sigma > 0$ small, we set $\Omega^\sigma = \Omega \setminus \{\overline{B_\sigma}\}$ and $\nu_\sigma = \nu \chi_{\Omega^\sigma}$ and for $0 < \epsilon < \sigma$ we consider the following problem in Ω^ϵ

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = \nu_\sigma & \text{in } \Omega^\epsilon, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_\epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

By monotonicity of $\epsilon \mapsto u_\epsilon$ and uniform upper bound, we can pass to the limit to obtain a weak solution u_{ν_σ} of

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u + g(u) = \nu_\sigma \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.28)$$

Using monotone convergence theorem we infer that $u_{\nu_\sigma} \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega, |x|^{-1} d\gamma_\mu)$ and $g(u_{\nu_\sigma}) \rightarrow g(u_\nu)$ in $L^1(\Omega, d\gamma_\mu)$. Hence $u = u_\nu$ is the weak solution of (3.25).

- The case that a signed measure $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$. We approximate the solution by uniform bounds and the argument of uniform integrability.

Part 4: Reduced measure

If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$g_k(r) = \begin{cases} \min\{g(r), g(k)\} & \text{if } r \geq 0, \\ \max\{g(r), g(-k)\} & \text{if } r < 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

for any $\nu \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ there exists a unique weak solution $u = u_{\nu, k}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u + g_k(u) = \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

Proposition

Let $\nu \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$. Then the sequence of weak solutions $\{u_{\nu, k}\}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u + g_k(u) = \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

decreases and converges, when $k \rightarrow \infty$, to some nonnegative function u and there exists a measure $\nu^* \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ such that $0 \leq \nu^* \leq \nu$ and $u = u_{\nu^*}$.

The proof is similar to Proposition 4.1 in Bidaut-Véron and L. Véron, *Inventiones Math.* (1991).

- Let $\nu, \nu' \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$. If $\nu' \leq \nu$ and $\nu = \nu^*$, then $\nu' = \nu'^*$
- Assume that $\nu = \nu|_{\Omega^*} + k\delta_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$, then $\nu^* = \nu^*|_{\Omega^*} + k^*\delta_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$ with $\nu^*|_{\Omega^*} \leq \nu|_{\Omega^*}$ and $k^* \leq k$. More precisely,
 - (i) If $\mu > \mu_0$ and g satisfies (3.11), then $k = k^*$.
 - (ii) If $\mu = \mu_0$ and g satisfies (3.13), then $k = k^*$.
 - (ii) If $\mu > \mu_0$ (resp. $\mu = \mu_0$) and g does not satisfy (3.20) (resp. (3.13)), then $k^* = 0$.
- If $\nu \in \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_+(\Omega; \Gamma_\mu)$, then ν^* is the largest g -good measure smaller or equal to ν .

Outline

- 1 Backgrounds
 - Laplacian operator
 - Hardy operator
- 2 Isolated singular solutions
 - Fundamental solution
 - Nonhomogeneous problem
 - Idea of proofs
- 3 semilinear Hardy problem
 - Main results
 - The ideas of the proofs
- 4 Singular point on the boundary

- For $\mu \geq \mu_1 := -\frac{N^2}{4}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} |\nabla \zeta|^2 + \mu_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \frac{\zeta^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N). \quad (4.1)$$

- \mathcal{L}_μ -harmonic functions vanishing on $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\gamma_\mu(r, \sigma) = r^{\alpha_+} \psi_1(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_\mu(r, \sigma) = \begin{cases} r^{\alpha_+} \psi_1(\sigma) & \text{if } \mu > \mu_1, \\ r^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} \ln(r^{-1}) \psi_1(\sigma) & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\psi_1(\sigma) = \frac{x_N}{|x|}$ generates $\ker(-\Delta' + (N-1)I)$ in $H_0^1(\mathbb{S}_+^{N-1})$, and where

$$\alpha_+ := \alpha_+(\mu) = \frac{2-N}{2} + \sqrt{\mu + N^2/4} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_- := \alpha_-(\mu) = \frac{2-N}{2} - \sqrt{\mu + N^2/4}. \quad (4.3)$$

- Put $d\gamma_\mu(x) = \gamma_\mu(x)dx$. We define the γ_μ -dual operator \mathcal{L}_μ^* of \mathcal{L}_μ by

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu^* \zeta = -\Delta \zeta - \frac{2}{\gamma_\mu} \langle \nabla \gamma_\mu, \nabla \zeta \rangle \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in C^2(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}), \quad (4.4)$$

and we prove that ϕ_μ is, in some sense, the fundamental solution of

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad u = \delta_0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N$$

in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \phi_\mu \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \zeta d\gamma_\mu(x) = b_\mu \zeta(0) \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in C_c(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}) \cap C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$$

- Brezis-Vazquez, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 1997

In a bounded domain Ω , satisfying the condition

$$(C-1) \quad 0 \in \partial\Omega, \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = O(|x|^2) \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega,$$

Hardy inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^2 + \mu_1 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\zeta^2}{|x|^2} dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\zeta^2}{|x|^2 \ln^2(|x|R_{\Omega}^{-1})} dx \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega), \quad (4.5)$$

- Let

$$\ell_{\mu}^{\Omega} := \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\mu}{|x|^2} v^2 \right) dx : v \in C_c^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx = 1 \right\} > 0.$$

This first eigenvalue is achieved in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ if $\mu > \mu_1$, or in the space $H(\Omega)$ which is the closure of $C_c^1(\Omega)$ for the norm

$$v \mapsto \|v\|_{H(\Omega)} := \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{|x|^2} v^2 \right) dx},$$

when $\mu = \mu_1$. We set

$$H_{\mu}(\Omega) = \begin{cases} H_0^1(\Omega) & \text{if } \mu > \mu_1, \\ H(\Omega) & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1. \end{cases}$$

Chen-Veron *JDE 2020*

- Under the assumption (C-1) the imbedding of $H_\mu(\Omega)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ is compact. We denote by γ_μ^Ω the positive eigenfunction, its satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu \gamma_\mu^\Omega = \ell_\mu^\Omega \gamma_\mu^\Omega \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \gamma_\mu^\Omega = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.6)$$

- there exist $c_j = c_j(\Omega, \mu) > 0$, $j = 1, 2$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \gamma_\mu^\Omega(x) = c_1 \rho(x) |x|^{\alpha+1} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0, \\ (ii) \quad & |\nabla \gamma_\mu^\Omega(x)| \leq c_2 \gamma_\mu^\Omega(x) / \rho(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

- We first characterize the positive \mathcal{L}_μ -harmonic functions which are singular at 0.

Theorem

Let Ω be a C^2 bounded domain such that $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $\mu \geq \mu_1$. If u is a nonnegative \mathcal{L}_μ -harmonic function in Ω vanishing on $B_{r_0}(0) \cap (\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\})$ for some $r_0 > 0$, then there exists $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\rho(x) |x|^{\alpha-1}} = k \quad \text{if } \mu > \mu_1$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x|^{\frac{N}{2}} u(x)}{\rho(x) \ln |x|} = -k \quad \text{if } \mu = \mu_1.$$

- Existence:

Theorem

Let Ω be a C^2 bounded domain satisfying (C-1) and $\mu \geq \mu_1$. Then there exists a positive \mathcal{L}_μ -harmonic function in Ω , which vanishes on $\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}$, which satisfies

$$\phi_\mu^\Omega(x) = \rho(x)|x|^{\alpha-1}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.8)$$

if $\mu > \mu_1$, and

$$\phi_{\mu_1}^\Omega(x) = \rho(x)|x|^{-\frac{N}{2}}(|\ln|x|| + 1)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.9)$$

if $\mu = \mu_1$.

- ϕ_μ^Ω is the unique function belonging to $L^1(\Omega, \rho^{-1}d\gamma_\mu^\Omega)$, which satisfies

$$\int_\Omega u \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \zeta d\gamma_\mu^\Omega = kc_\mu \zeta(0) \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega), \quad (4.10)$$

where $d\gamma_\mu^\Omega = \gamma_\mu^\Omega dx$, here and in the sequel the test function space

$$\mathbb{X}_\mu(\Omega) = \left\{ \zeta \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \gamma_\mu^\Omega \zeta \in H_\mu(\Omega) \text{ and } \rho \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \zeta \in L^\infty(\Omega) \right\}.$$

Furthermore, if u is a nonnegative \mathcal{L}_μ -harmonic function vanishing on $\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $u = k\phi_\mu^\Omega$.

- Denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega; \gamma_\mu^\Omega)$ the set of Radon measures ν in Ω such that

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \zeta d|\lambda| : \zeta \in C_c(\Omega), 0 \leq \zeta \leq \gamma_\mu^\Omega \right\} := \int_{\Omega} \gamma_\mu^\Omega d|\nu| < +\infty.$$

If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\Omega; \gamma_\mu^\Omega)$ the measure $\gamma_\mu^\Omega \nu$ is a nonnegative bounded measure in Ω . Put

$$\beta_\mu^\Omega(x) = -\frac{\partial \gamma_\mu^\Omega(x)}{\partial \mathbf{n}_x} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma_\mu^\Omega(x - tn_x)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\gamma_\mu^\Omega(x - tn_x)}{\rho^*(x - tn_x)}, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{0\} \quad (4.11)$$

and then

$$c_1|x|^{\alpha+-1} \leq \beta_\mu^\Omega(x) \leq c_1c_3|x|^{\alpha+-1} \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.12)$$

- Denote

$$\beta_\mu(x) = |x|^{\alpha+-1} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.13)$$

Denote $\mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}; \beta_\mu)$ the set Radon measures λ in $\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}} \zeta d|\lambda| : \zeta \in C_c(\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}), 0 \leq \zeta \leq \beta_\mu \right\} := \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \{0\}} \beta_\mu d|\lambda| < +\infty.$$

- the existence and uniqueness of a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\mu u = \nu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \lambda + k\delta_0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

Theorem

Let Ω be a C^2 bounded domain satisfying (C-1) and $\mu \geq \mu_1$. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_+(\Omega; \gamma_\mu^\Omega)$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega; \beta_\mu)$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$u = \mathbb{G}_\mu^\Omega[\nu] + \mathbb{K}_\mu^\Omega[\lambda] + k\phi_\mu^\Omega := \mathbb{H}_\mu^\Omega[(\nu, \lambda, k)] \quad (4.15)$$

is the unique solution of (4.14) in the very weak sense that $u \in L^1(\Omega, \rho^{-1}d\gamma_\mu^\Omega)$ and

$$\int_\Omega u \mathcal{L}_\mu^* \zeta d\gamma_\mu^\Omega = \int_\Omega \zeta d(\gamma_\mu^\Omega \nu) + \int_{\partial\Omega} \zeta d(\beta_\mu^\Omega \lambda) + kc_\mu \zeta(0) \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mathfrak{X}_\mu(\Omega). \quad (4.16)$$

Theorem

Let Ω be a C^2 bounded domain such that $0 \in \partial\Omega$ satisfying (C-1), $\mu \geq \mu_1$ and u be a nonnegative \mathcal{L}_μ -harmonic functions in Ω . Then there exist $\lambda \in \mathfrak{M}(\partial\Omega; \beta_\mu)$ and $k \geq 0$, such that

$$u = \mathbb{K}_\mu^\Omega[\lambda] + k\phi_\mu^\Omega = \mathbb{H}_\mu^\Omega[(0, \lambda, k)].$$

The couple $(\lambda, k\delta_0)$ is called the boundary trace of u .

Thank you!
Happy birthday!!!